

COMPACTIFICATION IN BITOPOLOGICAL SPACES

M. E. Abd El-Monsef, A. M. Kozae, and B. M. Taher*

*Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Science, Tanta University
Tanta, Egypt*

الخلاصة :

يهدف هذا البحث الى تقديم صفات وخواص لمفهوم جديد يسمى الفراغات التوبولوجية الثنائية المصممة من النوع $(i, j)\lambda_\gamma$ والتي تعتمد على مفهوم المؤثر $(i, j)\lambda_\gamma$ للمفاهيم التوبولوجية الثنائية، والذي يُعتبر تعميما لفكرة التصميغ للفراغات التوبولوجية الثنائية المعروفة باسم شبة مصممة وأقوى إصماتا، ودراسة مدى تأثير بعض الدوال على هذه الأنواع الجديدة من الفراغات.

ABSTRACT

Using the $(i, j)\lambda_\gamma$ -bitopological concepts, we construct $(i, j)\lambda_\gamma$ -compactness which generalizes the notion of pairwise compactness (semi-compactness, strong compactness). The images of these concepts under some types of functions are discussed. Also we introduce $(i, j)\lambda_\gamma$ -compactification of bispaces and many of its properties and characterization are investigated.

*To whom correspondence should be addressed.

COMPACTIFICATION IN BITOPOLOGICAL SPACES

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout the present paper, (X, τ_1, τ_2) and (Y, σ_1, σ_2) (or simply X and Y) always mean bitopological spaces (bispace) and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ represents a function. A subset A of space (X, τ) is α -open [1] (semi-open [2], preopen [3], β -open [4]) in X if $A \subseteq \text{Int}_\tau \text{Cl}_\tau \text{Int}_\tau(A)$ ($A \subseteq \text{Cl}_\tau \text{Int}_\tau(A)$, $A \subseteq \text{Int}_\tau \text{Cl}_\tau(A)$, $A \subseteq \text{Cl}_\tau \text{Int}_\tau \text{Cl}_\tau(A)$) where $\text{Int}_\tau \text{Cl}_\tau$ is the interior (closure) operator with respect to (w.r.t.) the topology τ . A bspace (X, τ_1, τ_2) is called weak pairwise T_1 , as given by Swart [5], if for every two distinct points in X , there exists a τ_1 -open set containing one but not the other and a τ_2 -open set containing the second but not the first. We are concerned mainly with the new idea of compactness in bitopological spaces. Several authors have considered the problem of defining compactness for bspace. Five apparently different definitions of bitopological compactness have appeared in the literature namely those of Kim[6], Fletcher, Hoyle, and Patty [7], Birsan [8], Swart [5], and Saegrove [9]. Cooke and Reilly [10] studied the relationships between these definitions and showed that the two definitions in [6, 7] are equivalent as in Theorem 2[10] in spite of the statements of Singal [11, p. 284] and Swart [5, p. 135]. A cover \mathcal{U} of a bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) is called $\tau_1\tau_2$ -open [5] (Definition 4.1) if $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \tau_1 \cup \tau_2$. If \mathcal{U} contains at least one non-empty member of τ_1 and at least one non-empty member of τ_2 , it is called pairwise open [12; Definition 3]. If every pairwise open cover of (X, τ_1, τ_2) has a finite subcover then the space is called pairwise compact [6].

