

## ON THE GENERATORS OF THE EDGE STABILIZERS OF GROUPS ACTING ON TREES

Rasheed M. S. Mahmood

*Department of Mathematics  
University of Bahrain  
P. O. Box 32038, Isa Town, State of Bahrain*

الخلاصة :

لقد تم في هذا البحث البرهنة على أنه إذا أثرت الزمرة  $G$  على الشجرة  $X$  بحيث أن مجموعة رؤوس بيان الخارج  $X/G$  محدودة فإن:

(١) إذا كان المُقر  $G_x$  لكل حرف ( حافة )  $x$  من  $X$  له مولدات محدودة فإن مولدات  $G$  تكون محدودة إذا كان  $X/G$  محدودة وكل مُقر  $G_v$  لكل رأس  $v$  من  $X$  له مولدات محدودة.

(٢) إذا كان المقر  $G_v$  لكل رأس  $v$  من  $X$  له تقديم محدود فإن  $G$  لها تقديم محدود إذا فقط إذا كان  $X/G$  محدودة وكل مقر  $G_x$  لكل حرف  $x$  من  $X$  له مولدات محدودة.

### ABSTRACT

In this paper we prove that if  $G$  is a group acting on a tree  $X$  such that the set of vertices of the quotient graph  $X/G$  is finite, then: (i) if the stabilizer  $G_x$  of each edge  $x$  of  $X$  is finitely generated, then  $G$  is finitely generated if and only if  $X/G$  is finite and the stabilizer  $G_v$  of each vertex  $v$  of  $X$  is finitely generated; (ii) if the stabilizer  $G_v$  of each vertex  $v$  of  $X$  is finitely presented then  $G$  is finitely presented if and only if  $X/G$  is finite and the stabilizer  $G_x$  of each edge  $x$  of  $X$  is finitely generated.

## ON THE GENERATORS OF THE EDGE STABILIZERS OF GROUPS ACTING ON TREES

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Baumslag [1] proved that a generalized free product of two finitely presented groups is finitely presented if and only if the amalgamated subgroup is finitely generated. In this paper we generalize this result to groups acting on trees.

In [2], Bass and Serre have given a structure theorem for groups acting on trees without inversions. The case with inversions was settled by Mahmud [3]. We shall use the definitions and notation developed in [3] and [4].

For a graph  $X$  we shall write  $V(X)$  for the set of vertices of  $X$  and  $E(X)$  for the set of edges of  $X$ . For  $x \in E(X)$ ,  $o(x)$ , and  $t(x)$  are called the ends of  $x$  and  $\bar{x}$  is called the inverse of  $x$ . We note that  $o(\bar{x}) = t(x)$  and  $\bar{\bar{x}} = x$ . The case  $\bar{x} = x$  is possible. Suppose that a group  $G$  acts on a tree  $X$  in general, *i.e.* with inversions. We write  $X/G$  for the quotient graph obtained from the action  $G$  on  $X$ . If  $x \in X$  (vertex or edge) we write  $G(x)$  for the orbit containing  $x$ . Moreover, if  $x$  and  $y$  are in  $X$ , we write  $G(x, y)$  for the set  $\{g \in G : g(y) = x\}$ , and  $G(x, x) = G_x$ , the stabilizer of  $x$ .

If  $T$  and  $Y$  are two subtrees of  $X$  such that  $T \subseteq Y$  then  $T$  is called a tree of representatives for the action of  $G$  on  $X$  if  $T$  contains exactly one vertex from each  $G$ -vertex orbit and  $Y$  is called a fundamental domain for the action of  $G$  on  $X$  if each edge of  $Y$  has an end in  $T$  and  $Y$  contains exactly one edge  $y$  from each  $G$ -edge orbit such that  $G(\bar{y}, y) = \emptyset$  and exactly one pair  $x$  and  $\bar{x}$  from each  $G$ -edge orbit such that  $G(\bar{x}, x) \neq \emptyset$ . It is clear that if  $Y$  consists of exactly one vertex  $v$  then  $Y = T = X = G(v) = \{v\}$  and  $G_v = G$ . For the existence of  $T$  and  $Y$  see Khanfar and Mahmud [5]. For the rest of this section  $G$  will be a group acting on a tree  $X$ ,  $T$  a tree of representatives and  $Y$  a fundamental domain for the action of  $G$  on  $X$  such that  $T \subseteq Y$  and  $Y$  contains more than one element. We have the following definition and notation:

