## USE OF TRITON X-100 IN A PHOTOGALVANIC CELL FOR SOLAR ENERGY CONVERSION AND STORAGE: THIONINE-EDTA SYSTEM

Suresh C. Ameta\*, Anil K. Chittora, Sadhna Khamesra

and

Rameshwar Ameta

Department of Chemistry, University College of Science Sukhadia University Udaipur (Rajasthan) - 313 001, India

الخلاصة :

لقد تسمَّ استخدام كلَّ من : ثيونين ، وإيثيلين ثنائي أمين رباعي حمض الاسيتيك ، وترايتون (X···X) على التوالي كمواد حسَّاسًة ضوئيا ومختزلة ومنظَّفة وذلك في خلية جلڤانية ضوئية لغرض تحويل الطاقة الشمسية . وأمكن توليد تيار ضوئي وجهد ضوئي في هذه الخلية مقدارهما ( · , · ٧ ميكروامبير ) و ( · , ٨٨٨ ميللي ڤولت ) على التوالي . وتم دراسة تأثير عوامل متعددة على مردود الخلية الكهربائي وملاحظة خصائص تغيَّر التيار مع الجهد في هذه الخلية .

#### ABSTRACT

Thionine, ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid, and Triton X-100 (Tx) have been used as photosensitizer, reductant, and surfactant, respectively in a photogalvanic cell for solar energy conversion. The photocurrent and photopotential generated by this cell were  $70.0 \,\mu$ A and  $888.0 \,m$ V, respectively. The effect of various parameters on the electrical output of the cell was studied, and current-voltage characteristics of the cell have also been observed.

\*Address for correspondence:

15 Radheyshyam Street, Bhrampole Gate Udaipur 313 001, Rajasthan India

# USE OF TRITON X-100 IN A PHOTOGALVANIC CELL FOR SOLAR ENERGY CONVERSION AND STORAGE: THIONINE-EDTA SYSTEM

#### INTRODUCTION

The photogalvanic effect was first reported by Rideal and Williams [1] and was systematically studied by Rabinowitch [2]. Later, it has been the subject of many studies [3-12]. Problems encountered in the development of this field have been discussed by Hoffman and Lichtin [13].

A careful literature survey shows that negligible attention has been paid to use of the Thionine– EDTA system in photogalvanic cells for solar energy conversion and, therefore, the present work was undertaken.

#### **EXPERIMENTAL**

Thionine (Rideal), Triton X-100 (BDH), sodium hydroxide (SM), and EDTA-disodium salt (EM 'GR') were used in the present work. Doubly distilled water was used to prepare all the solutions. A mixture of the solutions of the dye, EDTA, sodium hydroxide, and surfactant was taken in a H-shaped glass cell. A platinum electrode  $(1.0 \times 1.0 \text{ cm})$  was dipped in one limb of the cell and a saturated calomel electrode (SCE) was kept in the other. The platinum electrode was exposed to a 200 W tungsten lamp (Sylvania) kept at a distance of 25 cm from the electrodes. The limb containing the SCE was kept in the dark. A water filter was used to cut off infrared radiations.

The photochemical bleaching of thionine was studied potentiometrically. The photopotential and photocurrent generated by the system Th/EDTA/OH<sup>-</sup>/Triton X-100/h $\nu$  were measured with a digital pH meter (Systronics Model 335) and multimeter (Systronics Model 435), respectively. The i-V characteristics of the cell were studied by using an external load (log 500 K) in the circuit.

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### Effect of Variation of Triton X-100 Concentration

The effect of variation of Tx concentration on the electrical output of the cell was studied and the results are given in Table 1.

The electrical output of the cell was found to increase with increase in surfactant concentration until it reaches a maximum value. A further increase in the concentration of Tx-100 resulted into a fall in photopotential and photocurrent.

Table	1.	Effect	of	Тx	Concentration.

[Thionine] = 1.4 [EDTA] = 8.40 >	×10 <sup>-4</sup> м Теп	pH = 11.3 Temperature = 303 K Intensity = 10.4 mW cm <sup>-2</sup>	
[Tx]×10 <sup>4</sup> м	Photopotential (mV)	Photocurrent (µA)	
2.62	612.0	60.0	
3.05	746.0	64.0	
3.50	853.0	68.0	
3.92	888.0	70.0	
4.36	845.0	67.0	
5,23	856.0	58.0	

#### Effect of Variation of pH

The dependence of the electrical output of the cell on the pH variation was observed and the results are reported in Table 2.

