# OPTIMUM DESIGN OF I-COLUMNS AND BEAM-COLUMNS

Abul K. Azad

Department of Civil Engineering, University of Petroleum and Minerals, Dhahran 31261, Saudi Arabia

## **INTRODUCTION**

In designing steel columns and beam-columns (members subjected to simultaneous actions of axial compression and bending moment), builtup I-sections are used whenever a suitable rolled section either is not available or would not yield an economical solution. Most building codes, for example the AISC specification [1], prescribe guidelines for design of columns and beam-columns. In recent years, considerable attention has been devoted to the optimum design of structural members. This has resulted in extensive research work on the optimization of I-shaped members subjected to different types of loading. References [2–7] can be cited as a representative sample of such work.

The objective of this paper is to present designoriented procedures for optimum design of built-up homogeneous I-shaped columns and beam-columns. For columns, the general case of different effective lengths corresponding to the two principal axes is considered so that the failure axis is not known *a priori*. For beam-columns, the bending is assumed to be about the axis perpendicular to the web. Though the AISC specification has been used and referred to throughout the text, the prescribed approach can be modified to adopt any other specification.

#### **METHOD**

For a typical symmetrical I-section as shown in Figure 1, the cross-sectional properties can be expressed as

A

A

r = l

$$=2A_{\rm f} + A_{\rm w} \tag{1}$$

$$f = C_1 A$$
 (2)

$$A_{w} = C_{2}A \tag{3}$$

$$I_x = (6C_1 + C_2)Ah^2/12 \tag{4}$$

$$=C_1 A b^2 / 6 \tag{5}$$

$$T_x = h \sqrt{(6C_1 + C_2)/12}$$
 (6)

$$P_{\rm V}/C_1/6,$$
 (7)



Figure 1. Typical I-Section

0377-9211/85/010089-04\$01.00

© 1985 by the University of Petroleum and Minerals

in which A is the total area of the cross section, I is the moment of intertia, r is the radius of gyration, and  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  ( $C_1$ ,  $C_2 > 0$ ) are related by

$$2C_1 + C_2 = 1. (8)$$

Setting  $b/2t_f = \xi_1$ ,  $\xi_1$  being the permissible widththickness ratio of the flange, Equations (2) and (7) lead to

$$b = \sqrt{2\xi_1 C_1 A} \tag{9}$$

$$A = 3r_{y}^{2}/(\xi_{1}C_{1}^{2}).$$
 (10)

### **Column Section**

It is assumed as a general case that the column has different effective lengths  $K_x L_x$  and  $K_y L_y$  about the x and y axes. Defining the ratios  $b/h=\alpha$ ,  $r_x/r_y=\beta$  and  $K_y L_y/K_x L_x=\gamma$ , it can be shown from Equations (6)–(8) that

$$C_1 = \frac{1}{2(\alpha^2 \beta^2 - 2)}$$
(11)

$$C_2 = \frac{a^2 \beta^2 - 3}{a^2 \beta^2 - 2}.$$
 (12)

For  $C_1$ ,  $C_2 > 0$ ,  $\alpha^2 \beta^2$  must be greater than three i.e.  $\alpha\beta > 1.732$ . The objective is then to find the minimum value of A subject to the following constraints

$$A = \frac{P}{\phi_1 F_y} \tag{13a}$$

$$\alpha\beta > 1.731$$
 (13b)

$$\alpha > \alpha_0$$
 (13c)

$$h/t \le \xi_2 \tag{13d}$$

in which  $\phi_1 F_y$  ( $\phi_1 < 1$  and  $F_y =$  yield stress of steel) is the allowable compressive stress for the column,  $\alpha_0$  is an upper limit on the value of b/h decided from practical consideration and  $\xi_2$  is the allowable depth– thickness ratio of the web.

To derive a condition for the minimum area [8], a design curve for the allowable stress coefficient  $\phi_1$  is plotted against the slenderness ratio parameter  $\lambda$  in Figure 2 using [1].

$$\lambda = \frac{KL}{rC_c} = \frac{K_y L_y}{r_y \delta C_c} \tag{14}$$

where

$$\begin{split} C_c &= \sqrt{2\pi^2 E/F_y} \text{ [1];} \\ \delta &= \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \gamma\beta \geq 1 \\ \gamma\beta, & \text{if } \gamma\beta < 1.0. \end{cases} \end{split}$$



Figure 2. Values of  $\phi_1$  versus  $\lambda$  Based on [1]

Eliminating A and  $r_y$  from Equations (10), (13a), and (14), the following relationship between  $\lambda$  and  $\phi_1$  prescribes the condition for a safe design.

$$\lambda \le B \sqrt{\phi_1} \tag{15}$$

where B, a non-dimensional parameter, is given by

$$B = \frac{K_y L_y}{\delta C_c} \sqrt{\frac{3F_y}{\xi_1 C_1^2 P}}.$$
 (16)

The value of  $\lambda$  required for the column to carry the load *P* safely is given by Equation (15). When  $\lambda = B\sqrt{\phi_1}$ , the column will have the minimum area for the chosen values of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . For a known value of *B*, the value of  $\lambda$  to satisfy Equation (15) can be determined easily from the plot of Figure 2 and hence the cross section can be finalized.

