

THE ρ -QUASI-CENTER OF A BANACH ALGEBRA

D. Hussein* and A. Asad

*Faculty of Science
University of Jordan
Amman, Jordan*

الخلاصة :

اعطينا في هذا البحث مثلاً عن جبر باناخ A وعنصر شبه مركزي من نوع σ ولكنة ليس شبه مركزي من نوع ρ ونتيجة لذلك حصلنا على الاشتقاق الداخلي لجبر باناخ .

ABSTRACT

An example of a Banach algebra A and a σ -quasi central element of A which is not ρ -quasi central is given; also a result on inner derivation on A is obtained.

*To whom correspondence should be addressed.

THE ρ -QUASI-CENTER OF A BANACH ALGEBRA

INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this paper is to study the ρ -quasi center of a Banach algebra. The results obtained are applied to the study of inner derivation on a Banach algebra.

Throughout the paper, all linear spaces and algebras are assumed to be defined over the field C of complex numbers. If A is a Banach algebra we shall denote the center of A by $Z(A) = \{a \in A: ax = xa \text{ for every } x \text{ in } A\}$. The resolvent set of an element x in A is $\rho_A(x) = \{\lambda \in C: (x - \lambda e)^{-1} \text{ exists}\}$. Also $C - \rho_A(x)$ is the spectrum of x and is denoted by $\sigma_A(x)$.

Lepage [1; proposition 3] proved the following result. If $a \in A$ satisfies

$$\|x(\lambda - a)\| \leq \|(\lambda - a)x\|$$

for all $x \in A$ and $\lambda \in C$,

then a lies in the center of A . (1)

From this result, Rennison [2-4] studied elements which satisfy a condition superficially very similar to (1).

In fact he called an element a of A quasi-central if, for some $k \geq 1$,

$$\|x(\lambda - a)\| \leq k \|(\lambda - a)x\|$$

for all $x \in A$ and $\lambda \in C$.

$$Q(K, A) = \{a \in A: \|x(\lambda - a)\| \leq k \|(\lambda - a)x\|$$

for all x in A and $\lambda \in C\}$ and

$$Q(A) = \bigcup_{K \geq 1} Q(K, A).$$

Similarly we define the set $Q_\sigma(A)$ of all σ -quasi central elements as follows:

$$Q_\sigma(K, A) = \{a \in A: \|x(\lambda - a)\| \leq k \|(\lambda - a)x\|$$

for all $x \in A$ and $\lambda \in \rho_A(a)\}$ and

$$Q_\sigma(A) = \bigcup_{K \geq 1} Q_\sigma(K, A).$$

This paper stems from these ideas. An element a of A will be called ρ -quasi central if for some $K \geq 1$,

$$\|x(\lambda - a)\| \leq K \|(\lambda - a)x\|$$

for all $x \in A$ and $\lambda \in \sigma_A(a)$.

When $K \geq 1$, we shall write

$$Q_\rho(K, A) = \{a \in A: \|x(\lambda - a)\| \leq K \|(\lambda - a)x\|$$

for every $x \in A$ and $\lambda \in \sigma_A(a)\}$ and

$$Q_\rho(A) = \bigcup_{K \geq 1} (K, A).$$

Thus $Z(A) \subset Q(A) \subset Q_\rho(A)$.

THE ρ -QUASI CENTRAL ELEMENT

We start by noting an elementary property of the definition of Q_ρ .

Proposition 1.

$$Q_\rho(K, A) \cap Q_\sigma(K, A) = Q(K, A).$$

Proof:

Clearly $Q(K, A) \subset Q_\rho(K, A) \cap Q_\sigma(K, A)$.

If $a \in Q_\rho(K, A) \cap Q_\sigma(K, A)$, then

$$\|x(\lambda - a)\| \leq K \|(\lambda - a)x\| \quad \text{for all } x \in A \text{ and}$$

$$\lambda \in \sigma_A(a) \cup \rho_A(a) = C \text{ and so } a \in Q(K, A).$$

Corollary: If $\sigma_A(a)$ is countable for every $a \in Q_\sigma(A)$, then $Q_\sigma(A) \subset Q_\rho(A)$.