Table 2. Effect of Variation of pH.

• •	$ 8.40 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M} $ Intens	Temperature = $303 \text{ K}$ Intensity = $10.4 \text{ mW cm}^{-2}$	
рН	Photopotential (mV)	Photocurrent (µA)	
10.7	710.0	40.0	
10.9	789.0	49.0	
11.1	805.0	58.0	
11.3	888.0	70.0	
11.4	845.0	66.0	
11.5	802.0	60.0	

It is clear from the above data that electrical output of the cell was found to increase on increasing the pH values, reaching a maximum at pH = 11.3. On further increase in pH, there was a decrease in photopotential and photocurrent. It was observed that the pH for the optimum condition is related to the  $pK_a$  of the reductant and the desired pH is higher than its  $pK_a$  value (pH>pK<sub>a</sub>). At pH>pK<sub>a</sub>, the reductant will be available in its anionic form which is definitely a better electron donor than its unionized form.

$$pH = pK_a + \log \frac{[Salt]}{[Acid]}$$

at  $pH > pK_a$ 

[Salt]  $\geq$  [Acid] [Ionic form]  $\geq$  [Unionized form].

#### Effect of Variation of Reductant Concentration

The electrical output of the cell was affected by the variation in reductant concentration in the system. The results are summarized in Table 3.

Table 3. Effect of Variation of EDTA Concentration.

[Thionine] = $1.47 \times 10^{-4}$ [Tx] = $3.92 \times 10^{-4}$ M	Temp	pH = 11.3 Temperature = 303 K Intensity = 10.4 mW cm <sup>-2</sup>	
[EDTA] × 10 <sup>4</sup> M	Photopotential (mV)	Photocurrent (µA)	
7.20	668.0	31.0	
7.60	746.0	47.0	
8.00	824.0	62.0	
8.40	888.0	70.0	
8.80	815.0	61.0	
9.00	766.0	49.0	

Lower concentration of reductant resulted into a fall in electrical output because fewer reductant molecules are available for electron donation to dye molecules. A still larger concentration of reductant again resulted into a decrease in electrical output because the large number of reductant molecules may hinder the dye molecules from reaching the electrode within the desired time limit.

#### Effect of Variation of Dye Concentration

The effect of variation of dye concentration on electrical output of the cell was studied and results are given in Table 4.

A decrease in dye concentration resulted into a fall in electrical output because fewer dye molecules are available for the excitation and subsequent donation of electrons to the platinum electrode. A still larger concentration of dye resulted in a decrease in electrical output as the intensity of light reaching the dye molecule near the electrode decreased due to absorption of the major portion of the light by dye molecules present in the path.

$[EDTA] = 8.40 \times 10^{-4} \text{ M}$ $[Tx] = 3.92 \times 10^{-4} \text{ M}$	Temp	pH = 11.3 Temperature = 303 K Intensity = 10.4 mW cm <sup>-2</sup>		
[Thionine] $\times 10^4$ M	Photopotential (mV)	Photocurrent (µA)		
1.00	698.0	41.0		
1.15	732.0	49.0		
1.35	816.0	61.0		
1.47	888.0	70.0		
1.60	765.0	58.0		
1.75	704.0	46.0		

Table 4. Effect of Variation of Thionine Concentration.

#### Effect of Diffusion Length

The effect of diffusion length (distance between the electrodes) on the current parameters of the cell  $(i_{\text{max}}, i_{\text{eq}}, \text{ and initial rate of generation of current})$ was studied using H-cells of different dimensions.

There are three possibilities for the combination of electroactive species:

- (i) The dye (D) itself is electrode-active in the illuminated chamber and the oxidized form of the reductant (R<sup>+</sup>) in the dark chamber;
- (*ii*) The leuco form  $(D_L)$  or semi-leuco form  $(D_s)$  of the dye and the oxidized form of the reductant are the main electrode-active species in the illuminated and dark chambers, respectively; and
- (*iii*) The dye itself is the electrode-active species in the dark chamber and its leuco or semi-leuco form in the illuminated chamber.

