INFLUENCE OF THE BAND-BAND INTERACTION ON THE DISPERSION AND DENSITY OF STATES OF SURFACE CARRIERS IN Hg_{1-r}Cd_rTe AT FINITE TEMPERATURE

Ivo Nachev

Institute of Microelectronics, Department of Process and Device Modelling, Sofia-1784, Bulgaria

الخلاصة :

ABSTRACT

The influence of the interaction between the conduction band Γ_6^c and the valence band Γ_8^v (band-band interaction) on the dispersion $E_r^{\pm}(\mathbf{k})$ and the density of states $D_r(E)$ of the quasi-two-dimensional electron gas in inversion layers on p-Hg_{1-x}Cd_xTe is investigated, using a finite-temperature self-consistent procedure. As a result of the band-band interaction the dispersion and the density of states of the sub-band states become non-parabolic. The non-parabolicity is described quantitatively and it is shown that it increases with the sub-band index r = 0, 1, 2, ..., and decreases with the temperature T and the alloy composition x.

INFLUENCE OF THE BAND-BAND INTERACTION ON THE DISPERSION AND DENSITY OF STATES OF SURFACE CARRIERS IN Hg_{1-x}Cd_xTe AT FINITE TEMPERATURE

1. INTRODUCTION

In a previous paper [1] the dispersion relation of the ground sub-band, the density of states, and the surface potential in an *n*-inversion layer on the ternary compound $Hg_{0.8}Cd_{0.2}Te$ were studied at zero temperature.

The purpose of this work is the investigation of the dispersion $E_r^{\pm}(\mathbf{k})$ and the density of states $D_r(E)$ of the first sub-bands r = 0, 1, 2 in an *n*-inversion layer on p-Hg_{1-x}Cd_xTe at finite temperature in order to obtain more complete and realistic description of the carriers confined in narrow surface channels on the small-gap semiconductor Hg_{1-x}Cd_xTe. For this reason we have generalized our model [1] to account for the finite temperature *T* and for several occupied sub-bands.

Since inversion layers on $Hg_{1-x}Cd_xTe$ are used in infra-red devices, understanding of their properties is presently of importance [2]. Compared to the silicon inversion layers, which have been studied intensively for many years [3] and are referred to as a standard system, due to their wide applications in the microelectronic industry, the properties of surface carriers on $Hg_{1-x}Cd_xTe$ are more complex, difficult to describe, and less understood. One reason for this is that it is difficult to prepare a good interface between the semiconductor $Hg_{1-x}Cd_xTe$ and the insulator in the MIS (Metal - Insulator - Semiconductor) structure. The other reason is more fundamental. It stems from the proximity of the conduction and the valence bands of $Hg_{1-x}Cd_xTe$ near the Γ -point, *i.e.* on the small energy gap $E_{\rm G}$ of this material for values of the stochiometric composition $x \approx 0.2$. As a consequence the bands interact and this interaction influences strongly the properties of the surface carriers, as pointed out by many authors, see for instance the review of Koch [4]. One manifestation of this influence is the deviation of the dispersion from the simple parabolic law. A way to treat the non-parabolicity of $E_r^{\pm}(\mathbf{k})$ and $D_r(E)$ quantitatively is undertaken here.

Another motivation to study $E_r^{\pm}(k)$ and $D_r(E)$ in detail is that they are essential ingredients of the selfconsistent procedure used to calculate quantized surface states on $Hg_{1-x}Cd_xTe$ and this formalism is best suited to describe the recently developed quantum alloy HgCdTe [5], produced by advanced experimental techniques.

2. THE PHYSICAL MODEL AND DISCUSSION OF THE RESULTS

The dispersion of the surface carriers on HgCdTe is obtained by diagonalizing the multi-band Schrödinger equation

$$[H_{n\times n}(\boldsymbol{k}) - \mathbf{1}_{n\times n}V(z) - E]\Psi(\boldsymbol{k},z) = 0, \quad (1)$$

where $H_{n \times n}$ is the Kane $k \cdot p$ Hamiltonian, which describes the band-band interaction near the Γ -point V(z) is the surface potential, Ψ is an *n*-component spinor of the envelope wave functions of the conduction and valence bands, and $\mathbf{1}_{n \times n}$ is a unit matrix. We consider here the six-band model (n = 6) of the band structure of HgCdTe near the Γ -point, which takes into account the coupling between the two-fold, spin-degenerate conduction band Γ_6^c and the four-fold degenerate valence band Γ_8^v . The surface potential V(z) is a solution to Poisson equation, and the calculations are Hartree-type self-consistent, as described in [1], but generalized to the case of finite temperature.