According to Birsan's definition a bspace X is pair compact if every τ_1 -open cover can be reduced to a finite τ_2 -open and if every τ_2 -open cover can be reduced to a finite τ_1 -open cover. A bspace X is pair compact by Swart's definition, if every cover by sets from $\tau_1 \cup \tau_2$ has a finite subcover. In 1983 Mashhour *et al.* [12, 13] introduced the concept of pairwise strongly compact. A bspace X is pairwise strongly compact (semicompact [14]), if every pairwise pre-open cover $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \text{PO}(X, \tau_1) \cup \text{PO}(X, \tau_2)$ (pairwise semi-open cover $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \text{SO}(X, \tau_1) \cup \text{SO}(X, \tau_2)$) of X has a finite subcover. In 1979, Kasahara [15] defined an operation θ on a topology τ on a non-empty set X to be a function of τ into the power set $P(X)$ such that $G \subseteq G^\theta$, for every $G \in \tau$, where G^θ denotes the value of θ at G . The family of all operations θ is denoted by $O_{\tau(X)}$ and function $\theta^*: \tau_A \rightarrow P(A)$ satisfies $\omega^{\theta^*} = (G \cap A)^{\theta^*} = G^\theta \cap A$, for every $\omega = (G \cap A)$, $\omega \in \tau_A$, the operation θ^* is called the relative operation with respect to θ . An operation $\theta \in O_{\tau(X)}$ is said to be monotone, if for every $U, V \in \tau$ and $U \subseteq V$, $U^\theta \subseteq V^\theta$. In 1983, Abd El-Monsef *et al.* [16] generalized Kasahara's operation [15] by introducing an operation on the power set $P(X)$ of a topological space (X, τ) . A function $\Delta: P(X) \rightarrow P(X)$ (resp. $\delta: P(X) \rightarrow P(X)$) is said to be an operation on $P(X)$ of type I [16] (resp. of type II [16]) if $\text{Int}(A) \subseteq A^\Delta$ (resp. $\text{Cl}_\tau(A) \supseteq A^\delta$), for every $A \in P(X)$ where $A^\Delta(A^\delta)$ denotes the value $\Delta(\delta)$ at A . The family of all operations of type I (resp. of type II) is denoted by $O_{\tau(X)}$ (resp. $\tilde{O}_{\tau(X)}$). The two operations $\Delta \in O_{P(X)}$ and $\delta \in \tilde{O}_{P(X)}$ are said to be dual, if $(X - A^\Delta) = (X - A)^\delta$, for every $A \in P(X)$. Equivalently, δ, Δ are dual, if $(X - A^\delta) = (X - A)^\Delta$, for every $A \in P(X)$. An operation $\Delta \in O_{P(X)}$ (resp. $\delta \in \tilde{O}_{P(X)}$) is said to be monotone [16], if $A \subseteq B$ implies $A^\Delta \subseteq B^\Delta$ (resp. $A^\delta \subseteq B^\delta$).

2. $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma$ -COMPLETE REGULARITY

Definition 2.1. A function $\lambda_\gamma: P(X) \rightarrow P(X)$ is called a (j, i) operation on $P(X)$ of a bspace (X, τ_1, τ_2) if λ_γ is an operation on $P(X)$ of type I and also of type II with respect to (X, τ_j) and (X, τ_i) respectively, i.e. $\text{Int}_{\tau_j}(A) \subseteq A^{\lambda_\gamma}$ (and $\text{Cl}_{\tau_i}(A) \supseteq A^{\lambda_\gamma}$) for every $A \in P(X)$, where A^{λ_γ} denotes the value of λ_γ at A and $i \neq j, i, j = 1, 2$.

If $Y \subseteq X$ then the function $\lambda_\gamma^*: P(Y) \rightarrow P(Y)$ is called a (j, i) relative operation with respect to the (j, i) operation λ_γ . The family of all (j, i) operations on $P(X)$ with respect to a bspace (X, τ_1, τ_2) is denoted by $(j, i) O_{P(X)}$. A (j, i) operation $\lambda_\gamma \in (j, i) O_{P(X)}$ is called bimonotone, if $A \subseteq B$ implies $A^{\lambda_\gamma} \subseteq B^{\lambda_\gamma}$.

Definition 2.2. A subset A of a bispace (X, τ_1, τ_2) is called a (j, i) λ_γ -open set, if $A \subseteq A^{\lambda_\gamma}$.

It is easy to get corresponding statements for (j, i) λ_γ -closed sets in bispaces. In a bispace (X, τ_1, τ_2) the class of (j, i) λ_γ -open ((j, i) λ_γ -closed) sets will be denoted by $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma O(X)$ ($(j, i) \lambda_\gamma C(X)$).

Definition 2.3. A subset Y of a bispace (X, τ_1, τ_2) is called a $\tau_1 \tau_2$ -open set if Y is τ_1 -open and τ_2 -open.

Lemma 2.1. If Y is a $\tau_1 \tau_2$ -open set and $A \in (j, i) \lambda_\gamma^* O(Y)$, then $A \in (j, i) \lambda_\gamma O(X)$.