The procedure thus requires, at the outset, appropriate values for  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . This is, however, not difficult to choose considering that there is a practical limit on  $\alpha$ ;  $\alpha\beta > 1.731$ , but not too much larger for an economic design; and  $\gamma\beta$  should be as close as practicable to 1.0 ( $\gamma\beta=1.0$  represents the case of identical slenderness about both axes). The value of  $\alpha\beta$  will normally be in the range of 1.80 – 1.90. Since the resulting proportioning must satisfy the constraint (13d), it can be shown that

$$\alpha^{2} \ge \frac{2C_{1}\xi_{1}}{C_{2}\xi_{2}}.$$
(17)

The additional condition makes it easier to select an appropriate value of  $\alpha$ .

#### **Beam-Column Section**

Beam-columns are designed using simplified interaction equations which include the additional

secondary moment due to deflection. In accordance with AISC specification, the latter effect can be ignored if the ratio of the computed axial stress and the allowable compressive stress,  $f_a/F_a$ , is less than or equal to 0.15. Dealing with the general case of  $f_a/F_a > 0.15$ , the AISC strength and stability criteria stipulate

$$\frac{f_a}{F_a} + \frac{C_m f_b}{\left(1 - \frac{f_a}{F'_e}\right) F_b} \le 1.0$$
(18a)

and

$$\frac{f_a}{0.6F_y} + \frac{f_b}{F_b} \le 1.0.$$
 (18b)

In Equation (18),  $f_b$  and  $F_b$  are the calculated and permissible bending stresses in compression,  $C_m$  is a reduction coefficient and  $F'_e$  is the Euler buckling stress about the axis of bending with a factor of safety of 23/12.

Unlike a column, the cross section of a beamcolumn cannot be determined directly due to difficulty in separation of variables. A simple iterative search procedure is therefore formulated to find systematically the minimum value of A. For this purpose, the web thickness t is assumed to be known. The additional properties of an I-section required in the design of a beam-column are

$$S_x = C_2 A^2 (C_1 + C_2/6)/t \tag{19}$$

$$r_{\rm T} = \sqrt{\frac{\xi_1 C_1^2 A}{C_2 + 6C_1}} \tag{20}$$

$$F'_{e} = \frac{\pi^{2} E C_{2}^{2} (6C_{1} + C_{2}) A^{2}}{23 (K_{x} L_{x})^{2} t^{2}}$$
(21)

where

- $S_x$  is the section modulus about the x-axis;
- $r_{\rm T}$  is the radius of gyration of the T-section comprised of the compression flange and onesixth of the web, taken about the plane of the web.

Substitution of the values of stresses in Equation (18) and noting that  $f_b = M/S_x$ , M being the design moment, the area of an I-section required must satisfy the following equations

$$A \ge \frac{P}{\phi_1 F_y} + \frac{C_m M t F'_e}{\phi_2 F_y C_2 (C_1 + C_2/6) (AF'_e - P)}$$
(22a)  
$$A \ge \frac{P}{0.6F_y} + \frac{M t}{\phi_2 F_y C_2 (C_1 + C_2/6)A}$$
(22b)

where

 $\phi_2$  is a factor for the allowable bending stress  $(=f(L/r_v, Lh/A_f));$ 

$$F_b = \phi_2 F_y$$

Reference [1] gives the equation for computing the value of  $F_b$  for a given section. For a chosen t and an assumed value of  $C_1$  (hence  $C_2$ ), the required area A can be determined from Equation (22) by successive approximation.

### Search Procedure

As the web thickness should be small for an economic design, a minimum acceptable web thickness is chosen first for the beam-column. A starting value of  $C_1$  is taken as 0.2 and an initial value is arbitrarily assigned to A. Using successive approximation, the correct value of A for chosen values of t and  $C_1$  is determined from Equation (22). The convergence is very rapid when the trial value of A is taken as the average of the old and the corresponding new value of A.

The value of  $C_1$  is then increased by a small step and the corresponding A is calculated. If this value of A is smaller than the previous value with lower value of  $C_1$ , the search for A is continued with progressively increasing values of  $C_1$ . At a stage when the value of A starts to increase, the search is terminated, recording the lowest value of A and the corresponding value of  $C_1$ . The resulting optimum proportioning is acceptable if the ratio  $h/t \le \xi_2$ . If not, the web thickness is changed to the next higher value and the procedure is repeated. This value of A is compared with the previous value obtained with smaller t that satisfies  $h/t \le \xi_2$  to record the correct optimum solution. While increasing  $C_1$  in small steps, the following condition should be satisfied to ensure that  $b/h \le \alpha_0$ .

$$\frac{C_1}{(1-2C_1)^2} \le \frac{\alpha_0^2 A}{2\xi_1 t^2}.$$
(23)

The proposed method can be programmed in a computer to find an optimum proportioning readily. It has been observed that the value of A is not very sensitive to the value of  $C_1$  in the neighborhood of the optimum value. Thus, for hand computation, a value of  $C_1$  within the range of 0.30 to 0.35 can be taken in most cases to proportion an economical I-section.