- (i) For each  $v \in V(T)$  let  $v^*$  be the unique vertex of  $T$  such  $G(v, v^*) \neq \emptyset$ . It is clear that  $v^* = v$ , if  $v \in V(T)$ , and in general  $(v^*)^* = v^*$ . Also if  $G(u, v) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $u^* = v^*$  for  $u, v \in V(X)$ .
- (ii) For each edge  $y$  of  $Y$  define  $[y]$  to be an element of  $G(t(y), (t(y))^*)$ , that is,  $[y]((t(y))^*) = t(y)$ , to be chosen as follows:
  - (a)  $[y] = 1$  if  $y \in E(T)$ ;
  - (b)  $[y](y) = \bar{y}$  if  $G(\bar{y}, y) \neq \emptyset$ . We define  $[\bar{y}] = [y]^{-1}$  if  $G(\bar{y}, y) = \emptyset$  and  $[\bar{y}] = [y]$  otherwise. It is clear that  $[y][\bar{y}] = 1$  if  $G(\bar{y}, y) = \emptyset$  and  $[y][\bar{y}] = [y]^2 \in G_y$  otherwise.
- (iii) For each edge  $y$  of  $Y$  let  $-y = [y]^{-1}(y)$  if  $o(y) \in V(T)$ , otherwise  $-y = y$ , and let  $+y = [y](-y)$ . So  $+y = y$  if  $o(y) \in V(T)$ , otherwise  $+y = [y](y)$ . It is clear that if  $o(y) \in V(T)$  and  $G(\bar{y}, y) \neq \emptyset$  then  $(o(y))^* = o(y)$  and  $-y = +y = \bar{y}$ . Also,  $t(-y) = (t(y))^*$  and  $o(+y) = (o(y))^*$ .
- (iv) By a word of  $G$  we mean an expression  $w$  of the form  $w = g_o \cdot y_1 \cdot g_1 \cdot \dots \cdot y_n \cdot g_n, n \geq 0$ , where  $y_i \in E(Y)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , such that:
  - (1)  $g_o \in G_{(o(y_1))^*}$ ,
  - (2)  $g_i \in G_{(t(y_i))^*}$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ,
  - (3)  $(t(y_i))^* = (o(y_{i+1}))^*$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$ .

We define  $o(w) = (o(y_i))^*$  and  $t(w) = (t(y_n))^*$ . If  $o(w) = t(w)$  then  $w$  is called a closed word of type  $o(w)$ . We define  $n$  to be the length of  $w$ , and denote it by  $|w|$ . The value  $[w]$  of  $w$  is the element  $[w] = g_o[y_1]g_1 \dots [y_n]g_n$  of  $G$ .  $w$  is called a reduced word of  $G$  if  $w$  contains no expression of the form:

(1)  $y_i g_i \bar{y}_i$  if  $g_i \in G_{-y_i}$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, n$

or

(2)  $y_i g_i y_i$  if  $g_i \in G_{y_i}$ , with  $G(\bar{y}_i, y_i) \neq \emptyset$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

If for each  $i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $g_i$  is the identity element of  $G$  then  $w$  above can be written as  $w = y_1 y_2 \dots y_n$ .

**Lemma 1.1.**  $G$  is generated by the generators of  $G_v$ , and by the elements  $[y]$ , where  $v$  runs over  $V(T)$  and  $y$  over  $E(Y)$ .

*Proof.* See Mahmud [3].

**Lemma 1.2.** If  $w_1 = f_o \cdot x_1 \cdot f_1 \dots x_m \cdot f_m$  and  $w_2 = g_o \cdot y_1 \cdot g_1 \dots y_n \cdot g_n$  are two reduced and closed words of  $G$  of the same type and same value, then  $m = n$  and  $x_i = y_i$  (or  $x_i = \bar{y}_i$  if  $G(\bar{y}_i, y_i) \neq \emptyset$ ) for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

*Proof.* See Mahmud [4].

The following propositions are needed for the proof of the main result of this paper.

