# THERMAL AND EPITHERMAL ACTIVATION ANALYSIS USING K.-METHOD

T. Elnimr<sup>\*</sup> and F. A. Hussainy Department of Physics

Tanta University, Egypt

and

## F. M. El-Assaly

Nuclear Research Centre AEE, Cairo, Egypt

الخلاصة :

في هذه الدراسة تم استخدام تقنية جديدة في التحليل التنشيطي للنيوترونات الحرارية وفوق الحرارية وهي طريقة K<sub>o</sub> العيارية حيث تم تحليل عينات جيولوجية جهزت بخلط كمية من مسحوق العينات مع مسحوق خاص للشمع لتقليل الخطأ الناتج من الامتصاص الذاتي بحيث يكون الخلط متجانسا .

وحرصاً على دقة النتائج فقد تـمّ تعيين معامل انحراف فيض النيوترونات فوق الحرارية عن القانون 1/E ، وتأثيرات الموافقة الحقيقية لأشعة جاما المتتابعة ، ومعامل نفاذية للنيوترونات فوق الكادميوم وكذلك تغير كفاءة العداد مع تغير الشكل والوضع الهندسي للعينة بالنسبة للعداد وتصحيح النتائج بناءً على هذه المعالجات .

لقد أمكن في هذه الدراسة تعيين (٢٤) عنصرا في عينات جيولوجية عيارية وهي G-1, AGV-1, BCR-1 . وفي كلتا الحالتين الحرارية وفوق الحرارية اظهرت النتائج اتفاقا جيدا مع النتائج المنشورة .

## ABSTRACT

An analytical method was used for the determination of 24 elements in a variety of geological materials based upon a sequence of two irradiations and two counting periods of 50-100 mg of USGS samples. In this method, the deviation factor  $\alpha$ , representing deviation of reactor epithermal neutron flux from 1/E law, true coincidence effects of cascade  $\gamma$ -rays, and the efficiency of the Ge(Li) detector were taken into consideration.

\*Address for correspondence : Department of Physics Faculty of Science Tanta University Tanta, Egypt

# THERMAL AND EPITHERMAL ACTIVATION ANALYSIS USING K.-METHOD

# **1. INTRODUCTION**

When using the  $K_0$ -method [1-3] for standardization in epithermal neutron activation analysis (ENAA) and reactor neutron activation analysis (RNAA), the concentration of an element in a sample (weight W gram), determined through counting (on a Ge(Li)) of a gamma-line with energy  $E_{\gamma}$ , emitted by the formed isotope *i*, is calculated from:

ENAA:

$$\rho_{i}(\text{ppm}) = \frac{\left[\frac{(N_{p}/t_{m})}{(\text{SDC})}\right]_{i}}{\left[\frac{(N_{p}/t_{m})}{(\text{SDC})}\right]_{\text{comp}}} \times \frac{w_{\text{comp}}}{W} \times \frac{1}{K_{o,\text{comp}(i)}}$$
$$\times \frac{f + Q_{o,\text{comp}}(\alpha)}{f + Q_{o,i}(\alpha)} \times \frac{\varepsilon_{p,\text{comp}}}{\varepsilon_{p,i}} \qquad (1)$$

$$\rho_{i}(\text{ppm}) = \frac{\left[\frac{(N_{p})_{Cd}/t_{m}}{(\text{SDC})}\right]_{i}}{\left[\frac{(N_{p})_{Cd}/t_{m}}{(\text{SDC})}\right]_{\text{comp}}} \times \frac{w_{\text{comp}}}{W} \times \frac{1}{K_{o, \text{ comp}(i)}}$$

$$\times \frac{F_{\mathrm{Cd, comp}} Q_{\mathrm{o, comp}}(\alpha)}{F_{\mathrm{Cd}, i} Q_{\mathrm{o}, i}(\alpha)} \times \frac{\varepsilon_{\mathrm{p, comp}}}{\varepsilon_{\mathrm{p}, i}} \qquad (2)$$

where "comp" = coirradiated single-comparator (power monitor) e.g. <sup>197</sup>Au;  $E_{\gamma} = 411.8 \text{ keV}$  with weight w (in µg), and W = sample weight (in g).

Detailed explanation of other symbols and notations can be found in References [1-3]. Some essential definitions follow.