### **Design Example 1**

Proportion an I-section for a compression

member of length 7 m to carry an axial load of 2000 kN. Effective length factors are  $K_x = 1.0$  and  $K_y = 0.5$ . Use  $F_y = 248$  MPa and the AISC specification.  $\gamma = 0.5/1.0 = 0.5$ ; choose  $\beta = 2.0$  (hence  $\gamma\beta = 1.0$ ) and  $\alpha = 0.93$  ( $\alpha\beta = 1.86$ ); from Equation (11),  $C_1 = 0.3426$  and hence  $C_2 = 0.3148$ . With  $\xi_1 = 16$  and  $\xi_2 = 42$  (AISC specification),  $\alpha$  satisfies Equation (17). With  $C_c = 126.1$  and  $\delta = 1.0$ , the value of B is 0.335.

From Figure 2, the value of  $\gamma$  to satisfy Equation (15) is 0.29 and  $\phi_1 = 0.541$ . Thus the required A from Equation (13a) is 14910 mm<sup>2</sup> which leads to  $A_f = 5110 \text{ mm}^2$  and  $A_w = 4690 \text{ mm}^2$ . From Equation (9), b = 404 mm and hence  $h = b/\alpha = 434 \text{ mm}$ . The theoretical flange plate size is 12.65 mm × 404 mm and the web plate is 10.8 mm × 434 mm. Use flange plate 14 mm × 400 mm and web plate 10 mm × 410 mm.  $A = 15300 \text{ mm}^2$  and the capacity of the column is 2053 kN.

### **Design Example 2**

Proportion an I-section for a beam-column of unsupported length 6 m which is subjected to an axial compressive load of 1000 kN and a moment of 125 kN·m at one end. Assume simple end conditions with  $C_b = 1.0$ . For steel,  $F_v = 248$  MPa.

Choose a 10 mm web thickness. Assume a value of  $C_1 = 0.33$  and an initial value of  $A = 11\,000$  mm<sup>2</sup> due to high moment. With  $r_y = 79.9$  mm from Equation (10),  $C_c = 126.1$  and  $\lambda = 0.595$  (y-axis critical),  $\phi_1 = 0.438$  from Figure 2. With  $r_T = 90.0$  mm, the value of  $\phi_2$  evaluated from [1] is 0.564. From Equation (21),  $F'_e = 774$  MPa. Taking  $C_m = 0.6$ , the new value of A from Equation (22) is 13400 mm<sup>2</sup>. Repeat the calculation with a new trial value of  $A = (11\,000 + 13\,400)/2 = 12\,200$  mm<sup>2</sup>.

The correct value of A is 12 420 mm<sup>2</sup> for t = 10 mm and  $C_1 = 0.33$ . For this design,  $A_f = 4100$  mm and  $A_w =$ 4220 mm<sup>2</sup> giving the theoretical flange plate size as 11.3 mm × 362 mm and the web plate as 10 mm × 422 mm. Use flange plate 12 mm × 360 mm and web plate 10 mm × 420 mm.

### CONCLUSIONS

Design procedures based on the AISC specification are presented to find the optimum proportioning of built-up homogeneous steel I-sections for columns and beam-columns. While the cross section of a column can be determined directly from the prescribed method, which is relatively simple and straightforward, that of a beam-column is based on a search procedure. The simple iterative scheme can be computerized easily to obtain an optimum I-section of a beam column readily. For a rapid economical design of a beamcolumn by hand calculation, the suggested procedure as illustrated by an example can be used.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work is part of research project CE/STRUC-TURE/32 sponsored by the University of Petroleum and Minerals.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Specification for the Design, Fabrication and Erection of Structural Steel for Buildings. Chicago: American Institute of Steel Construction, 1978.
- [2] W. Prager and G. I. N. Rozvany, 'Plastic Design of Beams: Optimum Location of Supports and Steps in Yield Moment', *International Journal of Mechanical Sciences*, Cambridge, London, 17 (1976), p. 627.
- [3] K. P. Chong, 'Optimization of Hybrid Beams', Journal of the Structural Division, ASCE, 102, No. 2 (1976), p. 401.
- [4] P. Vachajitpan and K. C. Rockey, 'Design Method for Optimum Unstiffened Girders', Journal of the Structural Division, ASCE, 104, No. 1 (1978), p. 141.
- [5] A. K. Azad, 'Economic Design of Homogeneous I-Beams', Journal of the Structural Division, ASCE, 104, No. 4, (1978), p. 637.
- [6] Y. Fukumoto and M. Ito, 'Minimum Weight Plastic Design of Continuous Beams', Journal of the Structural Division, ASCE, 107, No. 7 (1981), p. 1263.
- [7] A. K. Azad, 'Optimum Plastic Design of Continuous Steel I-Beams', Civil Engineering for Practising and Design Engineers, 1 (1982), p. 21.
- [8] A. K. Azad, 'Rapid Design of I-Shaped Compression Members', Canadian Journal of Civil Engineering, 9, July, (1982), p. 350.

Paper Received 26 April 1983; First Revision 3 October 1983; Second Revision 29 February 1984.