خسلاصة

# ALGEBRAIC LIE ALGEBRAS

W. Harold Davenport \*

لقد عرف س . شيفالي احدى جبر لي الجبري باستعماله مبدأ المجموعات الجبرية ، بعد ذلك وصف هذه الجبريات. أمــا جاكسبون فقد عرف مبدأ « تكاد أن تكون جبر لي الحبري للتحويلات الخطية » . وفي هذا البحث تستعمل بعض نتائج الحبر الخطي لنحصل على النظرية التالية : نظرية : اذا كانت L احدى جبر لي الحبري معرفه على مجال له مميز قيمته تساوي صفر فان L تكاد أن تكون جبرية .

## ABSTRACT.

C. Chevalley gives a definition of an algebraic Lie algebra using the concept of algebraic group and characterizes these algebras. Jacobson has defined the concept of an almost algebraic Lie algebra of linear transformations. In this paper we give a purely algebraic definition of an algebraic Lie algebra. Using results from linear algebra we obtain:

**Theorem.** If L is an algebraic Lie algebra over a field of characteristic zero, then L is almost algebraic.

# INTRODUCTION

In this paper F denotes a field of characteristic zero. All vector spaces over F will be finite dimensional. Let L be a vector space over a field F with a binary composition defined from LxL to L. L is called an algebra provided:

- (i) (bilinear condition or distributive property)
  (x+y)z=xz+yz, x(y+z)=xy+xz
  for x, y, z in L
- and (ii) c(xy)=x(cy) for c∈ F and x,y∈ L.
  L is called a lie algebra if L is an algebra and (iii) xx=0 for all x in L
- and (iv) (Jacobi identity) (xy)z+(yz)x+(zx)y=0for x, y,  $z \in L$ .

The basic structure theory for Lie algebras can be found in [4] and [5].

If V is a vector over F, denote by End (V) the set of all linear transformations of V into V. End (V)forms a ring. In particular End (V) is an associative algebra over F. If we define a new binary operation [x,y]=xy—yx called the bracket of x and y or the Lie product or commutator, we get a Lie algebra structure on End (V). In order to distinguish the Lie algebra structure from the associative algebra structure we denote this algebra by g1(V).

Jacobson [3] has defined almost algebraic. In this paper we give a purely algebraic definition of an algebraic Lie algebra, and show that algebraic implies almost algebraic. The usual definition that an algebraic Lie algebra is the Lie algebra of some algebraic group is seen to be equivalent to our definition; see [1, proposition 2, p. 181].

## **RESULTS FROM LINEAR ALGEBRA**

For completeness we collect some results from linear algebra.

## Theorem 1.

Let A be an endomorphism (linear transformation) of a vector space V over F. The following are equivalent:

\* Department of Mathematics, University of Petroleum and Minerals, Dhahran, Saudi Arabia

- (i) A is semisimple.
- (ii) The minimum polynomial P for A is of the form  $P=P_1P_2...P_k$  where  $P_i$  (i=1,...,k) are distinct irreducible polynomials of F[x].
- (iii) A is diagonalizable over a splitting field K of F.
- (iv) Every A-invariant subspace W of V has a complementary A-invariant subspace.
- (v) Every subspace W of V is a T-admissible subspace of V. Recall that W is T-admissible means that W is invariant under T and if f(T)u is in W for  $f \in F[x]$  and any u in V there exists a vector w in W such that f(T)u = f(T) w.

#### Theorem 2.

Let A be an endormorphism of a vector space V over F. The following are equivalent.

- (i) A is nilpotent.
- (ii)  $A^n = 0$  for some positive integer n.
- (iii) All characteristic values of A are zero.

The basic ideas of the proofs of the above two Theorems which characterize semisimple and nilpotent endomorphisms may be found in Hoffman and Kunze [3].

#### Definition 3.

A Lie Algebra L of linear transformations of a vector space over F is called *almost algebraic* if it containts the nilpotent and semisimple components for each  $A \in L$ .

Recal that a field F of characteristic zero has an algebraic closure.

#### Theorem 4.

Let F be a field of characteristic zero and V be a vector space over F. If A is an endomorphism on V, then

(i) there exists a semisimple endomorphism S and a nilpotent endomorphism N so that A=S+N.

- (ii) S and N commute, i.e., SN=NS.
- (iii) S and N are unique.
- (iv) S and N are polynomials in A.

#### Proof.

See [3, Theorem 13, p. 267].

The above decomposition of A = S + N into semisimple and nilpotents is called the *additive Jordan decomposition* of A.

