### Kinetics of the Reduction of Hexacyanoferrate (III) with Metabisulfite as Function of pH

#### Nabeel H. Khraibah and Abbas A. El-Awady

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia abbaselawady@hotmail.com

Abstract: Kinetics of the reduction of potassium hexacyanoferrate(III) with sodium metabisulfite was investigated as a function of pH and at four temperatures, viz. 15°, 20°, 25° and 30°C. The ionic strength was maintained at 1.0 M KCl. The pH range used varied between 2.6 to 4.7 using McIlvaine phosphate/citric acid buffer system. The second order observed rate constant was found to follow an inverse H<sup>+</sup> dependence of the form  $k_{obs}' = k_1 + k_2/[H^+]$ . At 298.15 K, the second order rate constant  $k_1 = (1.02 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{mol}^{-1} \text{L}$  and the first order rate constant  $k_2 = (6.33 \pm 0.12) \times 10^{-6} \text{ s}^{-1}$ . The activation parameters for  $k_1$  are:  $\Delta H^{\ddagger} = 73.64$  kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>,  $\Delta S^{\ddagger} = -36.63$  JK<sup>-1</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup> and  $\Delta G^{\ddagger} = 84.56$  kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> and for  $k_2$  are 41.71 KJ mol<sup>-1</sup>, -205.03 JK<sup>-1</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup> and 102.84 KJ mol<sup>-1</sup> respectively. The kinetic data were very well explained by invoking radical mechanism in which the  $HSO_3^-$  and  $SO_3^{2-}$  formed HSO<sub>3</sub><sup>\*</sup> and SO<sub>3</sub><sup>\*</sup> radicals, alternatively the redox process could take place by a mechanism in which a fast reversible equilibrium is established between the hydrogen ions and hexacyanoferrate(III), followed by a slow reaction of the protonated species with the sulfite ion leading to the formation of sulfite free radical.

#### Introduction

Electron transfer (ET) is a fundamental process in chemical and biological systems, occurring within and between various types of molecular species as well as protein molecules which serve as scaffolding for a variety of redox centers (metal ions, porphyrins, flavins, quinones, *etc.*)<sup>[1-4]</sup>. The reduction of hexacyanoferrate was carried out by a number of reducing agents such as ascorbic acid<sup>[5,6]</sup> and thiosulfate<sup>[7]</sup>.

The reaction between hexacyanoferrate(III) and sulfite has been of some interest for a number of years<sup>[8,9]</sup> with a variety of mechanistic interpretations. Veprek-Siska et al.<sup>[10]</sup> found that the reaction is slow, first order with respect to  $\frac{1}{2}$ Fe CN)<sub>6</sub><sup>3-</sup> and  $\frac{1}{2}$ SO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup> and suggested an inner-sphere mechanism involving the formation of an intermediate [Fe(CN)<sub>5</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>]<sup>4-</sup>. Swinehart<sup>[11]</sup> suggested an outer-sphere mechanism involving the formation of the sulfite radical anion  $SO_3^{-*}$ . Maltz<sup>[12]</sup> showed that for reaction in the presence of <sup>14</sup>C labeled cyanide ion, there is no radioactive tracer in the ferrocyanide product. He also found that sulfate was the only significant sulfur containing product. Murray<sup>[13]</sup> in a series of papers suggested that the initial one electron transfer step was followed by a rapid reaction of the sulfite radical anion with ferricyanide to form an adduct, which underwent further reaction to form ferrocyanide and sulfate. Murray felt that a seven co-ordinate low spin cyanoferrate complex was unlikely and suggested that the sulfite radical anion added on to a cyanide ligand, [Fe(CN)<sub>5</sub>(CNSO<sub>3</sub>)]<sup>4-</sup>. Yet Dasgupta and Mahanti<sup>[14]</sup> in their study of the alkaline oxidation of sulfite by  $Fe(CN)_6^{3^2}$  suggested that the sulfite ion undergoes a one electron transfer via a SO<sub>3</sub><sup>\*</sup> radical which dimerizes to give  $S_2O_6^{2^2}$ . In the present study we report the reaction of potassium hexacyanoferrate(III) with sodium metabisulfite as a function of pH and temperatures, at ionic strength I =1.0M, KCl. The pH range used varied between 2.6 to 4.7 using McIlvaine phosphate/citric acid buffer system.