Lemma 2.2. If Y is a $\tau_1 \tau_2$ -open subspace of a bispace (X, τ_1, τ_2) and $V \in (j, i) \lambda_\gamma O(X)$, then $(Y \cap V) \in (j, i) \lambda_\gamma^* O(Y)$.

Definition 2.4. Let A be a subset of a bispace (X, τ_1, τ_2) , then the intersection of all (j, i) λ_γ -closed sets containing A is called the (j, i) λ_γ -closure of A and is denoted by $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma Cl(A)$.

Definition 2.5. A function $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is called (j, i) λ_γ -continuous if the inverse image of each σ_j -open set in Y is a (j, i) λ_γ -open set in X .

Definition 2.6. A function $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma^*$ -open ($(j, i) \lambda_\gamma^*$ -closed) if the image of every τ_j -open (τ_j -closed) set in X is a $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma^*$ -open ($(j, i) \lambda_\gamma^*$ -closed) set in Y .

Definition 2.7. A function $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma \lambda_\gamma^*$ -continuous, if the inverse image of each $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma^*$ -open set in Y is $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma$ -open in X .

Definition 2.8. A function $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ is called $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma \lambda_\gamma^*$ -open [$(j, i) \lambda_\gamma \lambda_\gamma^*$ -closed], if the image of each $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma$ -open ($(j, i) \lambda_\gamma$ -closed) set in X is a $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma^*$ -open ($(j, i) \lambda_\gamma^*$ -closed) set in Y .

Definition 2.9. Two bispaces X and Y are called $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma \lambda_\gamma^*$ -homeomorphic equivalent if there exists a bijective function $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ such that f is $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma \lambda_\gamma^*$ -continuous and $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma \lambda_\gamma^*$ -open; such a function f is called a $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma \lambda_\gamma^*$ -homeomorphism.

Definition 2.10. A bispace X is called $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma$ -completely regular if for each $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma$ -closed set F and for each point $x \notin F$ there exists a $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma$ -continuous function $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $f(x) = 0, f(y) = 1, y \in F$.

A $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma$ -completely regular space which is weak pair T_1 as given by Swart [5] is called weak $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma-T_{3\frac{1}{2}}$.

Definition 2.11. Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be a bispace, \mathfrak{S} be a family of $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma$ -closed sets and Φ a family of $(i, j) \lambda_\gamma$ -closed sets; then the pair (\mathfrak{S}, Φ) is called a bi λ_γ -normal pair iff for each $A \in \mathfrak{S}$ and $B \in \Phi$, such that $A \cap B = \emptyset$ there exist $C \in \Phi, D \in \mathfrak{S}$ such that $(X - C) \cap (X - D) = \emptyset$ and $A \subseteq (X - C), B \subseteq (X - D)$.

Definition 2.12. Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be a bispace, \mathfrak{S} be a family of $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma$ -closed sets and Φ a family of $(i, j) \lambda_\gamma$ -closed sets; then the pair (\mathfrak{S}, Φ) is called a bi λ_γ -separating pair iff (i) and (ii) hold:

(i) If F is $(i, j) \lambda_\gamma$ -closed and $x \notin F$, then there exist $A \in \Phi$ and $B \in \mathfrak{S}$ such that $x \in A, F \subseteq B$, and $A \cap B = \emptyset$.

(ii) If F is $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma$ -closed and $x \notin F$, then there exist $A \in \mathfrak{S}$ and $B \in \Phi$, such that $x \in A, F \subseteq B$, and $A \cap B = \emptyset$.

Definition 2.13. A set E is a (i, j) zero set iff there exists a $(i, j) \lambda_\gamma$ -continuous function $f: X \rightarrow (\mathfrak{R}, R, L)$ such that $E = \{x: f(x) \leq 0\}$ ($E = \{x: f(x) \geq 0\}$), where \mathfrak{R} is the real line, R is the set of open right rays, and L the set of open left rays.

Theorem 2.1. A bispace (X, τ_1, τ_2) is (j, i) λ_γ -completely regular iff it possesses a bi λ_γ -normal bi λ_γ -separating pair.

