J.K.A.U.: Mar. Sci., Vol. 3, pp. 39-54 (1412 A.H./1992 A.D.)

Sedimentology and Mineralogy of Jizan Shelf Sediments, Red Sea, Saudi Arabia

M. ABOU OUF and A. EL SHATER
Faculty of Marine Science, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

ABSTRACT. This study evaluates the texture, coarse fraction composition and mineralogical constituents of the bottom samples from the Jizan shelf, Red Sea, Saudi Arabia. Five sediment types were identified, namely muddy sand, sandy mud, silt, clayey silt and silty clay. It was found that most of the northern and central parts of the shelf are covered with muddy sediments, while the muddy sand and sandy mud sediments are restricted only to the southern part of the shelf and near shore areas. Based on the textural characteristics of the examined sediments, Jizan shelf environment can be divided into two energy zones: (a) low-energy zone, including most of the northern to middle shelf area; and (b) a moderate-energy zone, restricted to the southern part of the shelf and near shore areas.

The detailed mineralogical investigation of the various size classes of Jizan shelf sediments revealed that they are of polygenetic origin. Two main sources of the shelf sediments were recognized, namely: (a) autochtonous materials which are derived from the degradation of recent shells of various fauna, erosion of submerged ancient sediments and sub-recent coastal sediments; and (b) allochtonous materials, which are derived from the onshore alluvium deposits by the action of intermittent streams.

Introduction

Jizan shelf is a relatively large flat shoal in the southeastern part of the Red Sea. It lies between Farasan Islands and Jizan coast (Fig. 1) and covers an area of approximately 6000 km. Its maximum length is about 100 km and its maximum width is about 70 km.

Although the northern Red Sea bottom sediments have been a subject of numerous studies (e.g. Shukri and Higazy 1944a, b; Mohamed 1940b; Friedman 1968; Mil-



FIG. 1. Location map and sample locations.

liman *et al.* 1969; El Sayed and Hosny 1980), few published works are available on the Holocene sedimentology of the southern Red Sea in general and Jizan shelf in particular. The first geological studies on Farasan Islands was made by McFayden (1930a) and Cox (1931). Later, Bahafzallah (1984) investigated the beaches of the Farasan Islands and their benthic foraminiferal content. Also, the geological history and structure of Jizan coast and Farasan Islands have been summarized by Jado and Zotl 1984, (Fig. 2). Little terrigenous material is supplied from the adjacent coastal plain through the major wadis during flash floods caused by occasional cloud bursts (Al Sayari and Zotl 1978). Therefore, most of the sediments of the shelf are indigenous carbonates mixed with terrigenous clastics.



FIG. 2. Generalized geology of Jizan coastal plain.

Geologically, the Farasan Islands consist of an originally more or less uniform reef flat. Tectonic processes led to shattering and uplift, whereby salt diapirism played a significant role. Generally, the islands are flat and protrude only 10 to 20 meters out of the sea. More recently, to the north of the studied area, Abou Ouf *et al.* (1988) and Tag *et al.* (1990a, b) studied the texture, foraminiferal content and mineralogy of the coastal sediments.

The present study was carried out as an integral part of a long term project aiming at the assessment of the marine environments of Saudi Arabia. This paper presents the results of texture and the mineralogical composition of the Recent and Holocene sediments of Jizan shelf. The foraminiferal distribution of these sediments will be the subject of a later contribution.

General Physiography and Oceanography

Jizan shelf can almost be considered as a shallow marine environment (litoral to epineritic), its depths is almost always less than 100 m (Fig. 3).



FIG. 3. Bathymetric map of Jizan shelf.

The distribution of surface temperature in the southern Red Sea reflects the seasonal cycle of summer heating and winter cooling (Sharaf El Din and Mohamed 1984). During the winter, the surface water temperature ranges between 25.5 and 27.0C in Jizan shelf and between 32 and 33C in summer season.

The surface salinity distribution reflects the effects of the circulation patterns in the Red Sea resulting from evaporation and wind stress processes. During the winter, the surface water salinity ranges from 37.5‰. to 38‰. and between 38‰. and

39‰ in summer (Sharaf El-Din and Mohamed 1984). The direction of wind during the sample collection was south-southeast.