#### Experimental

Reagent grade chemicals were used in all preparations. The water used was doubly distilled. Potassium hexacyanoferrate(II)  $K_4Fe(CN)_6$  and potassium hexacyanoferrate(III)  $K_3Fe(CN)_6$  were obtained from Fluka or BDH and used without further purification. McIlvaine phosphate-citrate buffers<sup>[15]</sup> were used for reactions done at a pH 3.0 – 5.0. The pH of the solution in all buffered experiments was measured using Mettler Toledo Seven Easy pH meter at controlled temperature and calibrated using standard buffers at three pH values (4.01, 7.00 and 9.21). For the kinetic experiments all pH values were determined at the beginning and end of the reaction.

The reaction rates were followed by photometric method using UV-Vis spectrophotometer Shimadzu model UV-260A equipped with thermo-stated  $(\pm 0.1^{\circ}C)$  cell compartment. The temperature inside the cell was kept at the desired temperature by circulating water through the thermo-stated cell holder with Haake temperature bath model GD1 constant temperature circulator. All reactions were done under pseudo–first order conditions in which the concentration of the reducing reagent sodium metabisulphite was at least 10 times as large as that of the iron(III) complex. The concentration of the iron(III)

complex was  $2.00 \times 10^{-4}$  M whereas that of the metabisulphite was  $2.00 \times 10^{-3}$  M. All reactions were done at four temperatures *viz.* 15, 20, 25 and 30°C.

The kinetic experiment was initiated by the addition of sodium metabisulphite to the iron(III) complex dissolved in the phosphate–citrate McIlvaine buffer of the desired pH and adjusted to ionic strength I = 1.0 M, KCl and thermo-stated at the desired temperature. The mixed reaction mixture is transferred to the spectrophotometer quartz cell, placed in the cell holder and the experiment started. Initially as well as in a number of experiments, full spectral scans between  $\lambda = 460$  nm and  $\lambda = 315$  nm were done. In the remainder of the experiments reactions were monitored at a fixed wavelength  $\lambda = 420$  nm representing the maximum wavelength for the disappearance of iron(III).

All kinetic experiments were done under pseudo-first order conditions. Under these conditions, the rate of the reaction was found to be well-fitted by the assumption of first order kinetics. The data were thus treated by the application of equation (1)

$$\ln(A_t - A_{\infty}) = \ln(A_o - A_{\infty}) - kt$$
(1)

where  $A_0, A_t$  and  $A_\infty$  are the absorbances of the solution at times zero, t and  $\infty$  respectively. A plot of  $\ln(A_t - A_\infty)$  Vs. t should give a straight line with a slope of "-k" if equation [1] is applicable. Final values of the rate constants are obtained from linear least squares fit of the data using "EXCEL" program package.

The activation parameters  $\Delta G^{\ddagger}$ ,  $\Delta H^{\ddagger}$  and  $\Delta S^{\ddagger}$  for the reactions studied were calculated using the relationship of the rate constant and the temperature as given by the transition state theory<sup>[16,17,18]</sup>

$$k = \frac{RT}{Nh} \exp\left[\frac{\Delta S^{\dagger}}{R}\right] \exp\left[-\frac{\Delta H^{\dagger}}{RT}\right]$$
(2)

where k is the rate constant at a given temperature T, R the ideal gas law constant, N, Avogadro's number; h, Planck's constant,  $\Delta S^{\ddagger}$  the entropy of activation and  $\Delta H^{\ddagger}$  the enthalpy of activation.

Equation [2] in logarithmic form takes the form:

$$\ln\left(\frac{k}{T}\right) = \ln\left(\frac{R}{Nh}\right) + \frac{\Delta S^{\ddagger}}{R} - \frac{\Delta H^{\ddagger}}{RT}$$
(3)

A plot of ln(k/T) vs. (1/T) gives a straight line of slope  $(-\Delta H^{\ddagger}/R)$  and intercept of ln(R/Nh) +  $\Delta S^{\ddagger}/R$ .

Final values of  $\Delta S^{\ddagger}$  and  $\Delta H^{\ddagger}$  are obtained from linear least squares fit of the temperature dependent values of ln(k/T) vs. (1/T). The  $\Delta G^{\ddagger}_{298}$  value is calculated from the relationship (4) with T=298.15.

$$\Delta G^{\ddagger}_{298} = \Delta H^{\ddagger} - T \Delta S^{\ddagger} \tag{4}$$

The Cyclic Voltammetric Experiment was done using Metrohm 746 VA Trace analyzer. The concentration of the iron(III) complexes solutions was  $1.00 \times 10^{-3}$  M, meanwhile that for the supporting electrolyte used (NaNO<sub>3</sub>) was 0.1M. The three-electrode measurements were carried out at 298 K under nitrogen atmosphere with a platinum working electrode, a platinum wire auxiliary electrode and Ag/AgCl reference electrode. The scan rates used were 20 and 40 mVs<sup>-1</sup>. The potentials reported in this work are uncorrected for junction contributions.