Proof. It is easy to verify that the families \mathfrak{S} of all (j, i) zero sets and Φ of all (i, j) zero sets form a bi λ_γ -normal, bi λ_γ -separating pair. To prove the converse, assume that (\mathfrak{S}, Φ) is a bi λ_γ -normal bi λ_γ -separating pair and F is a (j, i) λ_γ -closed set with $x \notin F$, then there are $F_0 \in \mathfrak{S}$ and $G_1 \in \Phi$ such that $x \in F_0$, $F \subseteq G_1$, and $F_0 \cap G_1 = \emptyset$. By the bi λ_γ -normality condition there are $G_{1/2} \in \Phi$ and $F_{1/2} \in \mathfrak{S}$ such that $(X - F_{1/2}) \cap (X - G_{1/2}) = \emptyset$ and $F_0 \subseteq (X - G_{1/2})$, $G_1 \subseteq (X - F_{1/2})$. Thus $X \in F_0 \subseteq (X - G_{1/2}) \subseteq F_{1/2} \subseteq (X - G_1)$. Since $F_0 \cap G_{1/2} = \emptyset$, again by bi λ_γ -normality of (\mathfrak{S}, Φ) there are $F_{1/4}, G_{1/4} \in \mathfrak{S}$ and Φ respectively such that $(X - F_{1/4}) \cap (X - G_{1/4}) = \emptyset$ and $F_0 \subseteq (X - G_{1/4})$, and $G_{1/2} \subseteq (X - F_{1/4})$, $F_{1/4} \subseteq (X - G_{1/2}) \subseteq F_{1/2} \subseteq (X - G_1)$. So $F_{1/4} \cap G_1 = \emptyset$, similarly we get sets $F_{3/4}, G_{3/4}$ such that $F_{1/2} \subseteq (X - G_{3/4})$, $G_1 \subseteq (X - F_{3/4})$. Thus we now have $x \in F_0 \subseteq (X - G_{1/4}) \subseteq F_{1/4} \subseteq (X - G_{1/2}) \subseteq F_{1/2} \subseteq (X - G_{3/4}) \subseteq F_{3/4} \subseteq (X - G_1)$. Continuing this process we get the collections $\{F_L\}_{L \in D} \subseteq \mathfrak{S}$ and $\{G_k\}_{k \in D} \subseteq \Phi$ where D is the set of diadic rationales between 0,1 such that $K, L \in D, K < L, F_0 \subseteq (X - G_k) \subseteq F_k \subseteq (X - G_L) \subseteq F_L \subseteq (X - G_1)$. Now define a function $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow [0,1]$ by $f(x) = \inf\{t \in D: x \in X - G_t\}$, $f(x) = 1$, for $x \in G_1$. We can show that f is a (j, i) λ_γ -continuous function onto $([0,1], R, L)$ which is obviously 0 on $\{x\}$ and 1 on F . Hence (X, τ_1, τ_2) is (j, i) λ_γ -completely regular.

3. (j, i) λ_γ -COMPACT SPACES

Definition 3.1. A bispace (X, τ_1, τ_2) is called (j, i) λ_γ -compact. If every (j, i) λ_γ -open cover of X has a finite subcover of X .

Example 3.1.

- (i) If λ_γ is the identity operation then (j, i) λ_γ -compact is just pairwise compact as in Swart's definition.
- (ii) If $\lambda_\gamma = \text{Int}_{\tau_j} \text{Cl}_{\tau_i} \text{Int}_{\tau_j} (\text{Cl}_{\tau_j} \text{Int}_{\tau_i}, \text{Int}_{\tau_j} \text{Cl}_{\tau_i}, \text{Cl}_{\tau_j} \text{Int}_{\tau_i} \text{Cl}_{\tau_j})$ then (j, i) λ_γ -compact is (j, i) α -compact ((j, i) semi-compact, (j, i) strongly-compact, (j, i) β -compact).
- (iii) If $i = j$, we return to the ordinary cases (pairwise cases).

Example 3.2. A bispace $X = [0,1]$ with two topologies $\tau_1 = \{X, \emptyset, \{0\}\}$, $\tau_2 = \{X, \emptyset, \{1\}\}$, is (2,1) semi-compact but not pairwise semi-compact because $\{\{0, x\}, \{1\}, x \in X\}$ has not a finite subcover.