 $N_{\rm p}$  = peak area. Correction procedures and formula were worked out for losses due to true coincidence (residual uncertainty, for sources on top of the detector,  $\approx 1.5\%$ ), and burn-up; corrections for spectral interferences and <sup>235</sup>U-fission can be performed with increased accuracy by applying  $K_{\rm o}$ -factors [4].

 $S = 1 - \exp(-\lambda t_{irr}), \quad t_{irr} = \text{irradiation time and}$   $T = (\ln 2)/\lambda = \text{half-life}; \quad D = \exp(-\lambda t_d), \quad t_d = \text{decay}$ time;  $C = 1 - \exp(-\lambda t_m)/\lambda t_m, \quad t_m = \text{measuring time}.$ *T*-values were critically selected from literature [5, 6].

In case of complicated reaction/decay mechanisms (mother-daughter *etc.*), the term  $(N_p/t_m)/\text{SDC}$  has to be replaced by adequate expressions [7].

 $K_{o,comp}(i) = a$  composite nuclear constant, containing atomic weights, isotopic abundances, thermal cross-sections and gamma-intensities for isotopes "i" and "comp" [5, 6]. These absolute nuclear data being often unreliable, experimental  $K_o$ -determination, with an accuracy of  $\pm 1\%$ , was performed for some 32 isotopes [8].

f = thermal to epithermal flux ratio. Accurate, "instantaneous" f-monitoring can be performed by coirradiating and counting a Zr-foil ( ${}^{95}Zr - {}^{97}Zr$ ) [9].

 $Q_o(\alpha) = (Q_o - 0.429) (\bar{E}_r)^{-\alpha} + 0.429/[(2\alpha+1) (0.55)^{\alpha}],$ with  $Q_o$  = resonance integral to thermal crosssection ratio,  $\bar{E}_r$  = effective resonance energy, and  $\alpha$  = measure for the deviation of the epithermal flux spectrum ( $\sim 1/E^{1+\alpha}$ ) from the 1/*E* law. Values of  $Q_o$  with an accuracy of  $\sim 3\%$ , were experimentally determined or evaluated [10].  $\bar{E}_r$ values were calculated for 90 isotopes [11, 12]. "Instantaneous"  $\alpha$ -monitoring was shown to be possible by coirradiating a Zr-foil and a Au-Al wire, followed by counting on a detector with known efficiency ( $^{95}$ Zr -  $^{97}$ Zr -  $^{198}$ Au) [9].

 $\varepsilon_p$  = detection efficiency. A procedure was developed to calculate  $\varepsilon_p$ -values for extended sources at any detector distance, taking into account gamma-attenuation; the residual uncertainty (for sources on top of the detector) is  $\cong 2\%$  [4].

 $F_{\rm Cd}$  = cadmium transmission factor for epithermal neutron [13]. Any element can be used as a comparator if its nuclear data relevant to activation and gamma spectrometry are known with good accuracy. The nuclear data involved in the present study are shown in Table 1 [8, 10-14].

# 2. EXPERIMENTAL

USGS samples we analyzed were: Basalt BCR-1, Andesite AGV-1, and Granite G-1. Sample preparation was carried out in a clean-room. About 50-100 mg of a sample was weighed in a small polycarbonate vial (for short irradiation) or were separately wrapped in thin aluminum foil (for long irradiation). The samples were irradiated for 20 seconds in the pneumatic irradiation facility in the Egyptian reactor. The radionuclides of Na, Al, Ca, Ti, V, Mn, K, Ba, Sr, and Dy were measured for 200 seconds within 6 minutes after irradiation. The measurement system is composed of an ORTEC 40 cm<sup>3</sup> Ge(Li) detector and 4000 channel pulse