#### **Results and Discussions**

#### Cyclic Voltammetry

Figures 1 and 2 give the respective voltammograms using scan rates of 20 mVs<sup>-1</sup> and 40 mVs<sup>-1</sup>. and show that the derived parameters are significantly altered by the use of different potential scan rates. Such a behavior can be attributed to: 1. High potential drop between the electrodes termed iR drop (potential = current (i) x solution resistance (R)), 2. Slow electron transfer kinetics and 3. Irreversible redox reaction<sup>[19]</sup>.



Fig. 1: CV for  $[Fe(CN)_6]^{3-}$  at scan rate 20 mVs<sup>-1</sup>.

Although the addition of fully dissociated salts improves the conductivity of the electrolyte solution, many electrolyte solutions (particularly those based on non-aqueous solvents) have significant resistance (hundreds of ohms). This leads to a potential drop between the electrodes termed iR drop. Some of this iR drop can be compensated by the three electrode system. However, some resistance (between the working and reference electrodes) remains uncompensated. If the uncompensated resistance is significant (hundreds of ohms), then the peak potential separation increases and the peak current decreases. These effects become more pronounced with increasing scan rate. Such are the observations in our redox system where lower scan rates give much better results and conform with available data for the ferricyanide system. For a redox reaction to be reversible, the concentrations of the oxidized and reduced species at the electrode surface must be maintained at the values required by the Nernst equation. In practical terms, a redox reaction is reversible if the rate of electron transfer is fast relative to the scan rate (the rate of change of potential) and if the oxidized and reduced species are stable on the experimental time scale (i.e., they do not undergo any significant chemical reactions). The peak potential difference for a reversible system is about 60-70 mV (the theoretical value is about 58-59 mV, depending on the temperature and the switching potential), and the peak currents are equal. It should be emphasized here that peak potential separation and peak currents can be affected by slow electron transfer kinetics as well as uncompensated solution resistance. Our data at a scan rate of 20 mVs<sup>-1</sup> indicate that the ferri/ferrocyanide system is a reversible one electron transfer process. It should be noted that all the potential data are given relative to the Ag/AgCl electrode.



Fig. 2: CV for [Fe(CN)<sub>6</sub>]<sup>3-</sup> at scan rate 40 mVs<sup>-1</sup>.

Scan rate mVs <sup>-1</sup>	E <sub>pc</sub> mV	${ m E}_{ m pa} \ { m mV}$	$\Delta E_p mV$	$\begin{array}{c} E_{1/2} \\ mV \end{array}$	Ι <sub>pc</sub> μΑ	$I_{pa} \ \mu A$	$I_{pa}/I_{pc}$
20	170	238	68	204	3.03	2.60	0.86
40	136	236	100	130	3.73	1.95	0.52

Table 1: Cyclic Voltammetric Data For Fe(CN)<sub>6</sub><sup>3-</sup>/Fe(CN)<sub>6</sub><sup>4-</sup> at scan rates 20 and 40 mVs<sup>-1</sup>.

#### Kinetics of Hexacyanoferrate(III) Reduction

The overall reaction can be represented by equation (5):

$$2 \operatorname{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}^{3-} + 2 \operatorname{SO}_{3}^{2-} \Longrightarrow 2 \operatorname{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}^{4-} + \operatorname{S}_{2} \operatorname{O}_{6}^{2-}$$
(5)

The oxidation of sulphite to the dithionate was established by the precipitation of a white solid of  $BaS_2O_6$  soluble in dilute hydrochloric acid. No evidence for the formation of sulfate was observed, however. The kinetic data were analyzed at 420 nm. In all cases good straight lines were obtained in the plots of  $ln(A-A_{\infty})$  vs t. The final values for the observed rate constants  $k_{obs}$  were obtained from linear least squares treatment of the data. The values of  $k_{obs}$  at the pH's studied are tabulated in Table 2 at the various temperatures used.