**Proposition 1.3.** Let  $x$  and  $y$  be two edges of  $Y$  such that  $x$  and  $y$  are not in  $T$  and  $[x] = [y]$ . Then  $x = y$  or  $x = \bar{y}$  if  $G(\bar{y}, y) \neq \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* Let  $v$  be a vertex of  $T$ . Then there exist unique reduced paths  $(x_1, \dots, x_p), (x_{p+1}, \dots, x_m), (y_1, \dots, y_q)$  and  $(y_{q+1}, \dots, y_n)$  in  $T$  such that  $o(x_1) = v, t(x_p) = (o(x))^*, (t(x))^* = o(x_{p+1}), t(x_m) = o(y_1) = v, t(y_q) = (o(y))^*, (t(y))^* = o(y_{q+1})$  and  $t(y_n) = v$ . We get the words  $w_1 = x_1 \dots x_p \cdot x \cdot x_{p+1} \dots x_m$  and  $w_2 = y_1 \dots y_q \cdot y \cdot y_{q+1} \dots y_n$ . It is clear that  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  are closed words of  $G$  of the same value and type  $v$ . Since  $x$  and  $y$  are not in  $T$ , therefore  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  are reduced. Therefore by Lemma 1.2,  $m = n$ ,  $p = q$  and  $x = y$  or  $x = \bar{y}$  if  $G(\bar{y}, y) \neq \emptyset$ . This completes the proof.

**Proposition 1.4.** If  $y \in E(Y)$  and  $y \notin E(T)$  then  $[y] \notin G_v$ , for all  $v \in V(T)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $y \notin E(T)$ , therefore  $[y] \neq 1$ . Let  $v \in V(T)$ . We need to show that  $[y] \notin G_v$ . For if  $[y] \in G_v$  then we have the words:

$$w_1 = [y] \text{ and } w_2 = y_1 \dots y_n \cdot y \cdot \bar{y}_n \dots \bar{y}_1,$$

where  $y_1, \dots, y_n$  is the unique path in  $T$  joining  $v$  and  $(o(y))^*$ . Since  $y \notin E(T)$ , therefore  $y \notin \{y_n, \bar{y}_n\}$ . It is clear that  $[w_1] = [w_2]$  and,  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  are reduced and closed words of  $G$  of type  $v$ . Therefore by Lemma 1.2,  $|w_1| = |w_2|$ , i.e.  $0 = 2n + 1$ . Contradiction.

Consequently  $[y] \notin G_v$ . This completes the proof.

**Proposition 1.5.** If  $G$  is finitely generated then  $Y - T$  is finite.

*Proof.* To show that  $Y - T$  is finite is equivalent to showing that the set of edges of  $Y$  that are not in  $T$  is finite. Since  $G$  is finitely generated, by Lemma 1.1,  $G$  is generated by finitely many  $G_v, v \in V(T)$ , and the set  $A = \{[y] : y \in E(Y), y \notin E(T)\}$  is finite. Since by Proposition 1.4,  $A \cap G_v = \emptyset$  for all  $v \in V(T)$ , by Lemma 1.1, the set  $\{y \in E(Y) : y \notin E(T)\}$  is finite. This completes the proof.

**Convention.** Throughout the next section the generators of groups acting on trees will be those of Lemma 1.1 and the presentations will be those of Corollary 5.5 of [3].

## 2. THE MAIN RESULT

The main result of this section is the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $G$  be a group acting on tree  $X$  such that  $V(X/G)$  is finite. Then

- (i) if  $G_x$  is finitely generated for all  $x \in E(X)$ , then  $G$  is finitely generated if and only if  $X/G$  is finite and  $G_v$  is finitely generated for all  $v \in V(X)$ ;
- (ii) if  $G_v$  is finitely presented for all  $v \in V(X)$ , then  $G$  is finitely presented if and only if  $X/G$  is finite and  $G_x$  is finitely generated for all  $x \in E(X)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $T$  be a tree of representatives for the action of  $G$  on  $X$  and  $Y$  a fundamental domain such that  $T \subseteq Y$ . It is clear that  $X/G$  is finite if and only if  $Y$  is finite, and  $V(X/G)$  is finite if and only if  $T$  is finite. By assumption this implies that  $T$  is finite. If  $Y$  consists of one vertex  $v$  then  $Y = T = X = G(v) = \{v\}$ , and,  $G_v = G$ , and the proof is clear. Assume that  $Y$  has more than one element.

- (i) It is clear that if  $X/G$  is finite and  $G_v$  is finitely generated for all  $v \in V(X)$ , then by Lemma 1.1,  $G$  is finitely generated.