	Lavic	1. Inucical		in the ries	9¢111	Study	
Element	Isotope Produced	<b>Q</b> 。 [10]	$\bar{E}_{r}$ (eV) [11, 12]	T <sub>1/2</sub>		$E_{\gamma}$ (keV)	<i>K</i> <sub>o</sub> [8, 14]
Na	<sup>24</sup> Na	0.59	3130	14.96	h	1368.6 2753.8	$4.68 \times 10^{-2} \\ 4.62 \times 10^{-2}$
Al	<sup>28</sup> Al	0.73	8240	2.2405	m	1779.0	$1.75 \times 10^{-2}$
Ce	<sup>141</sup> Ce	0.83	11 400	32.5	d	145.4	$3.66 \times 10^{-3}$
К	<sup>42</sup> K	0.97	9040	12.36	h	1524.7	$9.20 \times 10^{-4}$
Ca	<sup>49</sup> Ca	0.45	-	8.72	m	3084.2	1.01×10
Sc	<sup>46</sup> Sc	0.44	4110	83.8	d	889.3 1120.5	1.21 1.21
Ti	<sup>51</sup> Ti	0.67	49 900	5.76	m	320.1 928.6	$3.74 \times 10^{-4}$ $2.65 \times 10^{-5}$
v	<sup>52</sup> V	0.55	5960	3.75	m	1434.0	$1.96 \times 10^{-1}$
Cr	<sup>51</sup> Cr	0.53	5940	27.70	d	320.1	$2.65 \times 10^{-3}$
Mn	<sup>56</sup> Mn	1.07	412	2.577	h	846.8 1810.7 2113.0	$4.96 \times 10^{-1}$ $1.35 \times 10^{-1}$ $7.17 \times 10^{-2}$
Fe	<sup>59</sup> Fe	0.96	325	44.50	d	1099.2 1291.6	$7.52 \times 10^{-5}$ $5.76 \times 10^{-5}$
Со	<sup>60</sup> Co	2.03	133	5.272	у	1173.2 1332.5	1.31 1.32
Rb	<sup>86</sup> Rb	14.8	694	18.65	d	1076.8	$7.21 \times 10^{-4}$
Sr	<sup>87m</sup> Sr	4.11	672	2.806	h	388.5	$1.49 \times 10^{-3}$
Zr	<sup>95</sup> Zr	5.88	4520	64.03	d	724.2 756.7	$9.14 \times 10^{-5}$ $1.11 \times 10^{-4}$
La	<sup>140</sup> La	1.24	76.8	40.28	h	1596.2	$1.34 \times 10^{-1}$
Dy	<sup>165</sup> Dy	0.2	-	2.334	h	94.7	$3.50 \times 10^{-1}$
Sb	<sup>124</sup> Sb	28.8	24.9	60.20	d	1690.9	$1.43 \times 10^{-2}$
Cs	<sup>134</sup> Cs	18.4	8.68	2.062	у	604.7	$4.33 \times 10^{-1}$
Ba	<sup>139</sup> Ba	0.75	20 400	83.1	m	165.9	$1.05 \times 10^{-3}$
Sm	<sup>153</sup> Sm	14.4	8.33	46.7	h	103.2	$2.31 \times 10^{-1}$
Lu	<sup>177</sup> Lu	0.32 [15]	-	6.7	d	208.4	$7.11 \times 10^{-2}$
Th	<sup>233</sup> Pa	12.0	-	27.0	d	312.0	$2.52 \times 10^{-2}$
U	<sup>239</sup> Np	102.3	15.8	2.355	d	277.6	$3.48 \times 10^{-3}$

Table 1. Nuclear Data Involved in the Present Study

height analyzer. Other radionuclides such as those of Sc, Cr, Fe, Co, Zn, Zr, Sb, Cs, Tb, Lu, Hf, ...... were measured for 1 ks with enough cooling after 48 hours irradiation. The decay during the measurements and the dead time losses in the multichannel analyzer were corrected for. A survey of the procedure followed is presented in Table 2.

# 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 3 shows the analysis results for some of the elements with good counting statistics (and thus low

uncertainties). The good correspondence proves the accuracy of the above outlined correction procedures ( $\alpha$ , coincidence, efficiency, *etc.*). We have also the reported values on USGS samples by Falanagan for direct comparison. When considering BCR-1, the elements Ce, Co, Fe, La, Na, Sc, Sm, Th, and U for which the literature data show no large scattering, the concentrations found in this work are in excellent agreement with the reported values. For Cr, Cs, and Sb large variations between the literature data exist, thus no definite conclusions can be drawn, while

Samples:	50-100 mg; mixed with wax; Pellets ~7 mm dia × 4 mm; monitors: Zr-foil, 0.1% Au-Al wire
Irradiation:	WWR-M; various channels ( $F = 21-67$ ; $\alpha = 0.012 - 0.085$ ); 3 samples per channel (×2)
Counting:	Ge(Li); $\geq 3$ measure/sample (from short to long $t_d$ ); distance $20-2$ cm (corrected for coincidence and geometry); low count rate (pulse pile-up negligible); dead-time stabilizer.
Calculation:	Peak area, detector efficiency; concentrations (coincidence correction considered).
Final Results:	Correction for threshold reaction, fission, blank, average with uncertainty.