Example 3.3. A bispace $X = [0, 1]$ with two topologies $\tau_1 = \text{discrete topology}$ $\tau_2 = \{X, \emptyset, (a,1], a \in X\}$ is pairwise semi-compact but not (2,1) semi-compact because $\{\{1\}, [0, a), a \in X\}$ is a (2,1) semi-open cover which has not a finite subcover.

The implications between the above compactness conditions are as in the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (j, i) \beta\text{-compact} & \Rightarrow & (j, i) \text{ semi-compact} \\
 \Downarrow & & \Downarrow \\
 (i, j) \text{ strongly compact} & \Rightarrow & (i, j) \alpha\text{-compact} \Rightarrow \tau_j\text{-compact.}
 \end{array}$$

One can easily get examples to verify that the converses of these implications may not be true in general.

Definition 3.2. A subset S of a bispace (X, τ_1, τ_2) is said to be (j, i) λ_γ^* -compact relative to X if every cover of S by (j, i) λ_γ -open subsets of X has a finite subcover, where $\lambda_\gamma^*: P(S) \rightarrow P(S)$.

Theorem 3.1. A bispace (X, τ_1, τ_2) is $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma$ -compact iff any $\tau_1 \tau_2$ -open subspace S of X is $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma^*$ -compact relative to X .

Proof. Obvious from Lemmas (2.1), (2.2).

Theorem 3.2. A bispace is $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma$ -closed subset of a $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma$ -compact bispace is $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma$ -compact.

Theorem 3.3. The following statements are equivalent for a bispace (X, τ_1, τ_2) .

- (i) X is $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma$ -compact.
- (ii) Any family of $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma$ -closed sets satisfying the finite intersection property has a non empty intersection.
- (iii) Any family of $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma$ -closed sets of X with empty intersection has a finite subfamily with empty intersection.

Theorem 3.4.

- (i) The image of a $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma$ -compact bispace under a $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma$ -continuous function is $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma^*$ -compact.
- (ii) Let f be $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma$ -continuous function from a $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma$ -compact bispace X onto a bispace Y . Then Y is $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma^*$ -compact.

The product of a family $\{X_\alpha, \tau_{1\alpha}, \tau_{2\alpha}\}$ is defined in the natural way to be $\{\prod_{\alpha \in A} X_\alpha, \prod_{\alpha \in A} \tau_{1\alpha}, \prod_{\alpha \in A} \tau_{2\alpha}\}$ where $\prod_{\alpha \in A} \tau_{1\alpha}, \prod_{\alpha \in A} \tau_{2\alpha}$ are the usual product topologies in $\prod_{\alpha \in A} (X_\alpha, \tau_{1\alpha})$ and $\prod_{\alpha \in A} (X_\alpha, \tau_{2\alpha})$ respectively. The standard theorems regarding continuity of projections *etc.* follow immediately from the definitions (see [5]).

Definition 3.3. [17] The product topology $\prod_{\alpha} \tau_\alpha$ on the product space $X = \prod_{\alpha} X_\alpha$ may now be defined as the weak topology induced by the family of all projections $P_\alpha: X \rightarrow X_\alpha$.

Theorem 3.5. All projections $P_{\alpha_k}: (\prod X_\alpha, \prod \tau_{1\alpha}, \prod \tau_{2\alpha}) \rightarrow (X_k, \tau_{1k}, \tau_{2k})$ are $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma$ -continuous and $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma^*$ -open where $\prod \tau_{1\alpha}, \prod \tau_{2\alpha}$ are two product topologies in $\prod \{X_\alpha\}$.

Proof. Obvious.

Theorem 3.6. A function $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (\prod_{\alpha} X_\alpha, \prod_{\alpha} \tau_{1\alpha}, \prod_{\alpha} \tau_{2\alpha})$ is $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma \lambda_\gamma^*$ -continuous iff $P_{\alpha_k} \circ f$ are $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma \lambda_\gamma^*$ -continuous.

Proof. Obvious.

Definition 3.4. Let F be a family of functions $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y_f, \sigma_{1f}, \sigma_{2f})$. Then $e: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (\prod \{Y_f\}, \prod \{\sigma_{1f}\}, \prod \{\sigma_{2f}\})$ which associates with every $x \in X$, $e(x)$ in $\prod \{Y_f\}$ whose f^{th} coordinate is $f(x)$ is called the evaluation map with respect to F .

