

FURTHER WORK ON THE USE OF K_o FACTORS DETERMINED BY THE CADMIUM SUBTRACTION METHOD AS A TOOL FOR A CRITICAL EVALUATION OF NUCLEAR DATA

T. Elnimir

*Physics Department, Faculty of Science,
Tanta University, Tanta, Egypt*

1. INTRODUCTION

Nuclear constants for use in reactor activation analysis and epicadmium neutron activation analysis, especially (n, γ) cross-sections and absolute gamma intensities, are known to show a rather large scatter in the literature [1]. This work is a continuation of that already published [2], in which shows

how experimentally determined and accurate K_o -factors, used in a new comparator technique [5, 6], in some cases can be used to make a critical evaluation of the above mentioned constants. The method was applied to select preferred values for thermal cross-section (σ_o), resonance integral (I_o) and absolute gamma intensity.

Table 1. Different Reaction and Decay Types Together With Related Nuclear Parameters and Formulae When Using the K_o -Method

Reaction decay type	Reaction and decay schemes	K_o (definition)	Q_o analytical	A_{sp} (calculation)
(I)	$1 \xrightarrow[\sigma_o, I_o]{n, \nu} 2 \xrightarrow{\lambda_2} 3$	$\frac{M^* \theta \sigma_o \nu_2}{M \theta^* \sigma_o^* \nu^*}$	$\frac{I_o}{\sigma_o}$	$\frac{N_{p,2}/t_m}{w S_2 D_2 C_2}$
(II)		$\frac{M^* \theta \sigma_o^g \nu_3}{M \theta^* \sigma_o^* \nu^*}$	$\frac{I_o^g}{\sigma_o^g}$ $\frac{N_{p,3}/t_m}{w} \left[\frac{F_2 \sigma_o^m}{\sigma_o^g} \times \frac{(F+Q_o^m)}{(F+Q_o^g)} \right]$ $\times \frac{\lambda_3 S_2 D_2 C_2 - \lambda_2 S_3 D_3 C_3}{\lambda_3 - \lambda_2} + S_3 D_3 C_3 \right]^{-1}$	$\frac{N_{p,3}/t_m}{w} \left[\frac{K_o^m}{K_o^g} \times \frac{(F+Q_o^m)}{(F+Q_o^g)} \right]$ $\times \frac{\lambda_3 S_2 D_2 C_2 - \lambda_2 S_3 D_3 C_3}{\lambda_3 - \lambda_2} + S_3 D_3 C_3 \right]^{-1}$
(III)	Special case: $\lambda_2 \gg \lambda_3$ and $D_2 = 0$	$\frac{M^* \theta (F_2 \sigma_o^m + \sigma_o^g) \nu_3}{M \theta^* \sigma_o^* \nu^*}$	$\frac{F_2 I_o^m + I_o^g}{F_2 \sigma_o^m + \sigma_o^g}$	$\frac{N_{p,3}/t_m}{w S_3 D_3 C_3}$

Table 2. Preferred Nuclear Data and Decay Parameters Concerning the (n, γ) Reaction

Target element	Atomic Weight	σ_o (barn) [26]	I_o (barn) [26]	Target Isotope	Abundance (%) [26]	Isotope (reaction decay type)	Half-life $T_{1/2}$	\bar{E}_r (eV) [15]
Mg	24.30	0.063	0.038	^{26}Mg	11.01	^{27}Mg (I)	9.46 m	220 000
Al	26.98	0.233	0.17	^{27}Al	100	^{28}Al (I)	2.2405 m	8240
S	32.06	0.52	0.24	^{36}S	0.02	^{37}S (I)	5.06 m	
Ca	40.08	0.43	0.24	^{48}Ca	0.187	^{49}Ca (I)	8.72 m	
Sc	44.96	27	12	^{45}Sc	100	^{46}Sc (III)	83.8 d	4110
Fe	55.85	2.55	1.4	^{58}Fe	0.28	^{59}Fe (I)	44.50 d	325
Ga	69.72	2.9	22	^{71}Ga	39.9	^{72}Ga (III)	14.1 h	152
As	74.92	4.4	61	^{75}As	100	^{76}As (I)	26.3 h	102
Se	78.96	11.7	14	^{74}Se	0.9	^{75}Se (I)	119.8 d	29.5
Br	79.90	6.8	92	^{79}Br	50.69	$^{80\text{m}}\text{Br}$ ^{80}Br (II)	4.42 h 17.6 m	51.4
Sr	87.62	1.2	10	^{86}Sr	9.86	$^{87\text{m}}\text{Sr}$ (I)	2.806 h	672