Sampling and Methods of Analyses

Thirty-five samples were collected from the bottom sediments of Jizan shelf area (Fig. 1). Samples were taken from the top 10cm of sediments using a Van Veen grab sampler. Grain-size analysis of these samples were made using standard sieving and sedimentation techniques (Folk 1974). Cumulative curves and histograms were prepared. The proportions of the main size fraction (sand-silt-clay) and the statistical size parameters for all study samples were calculated using the formula of Folk and Ward (1957).

Fifteen samples were chosen for the study of the composition of the sand fractions. The various mineral grain components in the very coarse, coarse, medium and fine sand fractions have been identified using a binocular microscope. The relative frequency percentage of each mineral grain was determined by counting a total of about 200 grain in each size fraction. The biogenic fragments were also studied in thin section.

Eleven samples were selected for the study of heavy minerals. The latter were separated from the very fine sand and coarse silt fractions using the standard bromoform method. Light mineral fractions were stained by alizarine red to facilitate identification of carbonate mineral grains.

For the study of "whole sediment" mineralogy, a portion of the dried sample was finely crushed and analysed by x-ray powder diffraction. Semiquantitative estimations of the minerals present in the "whole sediment" samples were made using the method described by Bush (1973).

The clay size fraction $< 2\ \mu m$ was separated by means of the sedimentation method. A suction-onto-ceramic disc method (Shaw 1971) was used for the preparation of oriented clay samples for x-ray diffraction analysis. The analyses were carried out on a powder diffractometer using nickel-filtered Cu-K radiation. The identification of clay minerals involved the standard pretreatments of glycolation and heating at 550C. The semi-quantitative estimates of the relative amounts of clay minerals were calculated by the method outlined by Schultz (1964).

Results

Textural Classes

The various textural classes of Jizan shelf sediments have been determined using Folk's classification (1974), which is based on sand-silt-clay percentages, with slight modification. Folk's mud textural class is divided into two textural classes, namely; silty clay and clayey silt. According to this classification five textural classes were obtained, namely; muddy sand, sandy mud, silt, clay silt, and silty clay. The average grain size composition and statistical size parameters of these textural classes are given in Table 1.

TABLE 1. Average percentages of sand-silt-clay fraction and statistical size parameters of the various types of Jizan shelf sediments.

Sediment type		Sand %	Silt %	Clay %	Size parameters			
					MZ		SK	K
Muddy sand	Max.	72.4	36.4	18.4	4.3	3.9	+ 0.50	1.7
	Min.	43.5	18.3	5.4	1.5	2.6	+ 0.02	0.8
	Av.	60.7	27.4	11.9	3.2	3.3	+ 0.40	0.94
Sandy mud	Max.	35.4	58.3	45.6	8.9	4.8	+ 0.42	1.3
	Min.	10.1	37.2	32.4	5.4	2.3	− 0.33	0.85
	Av.	18.6	42.2	39.2	6.6	3.2	− 0.09	0.95
Silt	Max.	4.7	71.8	28.5	7.0	2.2	+ 0.92	1.1
	Min.	0.5	67.7	23.4	5.5	1.7	+ 0.24	0.8
	Av.	2.0	72.1	25.9	6.2	2.0	+ 0.41	0.86
Clayey silt	Max.	9.0	63.4	43.0	8.1	3.5	0.46	1.35
	Min.	0.7	51.2	33.2	6.8	1.7	− 0.28	0.78
	Av.	3.1	57.2	39.7	7.4	2.1	+ 0.06	1.0
Silty clay	Max.	7.0	47.5	63.2	8.8	2.7	0.42	1.4
	Min.	0.4	39.1	46.8	7.8	2.3	− 0.30	0.8
	Av.	2.7	42.3	55.0	8.4	3.2	− 0.06	1.1

The distribution of the various textural classes in Jizan shelf is presented in Fig. 4. It was found that most of the shelf is covered by mud and muddy sediments. The distribution of sediment types was found to be related to the bottom physiography and coastal geology of the area.