Definition 3.5. A family F of functions $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y_f, \sigma_{1f}, \sigma_{2f})$ is said to distinguish points iff for each pair of distinct points x, y , there exists $f \in F$, such that $f(x) \neq f(y)$.

Definition 3.6. A family F of functions $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (Y_f, \sigma_{1f}, \sigma_{2f})$ is said to distinguish points and $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma$ -closed sets iff for every $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma$ -closed set $B \in X$ and every $x \in X, x \notin B$, then there exists $f \in F$ such that $f(x) \neq (j, i) \lambda_\gamma\text{-Cl}(f(B))$.

Theorem 3.7. Let F be a family of $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma$ -continuous functions $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (\Pi\{Y_f\}, \Pi\{\sigma_{1f}\}, \Pi\{\sigma_{2f}\})$. If F distinguishes points, and $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma$ -closed sets, then the evaluation function e provides a $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma \lambda_\gamma^*$ -homeomorphism function from X onto the subspace $e(X)$ of $(\Pi\{Y_f\}, \Pi\{\sigma_{1f}\}, \Pi\{\sigma_{2f}\})$, where $\lambda_\gamma^*: P(\Pi\{Y_f\}) \rightarrow P(\Pi\{Y_f\})$.

Definition 3.7. A bispaces (X, τ_1, τ_2) is pseudo $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma$ -compact iff every $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma$ -continuous function $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{R}, R, L)$ is bounded.

Definition 3.8. A weak $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma$ - $T_{3\frac{1}{2}}$ space is $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma \lambda_\gamma^*$ -real compact iff it is $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma \lambda_\gamma^*$ -homeomorphic to the intersection of a ΠR -closed subset and ΠL -closed subset of a product of copies of (\mathfrak{R}, R, L) .

Definition 3.9. A bispaces (X, τ_1, τ_2) is $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma$ -compact iff it is pseudo $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma$ -compact and $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma \lambda_\gamma^*$ -real compact.

Theorem 3.8. $([0, 1], R, L)$ is $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma$ -compact.

Proof. Obvious.

Theorem 3.9. A weak $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma$ - $T_{3\frac{1}{2}}$ space (X, τ_1, τ_2) is $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma \lambda_\gamma^*$ -homeomorphic to a subspace of a product of copies of $([0, 1], R, L)$.

Proof. Consider the family $F = \{f_\mu\}_{\mu \in M}$ of all $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma$ -continuous $f_\mu: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow ([0, 1], R, L)$. Define $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow \Pi_{\mu \in M} ([0, 1], R, L)$ by $(f(x))_\mu = f_\mu(x)$. It is not difficult to show that f is a $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma \lambda_\gamma^*$ -homeomorphism from Theorem (3.7).

Theorem 3.10. The product of a family $\{(X_\alpha, \tau_{1\alpha}, \tau_{2\alpha})\}$ of $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma$ -compact spaces is $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma$ -compact.

Proof. Since the product of weak $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma$ - $T_{3\frac{1}{2}}$ spaces is weak $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma$ - $T_{3\frac{1}{2}}$ we need to show:

- (i) $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma \lambda_\gamma^*$ -real compactness
- (ii) pseudo $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma$ -compactness.

To prove (i) since each $\{(X_\alpha, \tau_{1\alpha}, \tau_{2\alpha})\}$ is $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma$ -compact, we can find for each α a $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma \lambda_\gamma^*$ -homeomorphism h_α from $\{X_\alpha, \tau_{1\alpha}, \tau_{2\alpha}\}$ onto the intersection of a ΠR -closed subset $C_{\Pi R\alpha}$ and ΠL -closed subset $C_{\Pi L\alpha}$ of a product of copies of (\mathfrak{R}, R, L) which we may assume to be contained in a product of bounded intervals $\Pi_{\lambda \in \Lambda_\alpha} ([a_\lambda, b_\lambda], R, L)$, $h = \Pi_{\alpha \in \Lambda} h_\alpha$ is a $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma \lambda_\gamma^*$ -homeomorphism onto $\Pi_{\alpha \in \Lambda} (C_{\Pi R\alpha} \cap C_{\Pi L\alpha}) = \Pi_{\alpha \in \Lambda} C_{\Pi R} \cap \Pi_{\alpha \in \Lambda} C_{\Pi L}$ which is the intersection of a ΠR -closed subset with a ΠL -closed subset of $(\Pi_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (\Pi_{\lambda \in \Lambda_\alpha} (\mathfrak{R}, R, L)))$.