F_{Cd} [5, 7, 8]	E_{γ} Main gamma (keV)	$Q_o(\alpha=0)$ recom. [32]	$(K_{o, \text{Au}})_{\text{exp}}$ (rel. err., %)L	$(K_{o, \text{Au}})_{\text{theor}}$	Evaluation value		
					σ_o (barn)	I_o (barn)	Absolute gamma Intensity (%)
1.00	170.5	0.69	3.00×10^{-6} (1.0)	3.09×10^{-6}	0.038	0.025	0.86
	843.8		2.52×10^{-4} (0.6)	2.51×10^{-4}			70.0
	1014.2		9.79×10^{-5} (0.6)	9.81×10^{-5}			27.3
1.00	2779.0	0.73	1.77×10^{-2} (0.9)	1.78×10^{-2}	0.230	0.17	100
	3103.8	1.12	1.94×10^{-6} (0.9)	1.95×10^{-6}	0.15	0.17	99.7
	3084.2	0.45	1.00×10^{-4} (1.2)	9.86×10^{-5}	1.1	0.50	92.1
	889.3	0.44	1.25 (0.8)	1.25	27	12	100
	1120.5		1.24 (0.4)	1.25			100
1.00	1099.2	0.96	7.84×10^{-5} (0.9)	7.86×10^{-5}	1.33	1.1	56.5
	1291.6		5.99×10^{-5} (0.9)	6.01×10^{-5}			43.2
1.00	629.9	6.63	1.48×10^{-2} (0.8)	1.46×10^{-2}	4.65	30.8	26.3
	834.1		5.27×10^{-2} (0.5)	5.31×10^{-2}			95.54
	894.2		5.49×10^{-3} (0.9)	5.47×10^{-3}			9.847
	1050.7		3.85×10^{-3} (1.1)	3.84×10^{-3}			6.921
	2201.6		1.44×10^{-2} (1.0)	1.45×10^{-2}			26.07
	2490.9		4.20×10^{-3} (1.5)	4.15×10^{-3}			7.476
	2501.6 ($E_{\text{eff.}}$)		1.15×10^{-2} (1.3)	1.14×10^{-2}			20.54
	2507.7 ($E_{\text{eff.}}$)		7.30×10^{-3} (1.0)	7.25×10^{-3}			13.06
	559.2 ($E_{\text{eff.}}$)	14.0	4.99×10^{-2} (1.0)	5.03×10^{-2}	4.3	60	41.99
	657.0		6.65×10^{-3} (0.8)	6.82×10^{-3}			5.69
	1215.2 ($E_{\text{eff.}}$)		5.25×10^{-3} (1.2)	5.21×10^{-3}			4.35
0.94	121.1	10.9	1.98×10^{-3} (0.5)	1.99×10^{-3}	51.8	565	16.15
	136.0		6.88×10^{-3} (0.6)	6.90×10^{-3}			56.02
	264.7		7.23×10^{-3} (0.9)	7.22×10^{-3}			58.60
	279.5		3.06×10^{-3} (0.9)	3.07×10^{-3}			24.9
	400.6		1.43×10^{-3} (0.8)	1.45×10^{-3}			11.78
1.00							
1.00	616.9	11.4	6.68×10^{-3} (0.9)	7.01×10^{-3}	0.4	96	6.3
	666.3		1.18×10^{-3} (0.9)	1.17×10^{-3}			1.05
1.00	388.5	4.11	1.49×10^{-3} (0.7)	1.49×10^{-3}	0.369	3.16	82.5

2. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS AND RESULTS

The experimental method has been described previously [2–4]; in the present work all K_o factors were experimentally determined and calculated versus the 411.794 keV γ -line of ^{198}Au [5]. The nuclear data of interest, which are required for the calculations, are listed in Table 1 of Reference [2]. Counting was performed using a 104 cm^3 and 40 cm^3 single open-ended coaxial Ge(Li) detector with a source-to-detector separation of 15 cm. Appropriate formulae for specific count rate calculations (and K_o and Q_o definitions) for several complex decay schemes (mother–daughter decay, etc.) are given in Table 1. The listed K_o -factors are those recommended when obtained according to the experimental method that has been described previously [2] and when the standard deviation on the mean was less than 2%. It should be realized that the average usually results from five measurements on two irradiation channels. Results of K_o determinations are shown in Table 2 for 12 isotopes, covering 29 γ -lines. In this evaluation several compilations were systematically surveyed [9–31] and other recent publications were consulted. The finally adopted values are based on the present evaluation result, and t -test can be performed for the comparison of the theoretical (X_{theor}) and mean experimental value (\bar{X}_{exp}). If S_{exp} is the standard deviation on the mean for an average value (\bar{X}_{exp}), then

$$t = \frac{(\bar{X})_{\text{exp}} - X_{\text{theor}}}{S_{\text{exp}}}.$$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are indebted to Professor Dr. A. M. Hassan, Dr. F. M. El-Assaly, and Dr. N. B. Rofail for their helpful discussions. We are also grateful to the staff of the Egyptian Reactor for their assistance with the irradiations.

REFERENCES

- [1] V. Kriván, *Symposium on Applications of Nuclear Data in Science and Technology, Paris, March, 1973.*
- [2] T. Elnimr, "A Critical Evaluation of Nuclear Data Using K_o -Factors Determined by the Cadmium Subtraction Method", *Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering*, **10**(2) (1985), p. 139.
- [3] T. Elnimr and F. A. El-Hussiny, "Further Work on the Use of $K_{e,o}$ Factors as a Tool for a Critical Evaluation of Reactor Thermal and Epithermal (n, γ) Cross-Sections and of Absolute Gamma Intensities", *Journal of Physics D: Applied Physics*, **18** (1985), p. 1967.
- [4] T. Elnimr and I. I. Bondouk, "The Use of $K_{e,o}$ Factors as a Tool for a Critical Evaluation of Reactor Thermal and Epithermal (n, γ) Cross-Sections and of Absolute Gamma-Intensities", *Journal of Physics D: Applied Physics*, **16** (1983), p. 1407.
- [5] T. Elnimr, L. Moens, F. De Corte, A. Simonits, and J. Hoste, "Epicadmium Neutron Activation Analysis (ENAA) Based on the K_o -Comparator Method", *Journal of Radioanalytical Chemistry*, **67** (1981), p. 421.
- [6] T. Elnimr, "Epicadmium Neutronenactiverings Analyses Met Behulp van De K_o -Standaardisatie Methode", *Doctoral Thesis, University of Ghent, Belgium*, 1981.
- [7] T. Elnimr and F. M. El-Assaly, "Determination of the Attenuation of Epicadmium Neutrons Using the Method of Varying Cd-Thickness", *Journal of Radioanalytical and Nuclear Chemistry*, **109**(1) (1987), p. 3.
- [8] T. Elnimr and F.M. El-Assaly, "The Cadmium Transmission Factor for Epithermal Neutrons Using the Method of Varying Cd-Thickness", *Proceedings of the Mathematical and Physical Society of Egypt*, **58** (1984), p. 93.
- [9] J. Legrand, J. P. Perolat, F. Lagoutine, and Y. Le Gallio, *Table des Radionuclides*. Paris: CEA/BNM/LMRL, 1975.
- [10] M. J. Martin, "Nuclear Decay Data for Selected Radionuclides", *Report ORNL-5114*, 1976.
- [11] D. C. Kocher, *Oak Ridge National Laboratory: Report ORNL/NUREGTM-102*, 1977.
- [12] G. Erdtmann and W. Woyka, "Die γ -lines der Radionuklide", *Report: Jülich-1003 A.C., Zentralinstitut für Analytische Chemie*, 1974.
- [13] O. T. Høgdahl, "Neutron Absorption in Pile Neutron Activation Analysis", *Report of the Michigan Memorial Phoenix Project: MMPP-226-1*, University of Michigan, December 1962.
- [14] R. W. Stoughton and J. Halperin, "Effective Cutoff Energies for Boron, Cadmium, Gadolinium, and Samarium Filters", *Journal of Nuclear Science and Engineering*, **15** (1963), p. 314.
- [15] L. Moens, F. De Corte, A. Simonits, A. De Wispelaere, and J. Hoste, "The Effective Resonance Energy \bar{E}_r , as a Parameter for the Correction of Resonance Integrals in $1/E^{1-\alpha}$ Epithermal Neutron Spectra Tabulation of \bar{E}_r -Values for 96 Isotopes", *Journal of Radioanalytical Chemistry*, **52** (1979), p. 379.
- [16] N. E. Holden and F. W. Walker, *Chart of the Nuclides, Eleventh Edition*. Schenectady, NY: General Electric Co., 1972.
- [17] S. F. Mughabghab and D. I. Garber, *Neutron Cross Sections, vol. 1, Resonance Parameters, Third Edition*. Upton, LI: Brookhaven National Laboratory, *Report: BNL-325, NTIS*, June 1973.
- [18] J. I. Kim and H. J. Born, "Monostandard Activation Analysis and Its Applications to Analysis of KALE

