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## الملاصـة :

لتكن R حلقة » نـوتيرية " و $\alpha$ عنصراً فوق-بدائياً بدرجة d على R . لتكن 'R مجموعة عناصر a (غير


 أكبر من أو تساوي 「.

## ABSTRACT

Let $R$ be a Noetherian domain and $\alpha$ a super-primitive element of degree $d$ over $R$. Let $R^{\prime}$ be the set of non-zero elements $a$ of $R$ such that $a \alpha$ is a super-primitive element over $R$. Set $B_{a}=R[a \alpha] \cap R\left[(a \alpha)^{-1}\right]$, for $a \in R^{\prime}$. Assume that $d \geq 3$ and $a, b$ are elements of $R^{\prime}$. In this paper we prove that $B_{a}=B_{b}$ if and only if $a$ and $b$ are associate. This extends [2, Theorem 3] when $d \geq 3$.
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## EXTENSIONS $R[a \alpha] \cap R\left[(a \alpha)^{-1}\right]$ <br> OF A NOETHERIAN DOMAIN $R$

Let $R$ be a Noetherian domain with quotient field $K$, and $R[X]$ the polynomial ring over $R$ in an indeterminate $X$. Let $\alpha$ be an element of an algebraic field extension of $K$, and $\pi: R[X] \longrightarrow R[\alpha]$ the $R$-algebra homomorphism defined by $\pi(X)=\alpha$. Let $\varphi_{\alpha}(X)$ be the monic minimal polynomial of $\alpha$ over $K$ and $\operatorname{deg} \varphi_{\alpha}=d$, and write:

$$
\varphi_{\alpha}(X)=X^{d}+\eta_{1} X^{d-1}+\cdots+\eta_{d}, \quad \text { with } \quad \eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{d} \in K .
$$

We define $I_{[\alpha]}:=\bigcap_{i=1}^{d} I_{\eta_{i}}$ and $J_{[\alpha]}:=I_{[\alpha]}\left(1, \eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{d}\right)$, where $I_{\eta_{i}}=\left\{c \in R ; c \eta_{i} \in R\right\}$ and $\left(1, \eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{d}\right)$ is the $R$-module generated by $1, \eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{d}$. An element $\alpha$ is called an anti-integral element of degree $d$ over $R$ if $\operatorname{Ker} \pi=I_{[\alpha]} \varphi_{\alpha}(X) R[X]$. An element $\alpha$ is said to be a super-primitive element of degree $d$ over $R$ if $J_{[\alpha]} \not \subset p$, for every $p \in \mathrm{Dp}_{1}(R)$, where $\mathrm{Dp}_{1}(R)=\left\{p \in \operatorname{Spec} R\right.$; depth $\left.R_{p}=1\right\}$.

Our notation is standard; for further explanation, refer to [4].
Let $\alpha$ be an anti-integral element of degree $d$ over $R$ and set $\zeta_{i}=\alpha^{i}+\eta_{1} \alpha^{i-1}+\cdots+\eta_{i-1} \alpha+\eta_{i}$, for $1 \leq i \leq d-1$.
Lemma 1. [1, Theorem 1 and Example] The following equality holds:

$$
R[\alpha] \cap R\left[\alpha^{-1}\right]=R \oplus I_{[\alpha]} \zeta_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus I_{[\alpha]} \zeta_{d-1} .
$$

The following Lemma does not need the assumption that $\alpha$ is an anti-integral element over $R$.
Lemma 2. Let a be a non-zero element of $R$. Then:

$$
I_{[a \alpha]}=\cap_{i=1}^{d} I_{a^{i} \eta_{i}} .
$$

Proof. Since $\varphi_{a \alpha}(X)=X^{d}+a \eta_{1} X^{d-1}+\cdots+a^{d} \eta_{d}$, we get the required result.
Let $\alpha$ be a super-primitive element of degree $d$ over $R$ and $R^{*}$ the unit group of $R$. Let $R^{\prime}$ be the set of non-zero elements $a$ 's of $R$ such that $a \alpha$ is a super-primitive element over $R$. It is easily verified that $R^{\prime}$ contains $R^{*}$. Let $a$ be a non-zero element of $R$. Then set: $B_{a}=R[a \alpha] \cap R\left[(a \alpha)^{-1}\right]$. Note that super-primitive elements are anti-integral elements by [7, Theorem 1.12].