(ii) If $\Pi_{\alpha \in \Lambda} (X_\alpha, \tau_{1\alpha}, \tau_{2\alpha})$ is not pseudo $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma$ -compact, there would exist a $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma \lambda_\gamma^*$ -continuous functions on the product which is unbounded (assume on the right ray). Hence a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in N}$ could be found such that $f(x_n) > n$ for each n . The induced sequence $\{h(x_n)\}_{n \in N}$ is in set $(\Pi_{\alpha \in \Lambda} C_{\Pi R} \cap \Pi_{\alpha \in \Lambda} C_{\Pi L}) \subseteq \Pi_{\alpha \in \Lambda} (\Pi_{\lambda \in \Lambda_\alpha} ([a_\lambda, b_\lambda], R, L))$ and has a cluster point y_0 with respect to the usual topology, hence with respect to the two topologies ΠR and ΠL . Since $y_0 \in \Pi_{\alpha \in \Lambda} C_{\Pi R\alpha} \cap \Pi_{\alpha \in \Lambda} C_{\Pi L\alpha}$ there exists $x_0 \in \Pi_{\alpha \in \Lambda} (X_\alpha, \tau_{1\alpha}, \tau_{2\alpha})$ such that $h(x_0) = y_0$. Since x_0 is a $\Pi \tau_1$ and $\Pi \tau_2$ -cluster point for $\{x_n\}_{n \in N}$ and $f^{-1}(-\infty, f(x_0) + \delta)$ contains infinitely many x_n ; this is a contradiction. Then $(\Pi(X_\alpha, \tau_{1\alpha}, \tau_{2\alpha}))$ is pseudo $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma$ -compact and hence $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma$ -compact.

Example 3.3. $[-1, 0) \cup (0, 1]$ with the induced right ray and the induced left ray topologies is $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma$ -compact but is not under Swart's definition.

Corollary 3.1. $\Pi([0, 1], R, L)$ is $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma$ -compact.

Theorem 3.11. The intersection of ΠR -closed subset with a ΠL -closed subset of a $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma$ -compact space is $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma$ -compact.

Proof. In the same manner as for the proof of Theorem 3.10, we can prove pseudo $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma$ -compactness.

If $A = C_{\Pi R} \cap C_{\Pi L}$, where $C_{\Pi R}$ is ΠR -closed and $C_{\Pi L}$ is ΠL -closed, then $h(A) = h(C_{\Pi R}) \cap h(C_{\Pi L}) = (h(C_{\Pi R}) \cap (C_{\Pi R})) \cap (h(C_{\Pi L}) \cap (C_{\Pi L}))$ which is the intersection of a ΠR -closed subset with a ΠL -closed subset of a product of copies of (\mathfrak{R}, R, L) .

4. $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma^*$ -COMPACTIFICATIONS

Definition 4.1. A subset E of a bispace (X, τ_1, τ_2) is called $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma$ -dense if the $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma$ -closure of $E = X$.

Definition 4.2. A bispace $(X^*, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*)$ is a $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma^*$ -compactification for (X, τ_1, τ_2) iff $(X^*, \tau_1^*, \tau_2^*)$ is $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma^*$ -compact and (X, τ_1, τ_2) is $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma \lambda_\gamma^*$ -homeomorphic to a subspace S of X^* , where S is a $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma$ -dense subset of X^* .

Theorem 4.1. If (X, τ_1, τ_2) is a weak $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma - T_{3\frac{1}{2}}$ space, then there exists a $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma$ -compactification for it.