The non-parabolic dispersion $E_r^{\pm}(\mathbf{k})$, where r denotes the sub-band states and the signs \pm correspond to the two spin directions, can be written as a power series of the wave vector \mathbf{k}

$$E_{r}^{\pm}(k) = \sum_{m=0}^{P} A_{r,m}^{\pm} k^{m}.$$
 (2)

The density of states $D_r(E)$ reads

$$D_r(E) = \sum_{\pm} D_r^{\pm}(E) = \sum_{\pm} \left(\frac{k}{2\pi}\right) \left[\frac{\mathrm{d}E_r^{\pm}(k)}{\mathrm{d}k}\right]^{-1}.$$
 (3)

Since the wave vector k enters explicitly in (3) we have to use the inverse function of (2) in order to obtain only the quasi-particle energy E on the r.h.s. of the definition (3). We see therefore that the expression (3) is awkward and unpleasant for practical calculations of the density of states $D_r(E)$ for subbands with non-parabolic dispersion. As a reasonable approximation for $D_r(E)$ in this case we use the following expression

$$D_r(E) = (a_r E + b_r)\Theta(E - E_r(k=0)), \qquad (4)$$

where $\Theta(E)$ is the Heaviside step-function, $E_r(k=0)$ is the bottom of the *r*th sub-band and the coefficients

April 1991



Figure 1. The Density of States of the Ground Sub-Band $D_0(E)$ Calculated with the Definition (3) and With the Approximation (4).

 a_r and b_r account for the non-parabolicity. For the parabolic case we have $a_r = 0$ and $b_r = 1$, where $D_r(E)$ is measured in units $\frac{m^*}{\pi\hbar^2}$ and m^* is the effective mass. As one can see from Equations (2) and (4), the non-parabolicity of the dispersion and the density of states, resulting from the band-band interaction, is described through the coefficients $A_{r,m}^{\pm}$ for the dispersion (2) and by a_r and b_r for the density of states (4). Sub-bands with stronger non-parabolicity are characterized with higher values of a_r and lower b_r . In Figure 1, comparison between the approximation (4) and the exact expression (3) for the density of states of the ground sub-band (r=0) is given. One can see that they deviate only in a small energy region at the bottom of the sub-band. From this comparison we conclude that (4) is a reasonable approximation. The approximation (4) was tacitly used by Ohkawa and Uemura [6].

The dependence of a_r and b_r on the physical parameters of the system is presented next.

The physical parameters of our system are the doping N_A , the carrier concentration in the inversion layer N_s , the temperture T and the alloy composition x. The acceptor energy is $E_A = 20$ (meV), and the Fermi level E_F is pinned above the valence band in the bulk, $E_F = E_v(d) + E_A$, because of the acceptor levels presented there. The depth of the depletion layer is denoted by d.

The dispersion $E_r^{\pm}(\mathbf{k})$ of the first three sub-bands r = 0, 1, 2 of *n*-inversion layer on p-Hg_{1-x}Cd_xTe, and the total density of states $D(E) = \sum_r D_r(E)$ are shown on Figure 2 for $N_A = 3 \times 10^{22}$ (m⁻³), $N_s = 8 \times 10^{15}$ (m⁻²), x = 0.2 and T = 300 (K). The energy reference point is in the middle of the gap and we consider 7 terms in the decomposition (2), *i.e.*, p = 6. This is

enough for the convergence of the series (2), as our experience with practical calculations has convinced us, for the usual range of the values of the physical parameters of the system. The coefficients $A_{r,m}^{\pm}$ in (2) are determined by obtaining $E_r^{\pm}(\mathbf{k})$ first numerically, solving Equations (1), then performing interpolations using Chebyschev polynomial and finally rearranging the terms in ascending powers of the wave vector $\mathbf{k} = |\mathbf{k}|$.

Figure 3 shows the temperature dependence of a_r and b_r for $N_A = 3 \times 10^{22} \text{ (m}^{-3})$, $N_s = 8 \times 10^{15} \text{ (m}^{-2})$, and x = 0.2. We see that the following conditions are fulfilled

$$a_2 > a_1 > a_0, b_2 < b_1 < b_0,$$
(5)

which means that the non-parabolicity of the higher sub-bands is more strongly expressed. The nonparabolicity decreases with T because the gap increases and the band-band interaction becomes weaker. As noted above, $D_r(E)$ is measured in units $\frac{m^*}{\pi\hbar^2}$ [3], and since the effective mass $m^* = \frac{\hbar^2 E_G}{4P_0^2}$ increases with T, through the gap E_G , which in turn increases with the temperature [7], the vertical unit in Figure 3 changes with T (the matrix element P_0 is a constant) and therefore Figure 3 shows the combined effect of the influence of the temperature on the considered entities. The temperature dependence of a_r and b_r will be smaller should we measure $D_r(E)$ in absolute units (J⁻¹m⁻²), but this is not customary [3].