Let  $G$  and  $G_x$  be finitely generated for all  $x \in E(X)$ . Therefore by Proposition 1.5,  $Y$  is finite. So  $X/G$  is finite. Now we show that each vertex stabilizer of  $X$  is finitely generated. This is equivalent to showing that the stabilizer of each vertex of  $T$  is finitely generated. For each  $v \in V(T)$  let  $H_v$  be the subgroup of  $G_v$  generated by the generators of  $G$  that lie in  $G_v$  and the generators of  $G_{-y}$ , where  $y \in E(Y)$  is such that  $(t(y))^* = v$ .

Since  $G$  and  $G_{-y}$  are finitely generated and  $Y$  is finite,  $H_v$  is finitely generated. We need to show that  $G_v = H_v$ , i.e.  $G_v$  is finitely generated. For, if  $G_v$  were not finitely generated then  $G_v$  would be the union of a properly ascending chain of finitely generated subgroups

$$H_v = H_v^0 < H_v^1 < H_v^2 < \dots,$$

For each non-negative integer  $n$  let  $H^n$  be the subgroup of  $G$  generated by the generators of  $H_v^n$ , the generators of  $H_u$  and  $[y]$  for all  $u \in V(T)$  and all  $y \in E(Y)$ . From the above  $H^n$  is finitely generated and  $H^n \leq H^{n+1}$ .

Now we show that for every non-negative integer  $j$  we have  $H^j \neq H^{j+1}$  (if  $G_v$  is not finitely generated).

For, if for some non-negative integer  $s$  we have  $H^s = H^{s+1}$  then the case  $H_v^s < H_v^{s+1}, H_v^{s+1}$  implies that there exists an element  $g$  of  $G$  such that  $g \in H_v^{s+1}$  and  $g \notin H_v^s$ . As  $g \in H^s, g$  can be expressed as a product  $g_o[y_1]g_1 \dots [y_k]g_k$ , where  $g_i \in H_{u_i}$  for some vertices  $u_o, u_1, \dots, u_k$  in  $T$  and edges  $y_1, \dots, y_k$  in  $Y$ .

By taking the unique reduced paths in  $T$  between  $v$  and  $u_o$ , between  $u_o$  and  $(o(y_i))^*$ , between  $(t(y_1))^*$  and  $u_1, \dots, u_k$ , between  $(t(y_k))^*$  and  $u_k$ , and between  $u_k$  and  $v$  we may choose this product so that  $w_o = g_o.y_1.g_1 \dots y_k.g_k$  is a closed word of  $G$  of value  $g$  and type  $v$ . By performing the following operations on  $w_o$

- (1) replacing  $y.g'.\bar{y}$  by  $[y]g'[\bar{y}]$  if  $g' \in G_{-y}$  and  $y \in \{y_1, \dots, y_k\}$ ;
- (2) replacing  $y.g'.y$  by  $[y]g'[y]$  if  $g' \in G_y, G(\bar{y}, y) \neq \emptyset$  and  $y \in \{y_1, \dots, y_k\}$ , yields a reduced word  $w = f_o.x_1.f_1 \dots x_n.f_n$  of  $G$  such that  $[w] = g, f_i \in H_{v_i}$  where  $v_i = (t(x_i))^*$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $w$  is of type  $v$ .

This implies that the words  $g$  and  $w$  are reduced words of  $G$  of the same value and of type  $v$ . Lemma 1.2 implies that  $n = 0$ . Then  $g \in H_v \leq H_v^s$ . Contradiction. Therefore  $H^0 < H^1 < H^2 \dots$  is a proper ascending chain of finitely generated subgroups of  $G$ . Since  $G = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} H^n$ , this contradicts the assumption that  $G$

is finitely generated because a finitely generated group cannot be the union of an ascending sequence of proper finitely subgroups. So  $G_v = H_v$ . Hence the stabilizer of each vertex of  $X$  is finitely generated.

(ii) By Corollary 5.5 of [3],  $G$  has the presentation:

$$\langle G_v x, l | \text{rel } G_v, G_m = G_{\bar{m}}, x.[x]^{-1}G_x[x].x^{-1} = G_x, l.G_l.l^{-1} = G_l.l^2 = [l]^2 \rangle$$

via  $G_v \rightarrow G_v, x \rightarrow [x]$  and  $l \rightarrow [l]$ , where  $v$  runs over  $V(T)$ ,  $m$  over  $E(T)$ ,  $x$  over  $E(Y)$  such that  $t(x) \notin T$  and  $G(\bar{x}, x) = \emptyset$ , and  $l$  over  $E(Y)$  such that  $t(l) \notin T$  and  $G(\bar{l}, l) \neq \emptyset$ .