Mineralogical Composition

Whole-sediment mineralogy

The non-clay, whole-sediment mineralogy of most of the samples from Jizan shelf is characterized by the presence of carbonates, quartz and feldspars, (Table 2). Generally, carbonate minerals constitute more than 75% of the non-clay mineral fraction. They are mainly represented by aragonite, high Mg-calcite, calcite and traces of dolomite. Aragonite is the most common carbonate mineral, it forms about 50% of the non-clay mineral fraction and about 65% of the carbonate fraction. High Mg-calcite and calcite, on the other hand, are less abundant and form 15.5% and 10.5% of the non-clay mineral fraction, respectively. Quartz and feldspars are also present in amounts ranging from 10-18% and 5-11% respectively.

Sand fraction

Sand fraction of Jizan shelf sediments consists mainly of biogenic grains (shells, and shell fragments), quartz and rock fragments. Feldspars, pellets and oolites are present in subordinate amounts. The relative abundance of percentage of the different components forming the various sand fractions of the examined examples are shown in Fig. 5.



FIG. 4. Lithofacies map bottom sediments of Jizan shelf (1 = silty clay; 2 = clayey silt; 3 = silt; 4 = muddy sand; 5 = sandy mud).

Shells and shell fragments are the most dominant components, they constitute more than 70% of the sand fraction. They are generally represented by whole shells and shell fragments of pelecypods, gastropods, echinods, bryozoans, foraminifera, and ostracods. The relative abundance of these biogenic components are controlled to great extent by grain size and environment of deposition. They are generally more abundant in the coarser sand size fractions and decrease in the finer ones.

Biogenic grains in the very coarse sand fractions are mostly represented by fragments of gastropods and pelecypods shells and whole shells of microgastropods and micropelecypods. Echinoid plates and spines are also frequent in this size. Medium and fine sand fractions are characterized by the relative abundance of ostracodes and echinoids spines. Foraminiferal tests are mostly concentrated in the fine and very fine sand fractions.

TABLE 2. "Whole sediment" mineralogy of Jizan shelf sediments (based on 100% non-clay minerals).

Sample No.	Aragonite %	Hig - Mg calcite %	Carbonate		Total %	Quartz	Feldspar
			Calcite %	Dolomite %			
1	46	18	7	2	73	18	9
3	57	17	9	–	83	11	6
5	51	14	6	1	72	17	11
7	49	19	12	3	83	11	6
10	45	18	14	1	78	14	8
12	54	20	11	–	85	10	5
13	61	9	8	–	78	14	8
15	48	12	12	1	73	18	11
18	55	10	12	1	78	13	9
20	51	16	10	–	77	16	7
23	49	17	13	2	81	12	7
25	47	15	11	–	73	16	11
27	51	14	9	–	74	16	10
29	50	16	12	1	79	13	8
33	48	18	11	–	77	15	8
Average	50.5	15.5	10.5	0.8	77.6	14.1	8.3



FIG. 5. Average composition of the various sand fractions of Jizan shelf sediments (V.C.S. = very coarse sand; C.S. = coarse sand; m.s. = medium sand; F.S. = fine sand).

Thin section study of biogenic sand grains showed that most of these grain are severely micritized. This indicates a high level of algal activity, especially the blue green algae. Micritization could be responsible for the breakdown of coarse sand size biogenic grains to finer sand silt grains (Bathurst 1966).

Quartz is mostly represented by rounded monocrystalline grains which are commonly coated with thin, discontinuous shells of carbonates. Quartz is more frequent in the medium and fine sand fractions and less abundant in coarser fractions. Feldspars are present in subordinate amounts. Special distribution of the relative frequency percentages of both quartz and feldspar in the sand fraction of Jizan shelf sediments indicates that they are commonly more abundant in the southern area of the shelf. Pellets and oolites are present in noticeable amounts in the medium sand fraction.

Mineralogy of Very Fine Sand and Coarse Silt Fractions

1. Light Minerals

The light mineral assemblages of both very fine sand and coarse silt fractions of the investigated samples are chiefly composed of carbonate grains (mainly detrital calcite and allochems) quartz and feldspars. Gypsum, chert, and mica are present in traceable amounts (Table 3).

TABLE 3. Relative frequency percentages of the light mineral grains in the coarse silt and very fine sand fraction in the Jizan shelf sediments.