- Powder and NBS Standard Glass Samples", *Journal of Radioanalytical Chemistry*, **13** (1973), p. 427.
- [19] E. Ricci, *Analytica Chemica Acta*, **79** (1975), p. 109.
- [20] T. Bereznai and G. Keörmley, "Effect of Error in Halflife Data on the Accuracy of Activation Analysis", *Radiochemical Radioanalytical Letters*, **17** (1974), p. 305.
- [21] F. W. Walker, G. J. Kirouac, and F. M. Rourke, *Chart of the Nuclides, 12th Edn.* Schenectady, NY: General Electric Co., 1977.
- [22] N. E. Holden, "IUPAC Commission on Atomic Weights and Isotopic Abundances", *Pure and Applied Chemistry*, **52** (1980), p. 2349.
- [23] "Handbook of Nuclear Activation Cross-Sections", *International Atomic Energy Agency, Technical Reports Series, No. 156*, 1974.
- [24] R. L. Heath, *Gamma-Ray Spectrum Catalogue, vol. 2, Third Edition*. Idaho: Aerojet Nuclear Company, ANCR-1000-2, 1974.
- [25] T. B. Ryves, "A New Thermal Neutron Flux Convention", *Metrologia*, **5** (1969), p. 119.
- [26] W. Garder, T. D. MacMahon, and A. Egan, "INDENT—a Radioisotope Identification Subroutine for Use with the Gamma-Ray Spectrum Analysis Program SAMPO", *Talanta*, **25** (1978), p. 21.
- [27] P. F. Schmidt, J. E. Riley, Jr., and D. J. MacMillan, "Parametric Neutron Activation Analysis of Samples, Generating Complex Gamma-Ray Spectra", *Analytical Chemistry*, **51**(2) (1979), p. 189.
- [28] W. W. Bowman and K. W. MacMurdo, *Atomic Data Nuclear Data Tables (ADNDT)*, **13**(2-3) (February 1974).
- [29] V. P. De Bievre, "Atomic Weight of the Elements", *Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry*, **47** (1976), p. 75.
- [30] *Nuclear Data Sheets (USA)*, **7** (1972), pp. 33, 363, 419; **B7** (1972), p. 1; **B8(1)** (1972), p. 29; **9** (1973), p. 319; **10** (1973), pp. 1, 47, 241, 429, 991; **11** (1974), p. 121; **12** (1974), p. 477; **14** (1975), pp. 247, 347, 471, 559; **15** (1975), p. 315; **16** (1975), p. 383; **18** (1976), pp. 125, 331.
- [31] I. M. H. Pagden, G. J. Pearson, and J. M. Bewers, *Journal of Radioanalytical Chemistry*, **8** (1971), pp. 129, 373; *Ibid* **9** (1971), p. 101.
- [32] A. Simonits, F. De Corte, T. Elnimr, L. Moens, and J. Hoste, "Comparative Study of Measured and Critically Evaluated Resonance Integral to Thermal Cross-Section Ratio. Part II", *Journal of Radioanalytical and Nuclear Chemistry*, **81**(2) (1984), p. 397.

Paper Received 25 November 1986; Revised 13 May 1987.