Lemma 3. Let a be an element of $R^{\prime}$. Then the following equality holds:

$$
B_{a}=R \oplus I_{[a \alpha]} a \zeta_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus I_{[a \alpha]} a^{d-1} \zeta_{d-1} .
$$

Proof. Note that $\varphi_{a \alpha}(X)=X^{d}+a \eta_{1} X^{d-1}+\cdots+a^{d} \eta_{d}$. Set $\zeta_{a \alpha, i}=(a \alpha)^{i}+a \eta_{1}(a \alpha)^{i-1}+\cdots+a^{i} \eta_{i}$, for $i=1,2, \ldots, d-1$. Then

$$
\zeta_{a \alpha, i}=a^{i}\left(\alpha^{i}+\eta_{1} \alpha^{i-1}+\cdots+\eta_{i}\right)=a^{i} \zeta_{i} .
$$

Hence we reach the conclusion.
The following result is a direct consequence of Lemma 3:
Proposition 4. Let $a$ and $b$ be elements of $R^{\prime}$. Then $B_{a}=B_{b}$ if and only if $I_{[a \alpha]} a^{i}=I_{[b \alpha]} b^{i}$, for $i=1,2, \ldots, d-1$.

Let $\alpha$ be a super-primitive element of degree $d$ over $R$. Then $J_{[\alpha] p}=R_{p}$, for every element $p$ of $\mathrm{Dp}_{1}(R)$. Hence $I_{[\alpha] p}$ is an invertible ideal of $R_{p}$. This implies that $I_{[\alpha] p}$ is a principal ideal of $R_{p}$ by [3, Theorem 59].

In the case $d=1$, it is known that $B_{a}=R$, for $a \in R^{\prime}$ by [7, Remark 1.6.] and [5, (2.5) Theorem] because $a \alpha$ is an anti-integral element over $R$. Hence $B_{a}=B_{b}$ always holds, for $a, b \in R^{\prime}$.

In the case $d \geq 2$, we quote [2, Theorem 3]: Let $\alpha$ be a super-primitive element of degree $d \geq 2$ over $R$. Let $a$ and $b$ be elements of $R$. Assume that $a$ and $b$ are non-zero divisors on $R / I_{[\alpha]}$. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(i) $B_{a}=B_{b}$;
(ii) $a=u b$ for some $u \in R^{*}$.

The condition that $a$ is a non zero-divisor on $R / I_{[\alpha]}$ assures us of super-primitivity of $a \alpha$ by [2, Lemma 2].
When $d \geq 3$, we extend [2, Theorem 3] showing that we may omit the assumption that $a$ and $b$ are non zero-divisors of $R / I_{[\alpha]}$.

Association in $R^{\prime}$ is an equivalence relation of $R^{\prime}$. The quotient set of this equivalence relation is denoted by $R^{\prime} / R^{*}$. The symbol $\operatorname{cl}(a)$ denotes the equivalence class of $a \in R^{\prime}$.

Theorem 5. Let $R$ be a Noetherian domain and $\alpha$ a super-primitive element of degree $d$ over $R$. Assume that $d \geq 3$ and $a, b$ are elements of $R^{\prime}$. Then the following assertions hold:
(1) $B_{a}=B_{b}$ if and only if $b / a$ is in $R^{*}$.
(2) A mapping of $\left\{B_{a} ; a \in R^{\prime}\right\}$ into $R^{\prime} / R^{*}$ defined by $B_{a} \mapsto c l(a)$ is well-defined and a one-to-one correspondence.

## Proof.

(1) Assume that $B_{a}=B_{b}$. Then we get $I_{[a \alpha]} a=I_{[b \alpha]} b$ and $I_{[a \alpha]} a^{2}=I_{[b \alpha]} b^{2}$, by Proposition 4, since $d \geq 3$. Hence:

$$
I_{[b \alpha]} b^{2}=I_{[a \alpha]} a^{2}=\left(I_{[a \alpha]} a\right) a=\left(I_{[b \alpha]} b\right) a=I_{[b \alpha]} a b
$$

This shows that $I_{[b \alpha]} a=I_{[b \alpha]} b$. Since $b \alpha$ is a super-primitive element over $R, I_{[b \alpha] p}$ is a principal ideal of $R_{p}$, for every $p \in \operatorname{Dp_{1}}(R)$. Therefore $I_{[b \alpha] p}=c R_{p}$, for some $c \in R_{p}$. Then $c a R_{p}=c b R_{p}$. This means that $b / a$ and $a / b$ are in $R_{p}$. Note that $R=\cap_{p \in \operatorname{Dp}_{1}(R)} R_{p}$ by $[6,(33.8)]$. Hence $b / a$ and $a / b$ are in $R$, that is, $b / a$ is in $R^{*}$.