Sample No.	Carbonate		Quartz		Feldspars		Mica		Chert		Gypsum	
	V.F.S.	C.S.	V.F.S.	C.S.	V.F.S.	C.S.	V.F.S.	C.S.	V.F.S.	C.S.	V.F.S.	C.S.
2	51.1	62.1	25.1	21.4	11.5	8.3	6.2	8.6	8.1	6.2	1.8	2.1
6	52.3	58.4	27.4	24.3	13.6	7.8	5.2	8.0	6.2	3.9	2.4	3.1
8	48.4	57.7	28.3	26.4	12.3	9.3	7.0	3.2	5.0	3.8	0.9	1.7
9	48.6	60.3	24.3	22.1	11.2	12.7	8.9	2.5	4.3	2.7	0.7	1.2
11	47.6	66.0	20.2	17.2	8.6	11.4	4.1	5.1	3.6	2.0	0.0	0.8
14	49.1	61.3	21.3	19.4	9.7	12.9	7.5	12.2	4.0	3.1	1.1	0.6
16	44.8	59.6	29.0	27.8	12.1	9.7	5.3	5.8	3.8	4.0	0.0	0.3
19	39.8	57.1	28.9	26.3	14.3	8.4	3.6	10.6	5.2	2.2	0.2	0.1
24	48.3	55.4	27.7	23.9	11.9	9.0	6.4	5.7	4.9	1.8	1.2	1.1
28	53.2	53.9	26.3	23.8	12.4	8.1	2.0	4.9	4.1	1.6	0.0	0.0
31	50.1	54.3	25.7	20.6	13.2	9.4	7.9	4.7	3.3	1.8	0.0	2.7
Average	48.5	58.7	25.8	23.0	11.9	9.7	5.9	6.7	4.8	3.0	0.8	1.3

Note: V.F.S. = Very Find Sand; C.S. = Coarse Silt.

Carbonate grains constitute an average of 54% of the light fraction which generally forms an average of about 89% of the studied samples of both the very fine sand and coarse silt fractions. They are mainly represented by detrital calcite grains and allochems. The latter are composed of fragments of various marine fauna shells (pelecypod, gastropod, echinod, and bryozoa) and whole shells of ostracods, foraminifera and other microfauna. The microfaunal shells and echinoid spines form a considerable portion of the very fine sand fraction. Foraminiferal shells are usually filled with black organic matter and pyrite. Some of the pyrite-filled foraminiferal shells are present with the heavy fraction of the very fine sand and coarse silt. Detrital calcite is present as rounded to subrounded monocrystalline grains and as well-rounded polycrystalline grains (micritic lumps). Well-rhombohedral calcite grains are also recorded.

Quartz constitutes about 24% of the very fine sand and coarse silt fractions. It is commonly present as subrounded to angular grains, most of which are monocrystalline and display light undulose extension.

Feldspars are represented mainly by potash feldspars (orthoclase and microcline) and plagioclase grains. They are generally subhedral and rounded. They range in relative frequency between 8.6 and 14.3% in the very fine sand with an average of 12.0% and between 7.8 and 12.9% in the coarse silt fraction with an average of 9.7%.

Gypsum is present as euhedral monoclinic crystals. Chert is commonly present as angular brownish grains. Mica flakes are present in both and heavy fractions. In the light fractions, they are represented mainly by muscovite.

2. *Heavy Minerals*

In Jizan shelf sediments, heavy-mineral fractions form about 11.5% and 10.3% by weight of the very fine sand and coarse silt fractions, respectively. They are represented mainly by opaques and several transparent heavy mineral grains (Table 4a, b).

The heavy-mineral fractions of the studied sediments are characterized by relative abundance of opaque mineral grains. They constitute about 13.6 and 14.0% (in average) of the heavy-mineral fraction of the very fine and coarse silt fractions, respectively.

The transparent heavy-mineral suite of the studied sediments is represented mainly by amphiboles, epidotes, and micas. Staurolite, andalusite, pyroxenes, zircon and tourmaline are also present but in subordinate quantities. The transparent heavy minerals constitute about 86.3% and 85.1% of the heavy mineral fractions of the very fine sand and coarse silt fractions, respectively.

Amphiboles are represented mainly by green hornblende, actinolite-trimolite series, and riebeckite. In average, the range form 70.0 and 76.0% of the transparent heavy minerals of the very fine sand and coarse silt fractions, respectively. Green hornblende is the most frequent amphibole mineral, it constitutes more than 70.0% of the total amphiboles.