pH 10 <sup>5</sup> k <sub>obs</sub> , s <sup>-1</sup> 15°C		pH 1	10 <sup>4</sup> k <sub>obs</sub> , s <sup>-1</sup> 20°C	pH 10 <sup>4</sup> k <sub>obs</sub> , s <sup>-1</sup> 25°C		pH 10 <sup>4</sup> k <sub>obs</sub> , s <sup>-1</sup> 30°	
2.79	$00.66\pm0.01$	2.78	$0.12\pm0.01$	2.72	$0.17\pm0.01$	2.79	$0.23\pm0.01$
2.95	$00.81\pm0.01$	2.95	$0.18\pm0.01$	2.89	$0.25\pm0.01$	2.95	$0.26\pm0.01$
3.11	$01.35\pm0.01$	3.08	$0.23\pm0.01$	3.04	$0.26\pm0.01$	3.14	$0.35\pm0.01$
3.29	$01.91\pm0.03$	3.26	$0.29\pm0.01$	3.18	$0.32\pm0.01$	3.30	$0.65\pm0.01$
3.45	$02.73\pm0.02$	3.43	$0.42\pm0.01$	3.42	$0.52\pm0.01$	3.51	$0.74\pm0.01$
3.68	$03.76\pm0.02$	3.63	$0.56\pm0.01$	3.59	$0.74\pm0.01$	3.71	$1.10\pm0.01$
3.86	$05.15\pm0.03$	3.85	$0.82\pm0.01$	3.84	$1.16\pm0.01$	3.88	$1.55\pm0.01$
4.04	$07.95\pm0.04$	4.04	$1.14\pm0.01$	4.02	$1.66\pm0.01$	4.06	$2.20\pm0.03$
4.25	$11.81\pm0.40$	4.23	$1.61\pm0.02$	4.21	$2.39\pm0.03$	4.27	$3.32\pm0.03$
4.45	$18.38\pm0.30$	4.43	$2.48\pm0.02$	4.41	$3.44\pm0.02$	4.47	$4.93\pm0.04$
4.59	$26.41\pm0.40$	4.62	$3.49\pm0.05$	4.61	$5.19\pm0.04$	4.65	$7.13\pm0.05$

Table 2: k<sub>obs</sub> as a function of pH and temperature.

The kinetic data can be explained by invoking a radical mechanism in which the products of the aquated metabisulfite namely hydrogen sulfite  $HSO_3^-$  and sulfite  $SO_3^{2-}$  interact with the hexacyanoferrate(III) *via* slow one electron transfer steps to give the hexacyanoferrate(II) and  $HSO_3^+$  and/or  $SO_3^{-*}$  radicals (11,20).

One could thus envisage the overall reduction process to take place by the following sequence of steps:

$$S_2O_5^{2-} + H_2O \longrightarrow 2 HSO_3^{-}$$
 (6)

$$HSO_3^- + H_2O \xrightarrow{K} SO_3^{2-} + H_3O^+$$
(7)

$$\operatorname{Fe}(\operatorname{CN})_{6}^{3-} + \operatorname{HSO}_{3}^{-} \xrightarrow{k_{1}} \operatorname{Fe}(\operatorname{CN})_{6}^{4-} + \operatorname{HSO}_{3}^{*}$$
(8)

$$Fe(CN)_6^{3-} + SO_3^{2-} \xrightarrow{k_2} Fe(CN)_6^{4-} + SO_3^{-*}$$
 (9)

$$HSO_3^* + SO_3^{-*} \xrightarrow{fast} HS_2O_6^{-}$$
(10)

The above mechanism gives a rate law of the form:

$$\frac{-\partial \ln[Fe(CN)_6^{3^-}]}{\partial t} = k_{obs}$$
(11)

$$k_{obs} = k_1 [HSO_3^{-}] + k_2 [SO_3^{2-}]$$
(12)

The total 
$$SO_3^{2^-}$$
 is given by:  

$$\sum [SO_3^{2^-}] = [HSO_3^{-^-}] + [SO_3^{2^-}]$$
(13)

Substituting 13 into 12 gives:

$$k_{obs} = \frac{\{k_1[H^+] + k_2K\}}{\{[H^+] + K\}} \sum [SO_3^{2^-}]$$
(14)

$$k_{obs}' = \frac{k_{obs}}{\sum [SO_3^{2^-}]} = \frac{\{k_1[H^+] + k_2K\}}{\{[H^+] + K\}}$$
(15)