Conversely, assume that $b / a$ is in $R^{*}$. Then there exists an element $u$ of $R^{*}$ satisfying $b=u a$. By Lemma 2 we see that $I_{[b \alpha]}=I_{[a \alpha]}$. Hence, by Lemma 3, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{b} & =R \oplus I_{[b \alpha]} b \zeta_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus I_{[b \alpha]} b^{d-1} \zeta_{d-1} \\
& =R \oplus I_{[a \alpha]} u a \zeta_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus I_{[a \alpha]} u^{d-1} a^{d-1} \zeta_{d-1} \\
& =R \oplus I_{[a \alpha]} a \zeta_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus I_{[a \alpha]} a^{d-1} \zeta_{d-1} \\
& =B_{a} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(2) is clear from the assertion (1).

The next result clarifies a part of the set $R^{\prime}$ :

Proposition 6. Let $R$ be a Noetherian domain and $\alpha$ a super-primitive element of degree $d$ over $R$. Let a be an element of $R$ such that $a$ is a non-zero divisor of $R / I_{\eta_{i}}$, for $i=1,2, \ldots, d$. Then $a$ is in $R^{\prime}$.

Proof. First we show that $I_{[\alpha]}=I_{[a \alpha]}$. It is obvious that $I_{\eta_{i}} \subset I_{a^{i} \eta_{i}}$. Let $x$ be an element of $I_{a^{i} \eta_{i}}$. Then we see that $a^{i} x$ is in $I_{\eta_{i}}$. Since $a^{i}$ is a non-zero divisor of $R / I_{\eta_{i}}$, we obtain the fact $x$ is in $I_{\eta_{i}}$. Hence $I_{\eta_{i}}=I_{a^{i} \eta_{i}}$, for $i=1,2, \ldots, d$. This implies that $I_{[\alpha]}=I_{[a \alpha]}$, by Lemma 2. From the argument above, we have $J_{[a \alpha]}=I_{[a \alpha]}\left(1, a \eta_{1}, \ldots, a^{d} \eta_{d}\right)=I_{[\alpha]}\left(1, a \eta_{1}, \ldots, a^{d} \eta_{d}\right)$. Let $p$ be an element of $\operatorname{Dp}_{1}(R)$ and assume that $J_{[a \alpha]} \subset p$. Then $I_{[\alpha]} \subset p$. Since $\alpha$ is a super-primitive element over $R, I_{[\alpha] p}$ is a principal ideal of $R_{p}$. Hence $p R_{p}$ is a prime divisor of $I_{[\alpha] p}$. Then $p$ is a prime divisor of $I_{[\alpha]}$, since the set of zero-divisors of $R_{p} / I_{[\alpha] p}$ is contained in the set of zero-divisors of $R / I_{[\alpha]}$. Therefore $p$ is a prime divisor of $I_{\eta_{i}}$ for some $i$. Since $a$ is not a zero-divisor of $R / I_{\eta_{i}}$, we see that $a$ is not in $p$. This implies that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{[\alpha] p} & =I_{[\alpha] p}\left(1, \eta_{1}, \ldots, \eta_{d}\right) \\
& =I_{[\alpha] p}\left(1, a \eta_{1}, \ldots, a^{d} \eta_{d}\right) \\
& =I_{[a \alpha] p}\left(1, a \eta_{1}, \ldots, a^{d} \eta_{d}\right) \\
& =J_{[a \alpha] p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $J_{[a \alpha] p} \subset p R_{p}$, we get $J_{[\alpha] p} \subset p R_{p}$. This contradicts the condition $J_{[\alpha]} \not \subset p$.
Let $M$ be an $R$-module. Then $R-\operatorname{Ass}_{R}(M)$ denotes the set of elements $c$ 's of $R$ such that $c$ is not in any $p$ of $\operatorname{Ass}_{R}(M)$. Note that:

$$
\left\{a \in R ; a \text { is a non-zero divisor of } R / I_{\eta_{i}}\right\}=R-\operatorname{Ass}_{R}\left(R / I_{\eta_{i}}\right) .
$$

By Proposition 6, we have the following:
Corollary 7. $R-\cup_{i=1}^{d} \operatorname{Ass}_{R}\left(R / I_{\eta_{\mathrm{i}}}\right) \subset R^{\prime}$.
Let $\bar{R}$ be the integral closure of $R$ in its quotient field $K$. Let $(R: \bar{R})$ be the conductor ideal of $R$ in $\bar{R}$.
Lemma 8. Assume that $\bar{R}$ is a finite $R$-module. Let $p$ be an element of $\operatorname{Dp}_{1}(R)$. If $p \supset(R: \bar{R})$, then $p$ is a prime divisor of $(R: \bar{R})$.

Proof. If ht $p=1$, then $p$ is a prime divisor of $(R: \bar{R})$, since $(R: \bar{R}) \neq(0)$. If ht $p>1$, then $[9$, Proposition 1.10] implies that $p$ is also a prime divisor of ( $R: \bar{R}$ ).