Proof: Since $\sigma_A(a)$ is a countable compact set, it has zero analytic capacity [5]. Making use of [2, Theorem 3.7] we have $Q_\sigma(A) = Q(A)$.

Since $Q(A) \subset Q_\rho(A)$, it follows that $Q_\sigma(A) \subset Q_\rho(A)$.

We give an example of a complex Banach algebra and a σ -quasi central element of A which is not ρ -quasi central.

EXAMPLE

Let B be the closed unit disc in the complex plane C .

Let $C(B)$ be the algebra of all continuous complex functions on B with the supremum norm.

Let $A = M_2(C(B))$ be the algebra of all 2×2 matrices over $C(B)$.

For $X = \begin{pmatrix} f & g \\ h & k \end{pmatrix} \in A$, define

$$\|X\| = \max\{\|f\| + \|g\|, \|h\| + \|k\|\}.$$

Choose any u and v in $C(B)$ with $v \neq 0$ and $uv = 0$.

Let

$$Y = \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & u \end{pmatrix}, \quad Z = \begin{pmatrix} v & -v \\ v & -v \end{pmatrix}, \quad W = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & v \\ 0 & v \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$T = Y + Z.$$

Then $TZ = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and so T^{-1} does not exist.

This implies that $(0-T)^{-1}$ does not exist and hence $0 \in \sigma_A(T)$. Moreover,

$$WT = \begin{pmatrix} v^2 & -v^2 \\ v^2 & -v^2 \end{pmatrix} \neq 0 \text{ since } v \neq 0$$

and

$$\|WT\| = \left\| \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\| = 0 \text{ since } uv = 0.$$

Moreover,

$$\|W(0I-T)\| = \|WT\| = \left\| \begin{pmatrix} v^2 & -v^2 \\ v^2 & -v^2 \end{pmatrix} \right\|$$

$$> 0 = K\|(0I-T)W\| = K\|TW\|.$$

Hence $T \notin Q(A)$.

Finally we show that $T \in Q_\sigma(A)$.

Observe that $Z^2 = YZ = 0$.

Thus for any non zero complex number λ we have

$$(\lambda I - Y)(I - \lambda^{-1}Z) = \lambda I - Z - Y = \lambda I - T.$$

Also,

$$(I - \lambda^{-1}Z)(I + \lambda^{-1}Z) = I - \lambda^{-2}Z^2 = I.$$

Therefore,

$$(I - \lambda^{-1}Z)^{-1} = (I + \lambda^{-1}Z).$$

Now by computation,

$$(\lambda I - Y)^{-1} \text{ does not exist iff } (\lambda I - u)^2 = 0,$$

and

$$(\lambda I - T)^{-1} \text{ does not exist iff } (\lambda I - u)^2 = 0.$$

We see that $\lambda \in \sigma_A(T)$ iff $\lambda \in \sigma_A(Y)$.

Let $\lambda \in \rho_A(T)$,

$$(\lambda I - T) = (\lambda I - Y)(I - \lambda^{-1}Z)$$

since

$$0 \notin \rho_A(T) (0 \in \sigma_A(T)).$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} & (\lambda I - T)^{-1}(\lambda I - T)(I + \lambda^{-1}Z)(\lambda I - Y)^{-1} \\ &= (\lambda I - T)^{-1}(\lambda I - Y)(I - \lambda^{-1}Z)(I + \lambda^{-1}Z)(\lambda I - Y)^{-1} \\ &= (\lambda I - T)^{-1}(\lambda I - Y)(\lambda I - Y)^{-1} = (\lambda I - T)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & (\lambda I - T)^{-1} = (I + \lambda^{-1}Z)(\lambda I - Y)^{-1} \\ & \text{for all } \lambda \in \rho_A(T) = \rho_A(Y). \end{aligned}$$

Let $X = \begin{pmatrix} f & g \\ h & k \end{pmatrix} \in A$. Then

$$YX = XY \text{ and so } Y \in Z(A), \text{ the center of } A.$$

By computation,

$$(\lambda I - T)^{-1}x(\lambda I - T) = (I + \lambda^{-1}Z)x(I - \lambda^{-1}Z).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(\lambda I - T)^{-1}x(\lambda I - T)\| \leq (1 + |\lambda^{-1}||Z|) \\ & \times \|x\| \left(1 + \frac{\|Z\|}{|\lambda|} \right) \leq K\|X\|, \end{aligned}$$

where $K = (1 + |\lambda^{-1}||Z|)^2$ and so $T \in Q_\sigma(A)$.