Proof. From Theorem 3.9 a bispace (X, τ_1, τ_2) is $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma^*$ -homeomorphic to a subspace $A = C_{\Pi R} \cap C_{\Pi L}$ of a product $\prod_{\alpha \in \Lambda} ([0, 1], R, L)$ and the evaluation function $e: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2) \rightarrow \prod_{\alpha \in \Lambda} (S_\alpha, R_{\alpha_s}, L_{\alpha_s})$ where $R_{\alpha_s}, L_{\alpha_s}$ are two relative topologies with respect to the set $S = (j, i) \lambda_\gamma - Cl(e(X)) = (j, i) \lambda_\gamma - Cl \Pi(A) = \Pi(A)$. Since S is a $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma$ -dense subset of $\Pi(A)$ which is $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma$ -compact because it is $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma$ -closed set in $\prod_{\alpha \in \Lambda} ([0, 1], R, L)$. Thus $((j, i) \lambda_\gamma - Cl(\Pi(A)), \Pi R_\alpha, \Pi L_\alpha)$ is $(j, i) \lambda_\gamma$ -compactification for (X, τ_1, τ_2) .

REFERENCES

- [1] O. Njåstad, "On Some Class of Nearly Open Sets", *Pro. J. Math.*, **15** (1965), pp. 961–970.
- [2] N. Levine, "Semi Open Sets and Semi Continuous Mappings in Topological Spaces", *Amer. Math. Monthly*, **70** (1963), pp. 36–41.
- [3] A. S. Mashhour, M. E. Abd El-Monsef, S. N. El-Deep, "On Pre-Continuous and Weak Pre-Continuous Mappings", *Proc. Math. Phys. Soc. Egypt*, **53** (1982), pp. 47–53.
- [4] M. E. Abd El-Monsef, S. N. El-Deep, and R. A. Mahmoud, " β -Open Set and β -Continuous Mappings", *Bull. Fac. Sci. Assuit Univ.*, **12(1)** (1983), pp. 77–90.
- [5] J. Swart, "Total Disconnectedness in Bitopological Spaces. and Product Bitopological Spaces", *Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A 74, Indag. Math.*, **33** (1971), pp. 135–145.
- [6] Y. W. Kim, "Pairwise Compactness", *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, **15** (1968), pp. 87–90.
- [7] P. Fletcher, H. B. Hoyle III, and C. W. Patty, "Comparison of Topologies", *Duke Math. J.*, **36** (1969), pp. 325–331.
- [8] T. Birsan, "Compacite dens les Espaces Bitopologies", *An. Sti Univ., Iasi, S. I. (a), Math.*, **14** (1969), pp. 317–328.
- [9] M. J. Saegrove, "Pairwise Complete Regularity and Compactification in Bitopological Spaces", *J. London Math. Soc.*, **7** (1973), pp. 286–290.
- [10] I. L. Reilly and I. E. Cooke, "On Bitopological Compactness", *J. London Math. Soc.*, **9** (1975), pp. 518–522.
- [11] A. R. Singal, "Remarks on Separation Axioms", in *General Topology and Its Relations to Modern Analysis and Algebra-III, Proc. of the Kanpur Topological Conference 1968, Academia, Prague*, 1971, pp. 265–296.
- [12] F. H. Khedr, "On Bitopological Spaces", *Ph. D. Thesis, Assiut Univ., Egypt*, 1983.
- [13] T. Noiri, A. S. Mashhour, F. H. Khedr, and I. A. Hassanien, "Strong Compactness in Bitopological Spaces", *Indian J. of Math.*, **25(1)** (1983), pp. 45–52.
- [14] D. H. Pahk and B. D. Choi, "Notes on Pairwise Compactness", *Kyungpook Math. J.*, **11** (1971).
- [15] S. Kasahara, "Operation Compact Spaces", *Math. Japonica*, **24(1)** (1979), pp. 97–105.
- [16] M. E. Abd El-Monsef, F. M. Zeyada, and A. S. Mashhour, "Operation on the Power Set $P(X)$ of a Topological Spaces (X, τ) ", *Colloquium on Topology, Eger-Hungary*, August 9–13, 1983.
- [17] L. Gillman and M. Jerison, *Ring of Continuous Functions*. New York, Heidelberg, Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1979.

Paper Received 13 September 1992; Revised 14 February 1994, 22 August 1994; Accepted 8 April 1995.