#### Definition 5.

A map  $\rho: L \rightarrow gl(V)$  is a representation of the Lie algebra L if  $\rho$  is a F-linear map and

$$\begin{split} \rho(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) &= \lfloor \rho(\mathbf{x}), \ \rho(\mathbf{y}) \rfloor \\ &= \rho(\mathbf{x})\rho(\mathbf{y}) - \rho(\mathbf{y})\rho(\mathbf{x}) \end{split}$$
 for all x,y in L.

Theorem 6.

Let  $V^*$ =Hom (V,F) be the dual space of the vector space V. Define

$$V_{pg} = \underbrace{V \otimes \dots \otimes V}_{p\text{-copies}} \otimes \underbrace{V^* \otimes \dots \otimes V}_{q\text{-copies}} *$$

where p and q are non-negative integers.

The map  $\rho: gl(V) \rightarrow gl(V_{pq})$  defined by  $\rho(A) = A_{pq}$ is a representation of gl(V) in  $gl(V_{pq})$ .  $A_{pq}$  is defined by

$$\begin{array}{rcl} A_{pq} \left( v_{1} \otimes \ldots \otimes v_{p} \otimes v_{1}^{*} \otimes \ldots \otimes v_{q}^{*} \right) = \\ Av_{1} \otimes \ldots \otimes v_{p} \otimes v_{1}^{*} \otimes \ldots \otimes v_{q}^{*} \\ (I) & + v_{1} \otimes Av_{2} \otimes \ldots \otimes v_{p} \otimes v_{1}^{*} \otimes \ldots \otimes v_{q}^{*} + \ldots \\ & + v_{1} \otimes \ldots \otimes v_{p} \otimes A^{*}v_{1}^{*} \otimes \ldots \otimes v_{q}^{*} + \ldots \\ & + v_{1} \otimes \ldots \otimes v_{p} \otimes v_{1}^{*} \otimes \ldots \otimes A^{*}v_{q}^{*} \end{array}$$

where  $v_i \in V$  for i=1,...,p;  $v_j^* \in V^*$  for j=1,...,qand  $A^*$  is the transpose of A. A very read able treatment of tensor product may be found in [6].

#### Example 7.

Let p=q=1. Thus  $\rho: g_1(V) \rightarrow g_1(V_{11})$ where  $V_{11}=V \otimes V^*$ .

Clearly  $\rho$  is F-linear. To show

- $\rho ([A,B]) = [A,B]_{11} = [A_{11},B_{11}] = [\rho(A), \rho(B)].$ Now for  $v \in V$  and  $\lambda \in V^*$ ,  $[A,B]_{11} (v \otimes \lambda) = [A,B] v \otimes \lambda + v \otimes [A,B]^* \lambda$
- $= [A,B]v \otimes \lambda + v \otimes [A^*,B^*]$
- =  $(ABv BAv) \otimes \lambda + v (A^*B^* \lambda B^*A^* \lambda)$
- =  $ABv \otimes \lambda BAv \otimes \lambda + v \otimes A^*B^*\lambda v \otimes B^*A^*\lambda$ . Also,

 $(A_{11}B_{11}-B_{11}A_{11})(v\otimes\lambda) = A_{11}B_{11}(v\otimes\lambda) - B_{11}A_{11}(v\otimes\lambda)$ =  $A_{11}(Bv\otimes\lambda + v\otimes B^*\lambda) - B_{11}(Av\otimes\lambda) - B_{11}(v\otimes A^*\lambda)$ =  $ABv\otimes\lambda + Bv\otimes A^*\lambda + Av\otimes B^*\lambda + v\otimes A^*B^*\lambda - BAv$  $-BAv\otimes\lambda - Av\otimes B^*\lambda - Bv A^*\lambda - v\otimes B^*A^*\lambda$ =  $ABv\otimes\lambda + v\otimes A^*B_*\lambda - BAv\otimes\lambda - v\otimes B^*A^*\lambda$ .

Comparing the above two equations, we see that  $\rho$  is a representation.

#### ALGEBRAIC LIE ALGEBRAS.

We now give a purely algebraic definition of an algebraic Lie algebra which is independent of the concept of an algebraic group. Then the main result of this paper is established in Theorem 12.

#### Definition 8.

Let A be an endomorphism of a vector space V. Then an endormorphism B of V is called a *replica* of A if and only if B kills the tensors killed by A, i.e., for each positive integers p,q then  $A_{pq}(v)=0$  if and only if  $B_{pq}(v)=0$ .

#### Definition 9.

A Lie algebra contained in gl(V) is called an *algebraic Lie algebra* if and only if L contains the replicas for all its elements.

#### Lemma 10.

Let  $A \in End$  (V) where A = S + N is the additive Jordan decomposition of A into semisimple and nilpotent components S and N respectively. Then the representation  $\rho: A \rightarrow A_{pq}$  preserves Jordan decomposition, i.e.,  $A_{pq} = S_{pq} + N_{pq}$ .