If  $G_v$  is finitely presented for all  $v \in V(T)$ ,  $G_y$  is finitely generated for all  $y \in E(Y)$  and  $Y$  is finite, then  $G$  is finitely presented.

Conversely, let  $G$  and  $G_v$  be finitely presented for all  $v \in V(T)$ . By (i)  $Y$  is finite. Now  $G$  is isomorphic to the factor group  $F/R$  of the free group  $F$  on  $G_v, x$  and  $l$  and by the smallest congruence  $R$  of  $F$  containing the relations of  $G$ . Since  $G_v$  is finitely presented for all  $v \in V(T)$ ,  $Y$  is finite and  $G$  is finitely presented, by a well-known theorem of Neumann [6],  $R$  is finitely generated. For each  $z \in E(X)$  let  $H_z$  be the subgroup of  $G_z$  generated by the generators of  $G_z$  which make  $G$  finitely related. It is clear that  $H_z$  is finitely generated. Therefore  $R$  is generated by the following relations:

- (1)  $\text{rel } G_v, v \in V(T)$ ;
- (2)  $H_m = H_{\bar{m}}, m \in E(T)$ ;
- (3)  $x.[x]^{-1}H_x[x].x^{-1} = H_x, x \in E(Y), t(x) \notin V(T), G(\bar{x}, x) = \emptyset$ ,
- (4)  $l.H_l.l^{-1} = H_l, l \in E(Y), t(l) \notin V(T), G(\bar{l}, l) \neq \emptyset$ ,
- (5)  $l^2 = [l]^2$ , where  $l$  is as in (4).

We now map  $F$  onto  $F/R$  by mapping  $G_v \rightarrow G_v, x \rightarrow [x]$  and  $l \rightarrow [l]$ . We observe that the homomorphism from  $F$  to  $F/R$  induced by the above mapping satisfies the relations of  $G$ . Also the kernel of this homomorphism contains all the elements (relators) obtained from the relations (1-5) above. Therefore this homomorphism induces an isomorphism from  $G$  onto  $F/R$ .

This gives rise to an action of  $G$  on  $X$  such that the vertex stabilizer of  $v \in V(X)$  equals  $G_v$  and the edge stabilizer of  $y \in E(X)$  equals  $H_y$ .

Now we show that  $G_y$  is finitely generated, or equivalently  $G_y = H_y$  for all  $y \in E(Y)$ . For if  $G_y \neq H_y$  for some  $y \in E(Y)$  then there exists  $g \in G_y, g \notin H_y$ . Let  $o(y) \in V(T)$ . It is clear that  $[y]^{-1}g[y] \in G_{-y}$  and  $[y]^{-1}g[y] \notin H_{-y}$ . Consider the words  $w_1 = g$  and  $w_2 = y.[y]^{-1}g[y].\bar{y}$ . Then  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  are closed reduced words of  $G$  of type  $o(y)$  and value  $g$  relative to the new presentation with the relations (1-5) above. Therefore by Lemma 1.2  $|w_1| = |w_2|$ . This contradicts the fact that  $|w_1| = 0$  and  $|w_2| = 2$ . If  $o(y) \notin V(T)$ , i.e.  $t(y) \in V(T)$  then similarly we get a contradiction. This implies that  $G_y$  is finitely generated for all  $y \in E(X)$ . This completes the proof.

We have the following corollaries of Theorem 2.1.

**Corollary 2.2.** If every finitely subgroup of  $G_v$  is finitely presented for all  $v \in V(X)$  and every subgroup of  $G_y$  is finitely generated for all  $y \in E(X)$ , then every finitely generated subgroup of  $G$  of finite quotient graph is finitely presented.

*Proof.* Let  $H$  be a finitely generated subgroup of  $G$  such  $X/H$  is finite. We need to show that  $H$  is finitely presented. Then  $H$  acts on  $X$  by restriction. It is clear that for each  $x \in X$ , (vertex or edge)  $H_x = H \cap G_x$ . If  $H = H_v$  then  $H \leq G_v$  and by assumption  $H$  is finitely presented. Let  $y \in E(Y)$ . Then  $H_y \leq G_y$ , and by assumption  $H_y \leq G_y$  is finitely generated. Since  $H$  is finitely generated and  $X/H$  is finite, by Theorem 2.1-(i)  $H_v$  is finitely generated for all  $v \in V(X)$ . Therefore  $H_v$  is finitely presented. Hence by Theorem 2.1-(ii)  $H$  is finitely presented. This completes the proof.