Table 2. Experimental Procedure

Table 3. Elemental Abundances in Geological USGS Samples

Element	ppm BCR-1			ppm AGV-1			ppm G-1		
	RNAA This work	ENAA This work	Reported [16]	RNAA This work	ENAA This work	Reported [16]	RNAA This work	ENAA This work	Reported [16]
Al(%)	7.31	7.40	7.21	9.60	9.38	9.14	8.00	7.81	7.43
Ba	670.00	663.00	1275.00	1218.00	1230.00	1210.00	1205.00	1221.00	1200.00
Ca(%)	4.90	4.83	4.95	3.58	3.80	3.50	0.97	1.03	0.99
Ce	53.70	52.10	54.00	66.00	71.00	63.00	168.00	161.00	170.00
Со	36.00	38.20	38.00	15.60	14.40	14.10	2.21	2.39	2.40
Cr	16.10	13.90	17.00	12.70	13.30	12.00	22.00	25.00	20.00
Cs	1.06	0.92	0.95	1.20	1.38	1.40	1.39	1.52	1.50
Dy	6.30	6.00	6.30	3.60	3.80	3.50	2.36	2.54	2.40
Fe(%)	9.20	9.00	9.38	4.79	4.96	4.73	0.75	0.80	0.75
K(%)	1.42	1.44	1.41	2.38	2.29	2.40	4.52	4.48	4.55
La	25.10	25.90	26.00	38.00	37.00	35.00	105.00	104.00	101.00
Lu	0.55	0.58	0.55	0.29	0.34	0.28	0.19	0.20	0.19
Mn(%)	0.14	0.143	0.14	0.079	0.073	0.075	0.025	0.024	0.023
Na(%)	2.56	2.49	2.42	3.34	3.38	3.16	2.44	2.44	2.46
Rb	52.10	48.00	46.00	68.00	71.50	67.00	221.00	217.00	220.00
Sb	0.61	0.68	0.69	4.80	4.40	4.50	0.28	0.31	0.31
Sc	34.00	36.00	33.00	13.40	14.90	13.40	2.89	2.99	2.90
Sm	6.00	6.50	6.60	6.00	6.60	5.90	8.10	8.30	8.30
Sr	317.00	329.00	330.00	654.00	644.00	657.00	255.00	248.00	250.00
Ti(%)	1.34	1.36	1.32	0.63	0.66	0.62	_	-	_
Th	6.40	6.02	6.00	6.40	6.90	6.41	46.00	50.00	50.00
U	1.56	1.72	1.74	2.10	1.90	1.92	3.50	3.35	3.40
v	403.00	391.00	400.00	120.00	128.00	120.00	0.40	0.42	0.40
Zr	170.00	194.00	190.00	237.00	218.00	220.00	200.00	211.00	210.00

AGV-1 and G-1 results agree quite well with the reported values. The main criteria for the choice of one or other of the two procedures are summarized as follows.

## RNAA

The procedure is suitable for elements with relatively high thermal cross-sections compared to the resonance integrals such as Ca, Ce, Cr, Fe, and Lu. The advantages of RNAA are the lower costs and higher sensitivity for these elements. The disadvantage is difficulty in using the  $K_o$  approach with RNAA, due to the contribution of two neutron components (thermal and epithermal) in the activation process.

#### ENAA

This procedure is advantageous with elements having relatively high resonance integrals, such as Co, Cs, Rb, Sb, Sm, Sr, Th, Zr, and U. Additional advantages of ENAA are the possibility of using the  $K_o$  approach materials, as well as the higher accuracy with some elements due to the suppression of the activity from matrix elements. The disadvantages of ENAA are higher costs and difficulties associated with the irradiation and handling of cadmium.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We are indebted to Professor Dr. A. M. Hassan, and Dr. N. B. Rofail for helpful discussions. We are also grateful to the staff of the Egyptian reactor for their assistance with the irradiations.