Pistachite and zoisite are the most common epidote minerals. Pistachite is the most abundant and usually occur as well-rounded yellowish green grains. Zoisite grains, on the other hand, are found as angular to subangular grains, where they are relatively abundant in the coarse silt fraction.

Mica grains are represented by muscovite, chlorite, and biotite. Chlorite is relatively more abundant, while biotite and muscovite are present in subordinate quantities. Micas are present in considerable amounts in the very fine sand where they reach an average up to 2.6% of the transparent heavy minerals.

TABLE 4.a. Relative frequency percentages of the heavy minerals in the very fine sand fraction of Jizan shelf sediments.

Sample No.	Opaques	Transparent heavies	Transparent heavy minerals (100%)									Wt % of heavy minerals
			Amphiboles	Epidotes	Mica	Staurolite	Andalusite	Zircon	Tourmaline	Pyroxene	Others*	
2	13.8	86.2	70.0	22.6	1.2	1.3	0.3	1.0	0.2	2.2	1.2	12.3
6	12.1	87.9	73.7	20.6	1.7	0.3	0.3	0.8	–	1.8	0.8	8.4
8	16.3	83.7	68.4	21.8	2.4	2.0	0.9	1.2	–	3.0	0.4	10.1
9	15.00	85.0	68.9	23.2	3.2	0.8	0.6	0.4	0.1	1.2	1.5	12.7
11	14.2	85.8	65.3	24.3	4.0	1.7	1.1	0.6	0.2	1.4	0.7	11.5
14	11.4	88.6	67.5	26.9	2.7	0.5	0.3	0.9	–	1.0	0.2	14.6
16	10.8	89.2	70.0	20.7	3.0	1.2	0.6	0.4	–	2.9	2.0	10.8
19	12.4	87.6	70.3	25.4	2.9	1.0	–	–	–	1.8	0.6	13.2
24	15.3	84.7	67.9	24.5	3.7	0.9	1.0	0.2	–	1.5	0.4	9.7
28	17.0	83.0	68.6	22.0	2.5	2.1	0.8	0.7	0.3	2.4	1.3	8.9
31	11.5	87.5	70.8	22.8	1.7	1.6	0.4	0.4	–	1.1	1.2	14.0
Av.	13.6	86.3	70.2	23.0	2.6	1.2	0.6	0.6	0.1	1.8	0.9	11.5

* Other : apatite, sphene, rutile, and sillimanite.

TABLE 4.b. Relative frequency percentage of the heavy minerals in the coarse silt of Jizan shelf sediments.

Sample No.	Opaques	Transparent heavies	Transparent heavy minerals (100%)									Wt % of heavy minerals
			Amphiboles	Epidotes	Mica	Staurolite	Andalusite	Zircon	Tourmaline	Pyroxene	Others*	
2	14.7	85.3	78.1	16.2	0.9	–	–	1.2	0.6	2.1	0.9	8.5
6	15.1	84.9	76.4	12.3	0.7	–	–	1.6	–	1.2	1.7	10.6
8	11.0	81.0	72.3	20.9	1.5	–	–	0.5	–	2.8	2.0	9.5
9	9.2	91.8	73.8	19.1	1.3	0.7	–	0.9	–	2.9	1.3	11.3
11	16.7	83.3	72.9	21.6	2.1	0.2	–	–	0.4	2.0	0.8	8.8
14	14.3	85.7	77.2	15.6	3.2	–	–	1.7	–	1.7	0.6	9.2
16	12.1	87.9	80.1	2.7	1.9	0.2	–	1.9	0.9	2.3	1.0	13.0
19	13.5	86.5	79.0	14.1	2.7	–	–	–	–	3.0	1.2	10.1
24	17.2	82.8	75.3	16.6	2.3	–	–	1.3	0.8	3.2	0.5	13.2
28	19.4	80.6	72.11	19.8	1.3	-	–	2.0	–	2.6	0.7	7.0
31	13.6	86.4	82.9	13.2	0.5	–	–	–	–	3.0	0.4	12.5
Av.	14.3	85.1	76.4	16.6	1.7	0.1	–	1.1	0.2	2.4	1.0	10.3

* Other : apatite, sphene, rutile, and sillimanite.