The following corollary is a consequence of Corollary 2.2.

**Corollary 2.3.** If  $H$  is a finitely generated subgroup of  $G$  such that  $X/H$  is finite and every finitely generated subgroup of  $G_v$  is finitely presented for all  $v \in v(X)$  and  $H \cap G_y$  is finitely generated for all  $y \in E(X)$ , then  $H$  is finitely presented.

**Corollary 2.4.** Let  $G = \prod_{i \in I} (A_i; U_{jk} = U_{kj})$  be a tree product of the groups  $A_i, i \in I$  such that  $I$  is finite.

Then:

- (1) If  $U_{jk}$  is finitely generated for all  $j, k \in I$  then  $G$  is finitely generated if and only if  $A_i$  is finitely generated for all  $i \in I$ ;
- (2) If  $A_i$  is finitely presented for all  $i \in I$  then  $G$  is finitely presented if and only if  $U_{jk}$  is finitely generated for all  $j, k \in I$ .

*Proof.* There exists a tree  $X$  on which  $G$  acts and, a tree of representatives  $T$  and a fundamental domain  $Y$  for the action of  $G$  on  $X$  such that  $Y = T$  and  $V(T)$  is in one-to-one correspondence with  $I$ , and if  $v \in V(X)$  and  $y \in E(X)$  then  $G_v$  is a conjugate of  $A_i$ , for some  $i \in I$  and  $G_y$  is a conjugate of  $U_{jk}$  for some  $j, k \in I$ . Then by Theorem 2.1, the proof of Corollary 2.4 follows.

**Corollary 2.5.** Let  $G = \langle K, t_i | rel K, t_i A_i t_i^{-1} = B_i \rangle$  be an *HNN* group of base  $K$  and associated pairs  $(A_i B_i)$  of subgroups of  $K, i \in I$ . Then:

- (1) If  $A_i$  is finitely generated for all  $i \in I$  then  $G$  is finitely generated if and only if  $K$  is finitely generated and  $I$  is finite;
- (2) If  $K$  is finitely presented then  $G$  is finitely presented if and only if  $A_i$  is finitely generated for all  $i \in I$  and  $I$  is finite.

*Proof.* There exists a tree  $X$  on which  $G$  acts and a tree of representatives  $T$  consisting of exactly one vertex and a fundamental domain  $Y$  for the action of  $G$  on  $X$  such that  $T \subset Y$  and the set of all unordered edges of  $Y$  is in one-to-one correspondence with  $I$ , and if  $v \in V(X)$  and  $y \in E(X)$  then  $G_v$  is a conjugate of  $K$  and  $G_y$  is a conjugate of  $A_i$ , for some  $i \in I$ . Then by Theorem 2.1, the proof of Corollary 2.5 follows.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author expresses his most sincere thanks to the referees for their suggestions to improve the paper.

## REFERENCES

- [1] G. Baumslag, "A Remark on Generalized Free Products", *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **13** (1962), pp. 53-54.
- [2] J. P. Serre, *Arbres, Amalgames*. SL2, Société Mathématique de France: Astérisque No. 46, 1977. English Translation: J. Stillwell, *Trees*. Springer; 1980.
- [3] R. M. S. Mahmud, "Presentation of Groups Acting on Trees with Inversions", *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh*, **113A** (1989), pp. 235-241.
- [4] R. M. S. Mahmud, "The Normal Form Theorem of Groups Acting on Trees with Inversions", *J. Univ. Kuwait (Sci.)*, **18** (1991), pp. 7-15.
- [5] M. Khanfar and R. M. S. Mahmud, "A Note on Groups Acting on Connected Graphs", *J. Univ. Kuwait (Sci.)*, **16** (1989), pp. 205-207.
- [6] B. H. Neumann, "Some Remarks on Infinite Groups", *J. London Math. Soc.*, **12** (1937), pp. 120-127.

Paper Received 23 November 1994; Revised 3 February 1996; Accepted 17 April 1996.