#### REFERENCES

- T. Elnimr, in Proceedings of the II International Conference on Applications of Physics to Medicine and Biology. ed. Z. Bajzer, P. Baxa, and C. Franconi. Singapore: World Scientific Publishing Co., 1984, p. 571.
- [2] T. Elnimr, T. Sharshar, M. R. Badar, A. Shaker, F. Gobran, and F. M. Ela-Assaly, "Determination of Trace Element Concentrations in Human Hair of Some Glass Factory Workers by K<sub>o</sub>-Method", *Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering*, 12(1) (1987), p. 93.
- [3] T. Elnimr, A. Alian, and I. I. Bondouk, "Determination of 19 Minor and Trace Elements in a Geological Material by  $K_{e,o}$ -Standardization Method in Epicadmium Neutron Activation Analysis", *Proceedings of the Mathematical and Physical* Society of Egypt, **58** (1984), p. 103.

- [4] F. A. El-Hussainy, "Neutron Activation Analysis", M. Sc. Thesis, University of Tanta, Egypt, 1984.
- [5] T. Elnimr and I. I. Bondouk, "The Use of  $K_{e,o}$ -Factors as a Tool for a Critical Evaluation of Reactor Thermal and Epithermal  $(n, \gamma)$  Cross-Sections and of Absolute Gamma Intensities", Journal of Physics D: Applied Physics, 16 (1983), p. 1407.
- [6] T. Elnimr and F. A. El-Hussainy, "Further Work on the Use of K<sub>e,o</sub>-Factors as a Tool for a Critical Evaluation of Reactor Thermal and Epithermal (n, γ) Cross-Sections and of Absolute Gamma Intensities", Journal of Physics D: Applied Physics, 18 (1985), p. 1967.
- [7] T. Elnimr, Journal of Radioanalytical and Nuclear Chemistry, to be published.
- [8] T. Elnimr, L. Moens, F. De Corte, A. Simonits, and J. Hoste, "Epicadmium Neutron Activation Analysis (ENAA) Based on the K<sub>o</sub>-Comparator Method", *Journal of Radioanalytical Chemistry*, **67** (1981), p. 421.
- [9] T. Elnimr, "Epicadmium Neutronen Activerings Analyse Met Behulp Van De K<sub>o</sub>-Standaardisatie Methode", *Doctoral Thesis*, University of Ghent, Belgium, 1981.
- [10] A. Simonits, F. De Corte, T. Elnimr, L. Moens, and J. Hoste, "Comparative Study of Measured and Critically Evaluated Resonance Integral to Thermal Cross-Section Ratios, Part II", Journal of Radioanalytical and Nuclear Chemistry, 81(2) (1984), p. 397.
- [11] L. Moens, F. De Corte, A. De Wispelaere, J. Hoste, A. Simonits, A. Elek, and E. Szabe, *Journal of Radioanalytical and Nuclear Chemistry*, 82 (1984), p. 385.
- [12] S. Jovanovic, F. De Corte, A. Simonits, L. Moens, P. Vukotic, and J. Hoste, "The Effective Resonance Energy as a Parameter in  $(n, \gamma)$  Activation Analysis with Reactor Neutrons", 7th International Conference on Modern Trends in Activation Analysis, Copenhagen, Denmark, June 23-27, 1986.
- [13] T. Elnimr and F. M. Ela-Assaly, "Determination of the Attenuation of Epicadmium Neutrons Using the Method of Varying Cd-Thickness", Journal of Radioanalytical and Nuclear Chemistry, 109(1) (1987), p. 93.
- [14] T. Elnimr, "A Critical Evaluation of Nuclear Data Using  $K_0$ -Factors Determined by the Cadmium Subtraction Method", Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering, 10(2) (1985), p. 139.
- [15] T. Takeuchi, T. Hayashi, J. Takada, M. Koyama, H. Kozuka, H. Tsuji, Y. Kusaka, S. Ohomori, M. Shinogi, A. Aoki, K. Katayama, and T. Tomiyama, Nuclear Activation Techniques in the Life Sciences. Vienna: IAEA, 1979, p. 545.
- [16] F. J. Flanagan, Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 33 (1969), p. 80; Ibid 37 (1973), p. 1189.

Paper Received 25 November 1985; Revised 1 April 1987, 22 June 1987.