Pyroxene grains usually occur as euhedral to subhedral prismatic crystals. They are represented mainly by hypersthene, enstatite and augite.

Clay Mineralogy

Smectite, chlorite, illite and kaolinite are the main clay minerals identified in the Recent bottom sediments of Jizan shelf. The relative frequency percentages of the various clay minerals in Jizan shelf sediments are summarized in Table 5.

Clay minerals of Jizan shelf are characterized by the abundance of smectite and chlorite. They form about 41% and 30% of the average composition of the clay mineral suite, respectively. It was also found that the clay mineral-suite is deficient in kaolinite.

The distribution of smectite and chlorite shows a general decrease towards the south and its highest values occur in areas closest to the coast (Table 5).

TABLE 5. Clay mineralogy of the < 2um fraction of the Jizan shelf sediments.

Sample No.	Smectite %	Chlorite %	Illite %	Kaolinite %
2	43	38	12	7
7	48	36	11	5
8	40	31	20	9
10	39	38	20	3
14	41	27	27	5
15	37	28	25	10
17	39	26	22	13
19	33	33	19	15
23	45	22	24	9
25	42	32	18	8
27	48	31	16	5
29	44	35	11	10
31	40	24	24	12
32	36	39	19	6
34	37	29	25	9
Average	40.8	29.9	20.9	8.4

Discussion and Conclusion

Jizan shelf is mostly covered with muddy sediments. The northern part of the shelf is mainly covered by mud (silty clay and clayey silt). Sandy sediments are generally restricted to the relatively near shore flats and to the southern part of the shelf. The distribution of the various types of sediments and the variations of their textural and granulometrical characteristics are controlled to a great extent by the hydrodynamic factors of currents and waves, nature of coastal sediments input and the ecological conditions of the shelf.

Based on the lithofacies map and the textural parameters of the bottom sediments, two energy zones can be defined in Jizan shelf: a low-energy level environment, including most of the shelf; and a moderate-energy level environment, restricted to the southern part of the shelf and near shore areas.

The nature of the Recent-Sub-Recent coastal sediments also affects the textural characteristics of the Jizan shelf sediments. The occurrence of calcarenitic beach sediments (Sub-Recent raised beaches) along Jizan coast and around the Farasan Islands are responsible for the relative abundance of sandy sediments in the western, southern and eastern part of the shelf. The action of waves generated by the prevailing S-SE winds also play an important role in the development of these sediments.

The biogenic components of the sand-size fractions in the studied sediments, whole shells and shell fragments, are mostly derived from both the living fauna within the shelf and the ancient shells from the coastal Sub-Recent-raised beaches. These shells, Recent and Sub-Recent, are subjected to mechanical breakdown and reworking in the intertidal area of the shelf and then transported by the action of dynamic factors of tidal currents and waves towards the offshore area. Biological breakdown of shells and shell fragments through the micritization and borrowing processes could be responsible for the development of silt and clay-size calcareous particles.

The coarse fragments of these shells will be transported in traction and saltation mode and deposited in the near-shore areas; while the finer grains (silt size) are most probably transported as suspended matter and then deposited in the quite low-energy offshore area as calcareous mud. Shells of the in situ benthic microfauna, foraminifera and ostracoda, contribute to the whole sediment budget by direct accumulation, especially in the muddy areas.

The abundance of aragonite and high-Mg calcite in Jizan shelf sediments could be attributed to the suitability of this area for chemical and biochemical precipitation of these carbonate minerals or to the type of indigenous fauna.

With regard to the transparent heavy minerals, it can be noticed that Jizan shelf sediments are similar to the Recent coastal sediments of the southeastern Red Sea (Tag 1986) in the abundance of amphiboles, epidotes and mica. Therefore, the composition of these heavy-mineral suites indicates that they are mainly derived from the desintegration of metamorphic rocks and partly from basic igneous rocks.