INNER DERIVATIONS

For a in a Banach algebra A , let D_a be the inner derivation corresponding to a , defined by

$$D_a x = ax - xa (x \in A).$$

Proposition 2

Let A be a Banach algebra and $a \in Q_p(K, A)$. Then,

$$\|D_a T\| \leq (K+1)\|(\lambda I - L_a)T\|$$

for every bounded linear operator T on A , $\lambda \in \sigma_A(a)$, and

$$L_a x = xa (x \in A).$$

Proof: Let $R_a x = ax$ for every $x \in A$.

Let $a \in Q_p(K, A)$. Then for every $x \in A$ and $\lambda \in \sigma_A(a)$ we have,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|x(\lambda e - a)\| \leq K\|(\lambda e - a)x\|, \\ & \text{where } e \text{ is the unit element of } A, \text{ so that} \\ & \|x(\lambda e - a)\| = \|x\lambda - xa\| = \|\lambda x - R_a x\| \\ & = \|\lambda e - R_a\|x\| \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\|(\lambda e - a)x\| = \|(\lambda e - L_a)x\|,$$

so that

$$\|(\lambda e - R_a)x\| \leq K\|(\lambda e - L_a)x\|.$$

Hence

$$\|D_a x\| \leq (K+1)\|(\lambda e - L_a)x\|.$$

Let $T: A \rightarrow A$ be any bounded linear operator on A . Then for every $x \in A$ we have

$$\|D_a Tx\| \leq (K+1)\|(\lambda I - L_a)Tx\|, \lambda \in \sigma_A(a).$$

This implies that

$$\|D_a T\|(K+1)\|(\lambda I - L_a)T\|.$$

Proposition 3

Let $a \in Q_\rho(K, A)$ and $0 \in \sigma_A(a)$. Then for each integer n we have $\|D_a^n\| \leq (K+1)^n \|a\|^n$.

Proof: We prove this result by induction on n . Since $0 \in \sigma_A(a)$ we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \|xa\| &\leq K\|ax\| \text{ and so} \\ \|D_a x\| &\leq \|ax\| + \|xa\| \leq (K+1)\|ax\| \\ &\leq (K+1)\|a\|\|x\| \text{ for every } x \text{ in } A. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\|D_a\| \leq (K+1)\|a\|.$$

Next, assume that for some integer n :

$$\|D_a^n\| \leq (K+L)^n \|a\|^n.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|D_a^{n+1}x\| &= \|D_a^n D_a x\| \leq (K+1)^n \|a\|^n \|D_a x\| \\ &\leq (K+1)^{n+1} \|a\|^{n+1} \|x\| \end{aligned}$$

for every x in A .

This proves that

$$\|D_a^{n+1}\| \leq (K+1)^{n+1} \|a\|^{n+1}$$

and so by induction the proof is completed.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Lepage, "Sur quelques conditions entrainant la commutativit  dans les algebres de Banach", *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser A-B*, **265** (1967), p. 235.
- [2] J. F. Rennison, "Conditions Related to Centrality in a Banach Algebra", *J. London Math. Soc.*, **26** (1982), p. 155.
- [3] J. F. Rennison, "Conditions Related to Centrality in a Banach Algebra. II", *J. London Math. Soc.*, **35** (1987), p. 499.
- [4] J. F. Rennison, "The Quasi Centre of a Banach Algebra", *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil.*, 1988, p. 333.
- [5] M. Tsuji, *Potential Theory in Modern Function Theory*. Tokyo: Maruzen, 1959, p. 67.

Paper Received 13 May 1989; Revised 10 April 1990.