If the oxidized form of the reductant  $(R^+)$  is considered to be an electrode-active species in the dark chamber, then it must diffuse from the illuminated chamber to the dark chamber to accept an electron from the dark electrode. On these grounds, the  $i_{max}$ and initial rate of photocurrent generation should decrease on increasing the diffusion length, as the oxidized form of the reductant is formed only in the illuminated chamber and the time taken by this electrode-active species to reach the other electrode will be affected by the diffusion length. However, in the present case the initial rate of photocurrent generation and  $i_{max}$  were found to be proportional to the diffusion lengths, while the value of  $i_{eq}$  was found to be independent of this variation and, therefore, the first two probabilities (i) and (ii) become invalid. On the basis of experimental observations, it is concluded that the oxidized form of the reductant  $(R^+)$ cannot be the electrode-active species in the dark chamber. The only possibility then is, the dye itself is the electrode-active species in the dark chamber and therefore, the corresponding electrode-active species in the illuminated chamber will be the semi-leuco  $(D_s)$  or leuco  $(D_L)$  form of the dye.

#### Current Voltage (i-V) Characteristics, Conversion Efficiency and Performance of the Cell

The short circuit current  $(i_{sc})$  and the open circuit voltage  $(V_{oc})$  of the photogalvanic cell were measured with a multimeter (keeping the circuit closed) and with a digital pH meter (keeping the circuit open), respectively. The current and potential values in between these two extreme values  $(V_{oc}$  and  $i_{sc})$ were recorded with the help of a carbon pot (log 500 K) connected in the circuit of the multimeter through which an external load was applied.

It was observed that the i-V curve of the cell deviated from its ideal regular rectangular shape. A point in i-V curve, called the power point (pp), was determined where the product of potential and current reached a maximum. The values of potential and current at the power point is represented as  $V_{pp}$ and  $i_{pp}$ , respectively. With the help of i-V curve, the fill factor and conversion efficiency of the cell were determined as 0.26 and 0.18%, respectively.

The performance of the cell was studied by applying the external load necessary to maintain the current and potential at the power point after removing the source of light. It was observed that the cell can be used in the dark at its power point for 60 minutes.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are grateful to Professor and Head, Department of Chemistry, Sukhadia University, Udaipur for providing the necessary laboratory facilities and to the University Grants Commission, New Delhi for financial support. Thanks are also due to Miss Sangeeta and Miss Anita Lodha for their critical discussions.

#### REFERENCES

- E. K. Rideal and D. C. Williams, J. Chem. Soc., 1925, p. 258.
- [2] E. Rabinowitch, J. Chem. Phys., 8 (1940), p. 551, 560.
- [3] G. Oster and A. H. Adelman, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 78 (1956), p. 913.
- [4] A. E. Potter and L. H. Thaller, *Solar Energy*, **3** (1959), p. 1.
- [5] R. Gomer, Electrochim. Acta, 20 (1975), p. 13.
- [6] W. D. K. Clark and R. A. Eckert, Solar Energy, 17 (1975), p. 147.
- [7] M. Kaneko and A. Yamada, J. Phys. Chem., 81 (1977), p. 1213.
- [8] T. J. Quickenden and G. K. Yim, Solar Energy, 19 (1977), p. 283.
- [9] A. Kirsch-De Mesmaker, P. Leempoel, and J. Nasielski, Nouv. J. Chem., 3 (1979), p. 239.
- [10] M. A. Fox and Kabir-ud-din, J. Phys. Chem., 83 (1960), p. 1800.
- [11] A. S. N. Murthy, H. C. Dak, and K. S. Reddy, Int. J. Energy Res., 4 (1980), p. 339.
- [12] Prabudh K. Jain, Praveen K. Jain, and Suresh C. Ameta, J. Phys. Chem. (Leipzig), 265 (1984), p. 841.
- [13] M. Z. Hoffman and N. N. Lichtin, in Solar Energy: Chemical Conversion and Storage. ed. R. R. Hautala, R. B. King, and C. Kutal. Clifton, N.J.: Humana Press, 1979, p. 153.

Paper Received 23 September 1990; Revised 2 November 1991.