The distribution pattern of smectite fits well the Tertiary and Quaternary volcanic rock occurrence in the coastal region (Jado and Zotl 1984; Fig. 2). Alteration of volcanic material under the influence of relatively higher rainfall contributed smectite-rich sediments to the Jizan shelf. In Al-Qunfudah-Al-Lith coast, which is the northern limit of the monsoon influence and bordering the studied area, low intensity chemical weathering associated hot desert climate and abundant metamorphic schists supplied chlorite-dominant sediment load Durgaprasada Rao *et al.* 1987). These chlorite-rich sediments of Al Qunfudah-Al-Lith shelf may be the main source of chlorite in sediment of the Jizan shelf, where chlorite is more abundant in the northern part and roughly decreases towards the south (Table 5). Kaolinite is considered to have been derived from the soils formed under paleo-humid climates in the west coast of Saudi Arabia (Durgaprasada Rao *et al.* 1987); but the absence of palygorskite and deficiency in kaolinite suggest that the wind-transported sediments from the west coast of Saudi Arabia (Behairy *et al.* 1991) are not deposited in the shelf.

The composition of Jizan bottom sediments in the present case is consistent with that of similar sediments in Dungonab Bay, Sudanese Red Sea (Farah & Biggs 1990).

References

Abou Ouf, M., Durgaprasada Rao, M.V.M. and **Tag, R.J.** (1988) Benthic Foraminifera from Al-Lith-Al Qunfudah coast, southeastern Red Sea, *Ind. Jour. Mar. Sci.* **17:** 212-217.

Al-Sayari, S.S. and **Zotl, J.G.** (1978) *Quaternary period in Saudi Arabia*, **V. 1**, Springer-Verlag, Wein, New York, 335 p.

Bahafzallah, A.A.K. (1984) Recent Foraminifera from the Red Sea Farasan Islands (Saudi Arabia), *Proc. Symp. Coral Reef Environ. Red Sea, Jeddah*, 198-215.

Bathurst, R.G.C. (1966) Boring algae, micrite envelopes and lithification of molluscan biosparites, *Geol. J.* **5:** 1-15.

Behairy, A.K.A., Durgaprasada Rao, N.V.N. and **El Shater, A.** (1991) A siliciclastic coastal sabkha, Red Sea Coast, Saudi Arabia, *J. Fac. Mar. Sci., King Abdulaziz Univ.* **2:** 65-77.

Bush, P.R. (1973) *In: Sedimentology and Ground Water Chemistry of Some Recent Coastal Sediments, Abu-Dhabi, Trucial Coast, Persian Gulf.* Ph.D. Thesis, Univ. London, London, 356 p.

Cox, L.R. (1931) The Geology of Farasan Islands, Gizan and Kamaran Island, Red Sea, Part-2-Molluscan Palaentology, *Geol. Mag.* **68:** 1-13.

Durgaprasada Rao, N.V.N., Tag, R.J. and **Abou Ouf, M.** (1987) Clay mineral distribution in sediments of the eastern Red Sea. *18th IAS Regional Meeting of Sedimentology–Tunis.*, 214-215.

El-Sayed, M.Kh. and **Hosny, Ch.F.** (1980) Sediments of the intertidal zone off Ghardaqa, Red Sea, Egypt, *Proceeding of the Symposium on Coast and Marine Environment of the Red Sea, Kartoum 2. ALESCO/Expl. Mer.* **22:** 180-183.

Farah, O.M. and **Biggs, R.B.** (1990) Constituents composition and mineralogy of bottom sediments of Dongo Bay, Sudanese Red Sea, *J. Fac. Mar. Sci., King Abdulaziz Univ.* (in press).

Folk, R.L. and **Ward, W.L.** (1957) Brazos river bar, a study in the significance of grain size parameters, *J. Sed. Petrol.* **27:** 3-27.

Folk, R.L. (1974) *Petrology of Sedimentary Rocks*, Hamphill, Texas, 182 p.

Friedman, G.M. (1968) Geology and geochemistry of reef carbonate sediments and waters, Gulf of Aqaba, Red Sea, *J. Sed. Petrol.* **38:** 895-919.

Jado, A.R. and **Zotl, J.G.** (1984) *Quaternary Period in Saudi Arabia*, **Vol. 2.** Springer-Verlag, Wien, New York, 360 p.

Mcfayden, W.A. (1930a) The geology of the Farasan Islands, Gizan and Kamaran Island, Red Sea. Part I. General Geology, *Geol. Mag.* **67:** 310-315.