Proposition 9. Assume that $\bar{R}$ is a finite $R$-module. Then

$$
R-\operatorname{Ass}_{R}(R /(R: \bar{R})) \subset R^{\prime} .
$$

Proof. Let $a$ be an element of $R-\operatorname{Ass}_{R}(R /(R: \bar{R}))$. Since super-primitivity is a local-global property, we have only to prove that $a \alpha$ is a super-primitive element over $R_{p}$, for every $p \in \operatorname{Dp}_{1}(R)$. Let $p$ be an element of $\mathrm{Dp}_{1}(R)$. If $p \not \supset(R: \bar{R})$, then $R_{p}=\bar{R}_{p}$, and $R_{p}$ is a normal domain. Therefore $a \alpha$ is a super-primitive element over $R_{p}$ by [7, Theorem 1.13]. If $p \supset(R: \bar{R})$, then $p$ is a prime divisor of ( $R: \bar{R}$ ), by Lemma 8 , and $a$ is in $R-\operatorname{Ass}_{R}\left(R /(R: \bar{R})\right.$. Hence $a$ is not in $p$. So $a$ is a unit of $R_{p}$. Since $\alpha$ is a super-primitive element over $R_{p}$, we obtain the fact $a \alpha$ is also a super-primitive element over $R_{p}$.

Let $\alpha$ be an element of a finite algebraic field extension of $K$. It is said that $\alpha$ is of degree $d$ if $[K(\alpha): K]=d$. We say that $\alpha$ is an ultra-primitive element of over $R$ if $\operatorname{grade}\left(I_{[\alpha]}+(R: \bar{R})\right)>1$ where we define $\operatorname{grade}(R)=\infty$. For the definition of an ultra-primitive element, see also [2] and [8].

Lemma 10. Assume that $\bar{R}$ is a finite $R$-module and $\alpha$ a ultra-primitive element over $R$. Then a $\alpha$ is a super-primitive element over $R$, for every $a \in R$.

Proof. Let $a \in R$. Let $p$ be an element of $\mathrm{Dp}_{1}(R)$. Then either $p \not \supset(R: \bar{R})$ or $p \not \supset I_{[\alpha]}$. If $p \not \supset(R: \bar{R})$, then $R_{p}$ is normal. Recall [7, Theorem 1.13]: Assume that $R$ is a Krull domain, then every element $\alpha$ which is algebraic over $R$ is a super-primitive element over $R$. Thus $a \alpha$ is a super-primitive element over $R_{p}$. Hence $J_{[a \alpha]} \not \subset p$. If $p \not \supset I_{[\alpha]}$, then $p \not \supset I_{[a \alpha]}$ because $I_{[\alpha]} \subset I_{[a \alpha]}$, by Lemma 2. Therefore $J_{[a \alpha]} \not \subset p$. This means that $a \alpha$ is a super-primitive element over $R$.

Theorem 11. Let $R$ be a Noetherian domain and $\bar{R}$ the integral closure of $R$ in its quotient field. Let $\alpha$ be a ultra-primitive element of degree $d$ over $R$. Assume that $\bar{R}$ is a finite $R$-module and $d \geq 3$. Then there exists a one-to-one correspondence between $\left\{B_{a} ; a \in R-\{0\}\right\}$ and $R-\{0\} / R^{*}$.

Proof. Since $\alpha$ is a ultra-primitive element over $R$, we get $R^{\prime}=R-\{0\}$. Then the assertion is clear from Theorem 5 (2).

Remark 12. Let $R_{\alpha}^{\prime \prime}$ be the set of non-zero elements $a$ of $R$ such that $\alpha / a$ is a super-primitive element over $R$. Since the following statements hold, the same results as $B_{a}$ and $R^{\prime}$ hold for $B_{a^{-1}}=R[\alpha / a] \cap R[a / \alpha]$ and $R_{\alpha}^{\prime \prime}$ :
(1) $\varphi_{\alpha^{-1}}(X)=X^{d}+\eta_{1}^{\prime} X^{d-1}+\cdots+\eta_{d}^{\prime}$, where $\eta^{\prime}{ }_{k}=\eta_{d}^{-1} \eta_{d-k}$, for $1, \ldots, d$, where $\eta_{0}=1$.
(2) $J_{[\alpha]}=J_{\left[\alpha^{-1}\right]}$.
(3) $\alpha$ is super-primitive if and only if $\alpha^{-1}$ is super-primitive.
(4) $R_{\alpha}^{\prime \prime}=R_{\alpha^{-1}}^{\prime}$, where $R_{\alpha}^{\prime}=R^{\prime}$.
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