It is known that the gap of $Hg_{1-x}Cd_xTe$ increases with x [7] and therefore we expect that the nonparabolicity will decrease with x. Figure 4 shows the dependence of a_r and b_r on the alloy concentration x for $N_A = 5 \times 10^{22} \text{ (m}^{-3})$, $N_s = 10^{16} \text{ (m}^{-2})$ and T =77 (K). We note that the conditions (5) are fulfilled again and the non-parabolicity really decreases with x.

The temperature dependence of the spin-splitting $\Delta_r(\mathbf{k}) = E_r^+(\mathbf{k}) - E_r^-(\mathbf{k})$ (r = 0, 1, 2) is shown on Figure 5 for $N_A = 3 \times 10^{22}$ (m⁻³), $N_s = 8 \times 10^{15}$ (m⁻²), x = 0.2 and for two values of the wave vector \mathbf{k} . The spin-splitting decreases with T and this is again a consequence of the weaker band-band interaction at higher temperatures.

In summary, we have investigated numerically the non-parabolicity of the dispersion and the density of



Figure 2. The dispersion of the First Three Sub-Bands $E_r^{\pm}(\mathbf{k})$, (r = 0, 1, 2) is Shown on the Right Side. The spin-splitting of each sub-band is a consequence of the influence of the surface potential V(z), which breaks the crystal symmetry near the surface. The total density of states $D(E) = \Sigma D_r(E)$ is plotted on the left side. Because of the non-parabolicity of the dispersion, the density of states of each sub-band $D_r(E)$ is not constant. The integral over the shaded area gives the electron concentration N_s . Due to the tail of the Fermi-distribution, these carriers spread over several sub-bands at finite temperature. β denotes the inverse temperature ($\beta = k_{\rm B}T/q$)⁻¹.

states of the sub-band states in *n*-inversion layers on $p-Hg_{1-x}Cd_xTe$ at finite temperature. This is a generalization of the previous zero-temperature treatments of this problem [1, 8–10]. We show that the non-parabolicity increases with the sub-band index and decreases with T and x due to the weaker band-band interaction at higher temperatures and alloy concentrations.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am indebted to Professor Dr. F. Koch for showing this problem to me a few years ago and to Dr. A. Asenov for his interest on the subject.

REFERENCES

 I.S. Nachev, "Bound States in Inversion Layers on p-Hg_{1-x}Cd_xTe: Self-Consistent Results", Semiconductor Science and Technology, 3 (1988), p. 29.



Figure 3. The Temperature Dependence of the coefficients a_r and b_r Describing the Non-parabolicity of D(E) According to the Linearized Approximation (4). The numbers on the a_r -axis are given assuming that the quasi-particle energy E in Equation (4) is measured in (meV).



Figure 4. Dependence of a, and b, on the Alloy Composition x.



Figure 5. Temperature Dependence of the Spin Splitting Δ , of the Dispersion of HgCdTe.

- [2] R.A. Reynolds, "The II-VI Compounds: 30 Years of History and the Potential for the Next 30 Years", Journal of Vacuum Science and Technology A, 7(2) (1989), p. 269.
- [3] T. Ando, A.B. Fowler, and F. Stern, "Electronic Properties of Two-Dimensional Systems", *Review of Modern Physics*, 54(2) (1982), p. 437.
- [4] F. Koch, in Springer Series in Solid State Science, vol. 53, ed. G. Bauer, F. Kuchar and H. Heinrich. Berlin: Springer, 1984, p. 20.
- [5] J. W. Han, S. Hwang, Y. Lansari, R. L. Harper, Z. Yang, N. C. Giles, J. W. Cook, Jr., J. F. Schetzina, and S. Sen, "Modulation-doped HgCdTe", *Journal of Vacuum Science and Technology A*, 7(2) (1989), p. 305.
- [6] F. J. Ohkawa and Y. Uemura, "Quantized Surface States of a Narrow-Gap Semiconductor", *Journal of* the Physical Society of Japan, 37(5) (1974), p. 1325.
- [7] G. L. Hansen, J. L. Schmit, and T. N. Casselman, "Energy Gap versus Alloy Composition and Temperature in Hg_{1-x}Cd_xTe", Journal of Applied Physics, 53(10) (1982), p. 7099.
- [8] W. Brenig and H. Kasai, "Band-Mixing in Narrow-Gap Semiconductors", Z. Phys. B54 (1984), p. 191.
- [9] P. Sobkowicz, "Selfconsistent Theory of n-Type Surface Charge Layers in Narrow-Gap Semiconductors", Acta Phys. Pol. A75 (1989), p. 29.
- [10] A. Ziegler and U. G, Rossler, "Self-Consistent Treatment of Resonant Subband States in Inversion Layers on HgCdTe", *Europhysics Lett.* 8(6) (1989), p. 543.

Paper Received 17 October 1989; Revised 31 March 1990.