Milliman, J.D., Ross, D.A. and **Lung, Ku.T.** (1969) Precipitation and lithification of deep-sea carbonates in the Red Sea, *J. Sed. Petrol.* **39:** 724-736.

Mohamed, A.F. (1940b) The Egyptian Exploration of the Red Sea, *R. Soc. Lond. Proc. Ser.* **B128:** 306-316.

Schultz, L.G. (1964) Analytical methods in geochemical investigations of the pierre shale, Quantitative interpretation on mineralogical composition from x-ray and chemical data for the pierre shale, *U.S. Geol. Surv. Prof. Pap.* **391(C):** 1-39.

Sharaf El-Din, S.H. and **Mohamed, E.E.** (1984) The circulation pattern and hydrographic structure of the southern Red Sea and Gulf of Aden, *Proc. Symp. Coral Reef Environ. Red Sea, Jeddah*, **1:** 60-101.

Shaw, H.F. (1971) *The Mineralogy of Recent Sediments in the Wash, Eastern England.* Ph.D. Thesis, Univ. of London (Unpubl.).

Shukri, N.M. and **Higazy, R.A.** (1944a) Mechanical analysis of some bottom sediments of the northern Red Sea, *J. Sed. Petrol.* **14:** 43-69.

Shukri, N.M. and **Higazy, R.A.** (1944b) The mineralogy of some bottom deposits of the northern Red Sea, *J. Sed. Petrol.* **14:** 70-85.

Tag, R.J. (1986) *Sedimentology and mineralogy of the Red Sea Coast Recent Sediment between Al-Lith and Al-Qunfudah, Saudi Arabia.* M.Sc. Fac. Earth Sci., King Abdulaziz Univ., Jeddah, Saudi Arabia.

Tag, R.J., Abou Ouf, M. and **El Shater, A.** (1990a) Textural characteristics of coastal sediment between Wadi Al-Fagh and Wadi Al Qunfudah, Southeastern Red Sea, Arab Gulf, *J. Scient. Res.* **8**(2): 33-47.

Tag, R., Abou Ouf, M. and **El Shater, A.** (1990b) The nature and occurrence of heavy minerals in the Recent sediments of Al-Lith-Al Qunfudah Coast of Saudi Arabian Red Sea, *Indian J. Mar. Sci.* **19:** 265-268.

المحتــويات الــرســوبيـــة والمعـدنيـــة لرســوبيـــات رصيـــف جـــيزان القـــاري ، البـــحر الأحمـــر ، المملـكة العربيـة السعوديـة

محمد أبو عوف و عبد الحميد الشاطر
كلية علوم البحار ، جامعة الملك عبد العزيز
جـــدة ، المملكة العربية السعودية

المستخلص . لقـد درست الخصـائص النسيجية والمعـدنية للرواسب الحديثة للرصيف القاري بمنطقة جيزان حيث أوضحت الدراسة أن هناك خمسة أنواع لهذه الرواسب هي كالآتي : الرمال الطينية والطين الرملي والغرين والغرين الطيني .

ولقد وجد أن معظم الأجزاء الشمالية والوسطى لهذا الرصيف مغطاه برواسب طينية بينما الرواسب الرملية الطينية والطينية الرملية تكاد تكون محصورة في الجزء الجنوبي منه والمناطق القريبة من الساحل .

وقـد صنفت رواسب هذا الرصيف القاري بناء على خصائصه النسيجية إلى نطاقين حركيين هما :

أ - نطاق حركي ضعيف يشمل كل منطقة الرصيف القاري تقريبًا .

ب - نطاق حركي متـوسط محصـور في الجزء الجنوبي من الرصيف القاري والمناطق القريبة من الساحل .

أثبتت الدراسة المعدنية التفصيلية لمختلف حجوم رواسب رصيف جيزان القاري أن هذه الرواسب ذات أصول متعددة وأن هناك مصدرين رئيسين لهذه الرواسب هما :

أ - مواد مشتقة من تفتت الهياكل الجيرية لمختلف الكائنات البحرية وكذلك تعرية الرواسب القديمة المغمورة والرواسب الساحلية .

ب - مواد مشتقة من رواسب الأودية الموجودة على الساحل والمنقولة بوساطة السيول المتقطعة .