#### Proof.

Since  $\rho$  is a representation  $[S_{pq}, N_{pq}] = [S, N_{pq}] = 0$ Therefore  $S_{pq}N_{pq} = N_{pq}S_{pq}$ . Let the dimension of V be n. By Theorem 1(i) if and only if (iii), choose a basis  $\{v_1, ..., v_n\}$  of V consisting of characteristic vectors for S. If F is not a splitting field for S we may extend F to a field K which is a splitting field. Also choose a dual basis  $\{v_1^*, ..., v_n^*\}$  of V\* consisting of characteristic vectors for S\*. Thus  $\{v_{i1}, v_{j1}, ..., v_{jp}^*\}$ is a basis for  $V_{pq}$  and  $S_{pq}$  has these basis elements as characteristic vectors. So  $S_{pq}$  is semisimple.  $N_{pq}$ is a sum of commuting nilpotents of the form

$$1_{\mathbf{v}} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{N} \otimes \dots \otimes 1_{\mathbf{v}}^* \otimes \dots \otimes 1_{\mathbf{v}}^*$$
$$1_{\mathbf{v}} \otimes \dots \otimes 1_{\mathbf{v}} \otimes 1_{\mathbf{v}}^* \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{N}^* \otimes \dots \otimes 1_{\mathbf{v}}^*.$$

Therefore,  $N_{pq}$  is nilpotent.

Theorem 11.

Let  $A_{pq} \in \rho(g1(V)) \subset g1(V_{pq})$ . Then there exists semisimple  $S_{pq}$  in g1 ( $V_{pq}$ ) and nilpotent  $N_{pq}$  in g1( $V_{pq}$ ) such that

- (i)  $A_{pq} = S_{pq} + N_{pq}$
- (ii)  $S_{pq}N_{pq} = N_{pq}S_{pq}$
- (iii)  $S_{pq}$  and  $N_{pq}$  satisfying (i) and (ii) are unique and each is a polynomial in  $A_{pq}$ .

#### Proof.

Statements (i) and (ii) follow from Lemma 10. The uniqueness part of (iii) is the same as the uniqueness proof in the additive Jordan decomposition (see [3, Theorem 13, p.222]). Let  $A_{\in}$  g1(V) and, A=S+N be the additive Jordan decomposition. By [3, Theorem 13, p.267], s is a polynomial in A. Hence there is f in F[x]so that f(A)=S. By using equation I we see that  $f(A_{pq})=S_{pq}$ . Since  $N_{pq}=A-S_{pq}$ ,  $N_{pq}$  is also a polynomial in  $A_{pq}$ .

### Theorem 12.

Let L be an algebraic Lie algebra over a field of characteristic zero. Then L is almost algebraic.

#### Proof.

Let  $A_{\in}L$ , then  $A_{\in}g1(V)$ . Thus A has an additive Jordan decomposition, i.e., A = S + N. Suppose  $A_{pq}(V) = 0$ . Since  $S_{pq}$  is a polynomial in  $A_{pq}$ , we have an f in F[x] so that  $S_{pq}=f(A_{pq})$ . Consequently,  $f(A_{pq})(v)=0$  for each  $v \in V_{pq}$  for which  $A_{pq}(V)=0$ . Otherwise, if  $A_{pq}(v)=0$  and  $f(A_{pq})(v) \neq 0$  then  $f(A_{pq})(v)=cv$  for some  $c \in F$ . This means v is a characteristic vector for  $S_{pq}$ . Hence v is a characteristic vector for  $A_{pq}$ . But this would contradict the fact that  $A_{pq}(v)=0$ . This shows that  $S_{pq}$  is a replica of  $A_{pq}$ . L algebraic implies S is in L. So N=A-S is in L. Therefore L is almost algebraic.

### REFERENCES

- 1. C. Chevalley, "Theorie des groupes de Lie II. Groupes algebriques," Actualites Sci. et Ind. 1152, Herman ed., Paris, 1951.
- John Fogarty, Invariant Theory. New York: W. A. Benjamin, 1969.
- 3. Kenneth Hoffman and Ray Kunze, *Linear Algebra*, 2nd ed. Englewood Cliffs, N. J. : Prentice-Hall, 1971.

- 4. J.E. Humphreys, Introduction to Lie Algebras and Representation Theory. New York: Springer Verlag, 1972.
- 5 N. Jacobson, *Lie Algebras*, Interscience Tracts in Pure and Applied Mathematics, No.10, New York: Interscience, 1962.
- 6. George D. Mostow, Joseph H. Samson and Jean-Pierre Meyer, Fundamental Structures of Algebra, New York: McGraw-Hill, 1973.

Reference Code for AJSE Information Retrieval QA1157 DA1. Paper received March 8, 1975.