Since K, the acid ionization constant of  $HSO_3^- = 6.2 \times 10^{-8} \le [H^+]$ , equation (14) reduces to:

$$k_{obs}' = k_1 + \frac{k_2'}{[H^+]}$$
 (16)  
where  $k_2' = k_2 K$ 

It is important to realize that while  $k_{obs}$  is a first order rate constant of units  $s^{-1}$ ,  $k_{obs}'$  is a second order rate constant of units  $s^{-1}mol^{-1}L$ . In addition  $k_1$  is a second order rate constant of units  $s^{-1}mol^{-1}L$ , where as  $k_2'$  is a first order rate constant of units  $s^{-1}mol^{-1}L$ , where as  $k_2'$  is a first order rate constant of units  $s^{-1}$ . Table 3. gives the values of  $k_{obs}'$  as functions of pH at the four temperatures studied. The corresponding  $k_1$  and  $k_2'$  values from least squares treatment of the data are given in Table 4 together with the corresponding activation parameters.

Table 3:	Final	values	of k <sub>1</sub>	and	k <sub>2</sub> as	s functions	of	temperature	and	the	correspondin	g
activation	parai	meters.										

T, K	10 <sup>2</sup> k <sub>1</sub> , s <sup>-1</sup> mol <sup>-1</sup> L	$10^6 \text{ k}_2' \text{ s}^{-1}$
288.15	$0.25\pm0.07$	$3.28 \pm 0.04$
293.15	$0.84\pm0.14$	$4.15 \pm 0.09$
298.15	$1.02\pm0.20$	$6.33 \pm 0.12$
303.15	$1.30 \pm 0.23$	$7.84 \pm 0.13$
$\Delta H^{\ddagger}$ , kJ mol <sup>-1</sup>	73.64	41.71
$\Delta S^{\ddagger}$ , JK <sup>-1</sup> mol <sup>-1</sup>	- 36.63	- 205.03
$\Delta G^{\ddagger}_{(298.15 \text{ K})}$ , kJ mol <sup>-1</sup>	84.56	102.84

## Table 4: Final values of k as functions of temperature and the corresponding activation parameters.

Т, К	10 <sup>4</sup> k <sub>.</sub> s <sup>-1</sup> mol <sup>-1</sup> L
288.15	0.90
293.15	1.87
298.15	2.54
303.15	3.20
$\Delta H^{\ddagger}$ , kJ mol <sup>-1</sup>	57.68
$\Delta S^{\ddagger}$ , JK <sup>-1</sup> mol <sup>-1</sup>	- 120.83
$\Delta G^{\ddagger}_{(298.15 \text{ K})}, \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$	93.70

The suggested mechanism given in the sequence of reactions (11 to 15) implies that the rate determining step involves the interaction of two anionic species. This unfavorable situation manifests itself in the large activation barrier and the large negative values of  $\Delta S^{\ddagger}$ . In addition the single negative charge on the hydrogen sulfite ion compared to the doubly charged sulfite ion implies a lower negative value for  $\Delta S^{\ddagger}$  (-36.6 JK<sup>-1</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup> for k<sub>1</sub> compared to -205 JK<sup>-1</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup> for k'<sub>2</sub>). All these species are, however, highly solvated and the anions are surrounded in the outer coordination spheres by the dipolar water molecules reducing the electrostatic repulsion and causing the reaction to proceed via an outer sphere mechanism.

The reaction with the hydrogen sulfite ion, however could proceed by a bridged mechanism where the proton attaches itself to the lone pair on the cyanide ligand leading to bridge formation and the electron is transferred from  $S^{IV}$  to  $Fe^{III}$  across the bridge via the S-O d -p and the N-C p -p system.

In this case a fast reversible equilibrium is established between the hydrogen ions and hexacyanoferrate(III). This is followed by a slow reaction of the protonated species with the sulfite ion leading to the formation of hydrogen sulfite free radicals which combine to form dithionate in a fast reaction.

The entire series of reactions are as follows:

$$\operatorname{Fe}(\operatorname{CN})_{6}^{3-} + \operatorname{H}^{+} \xleftarrow{K} [(\operatorname{CN})_{5}\operatorname{Fe}\operatorname{CN} \cdots \operatorname{H}]^{2-}$$
 (17)

$$[(CN)_5 FeCN \cdots H]^{2-} + SO_3^{2-} \xrightarrow{k} Fe(CN)_6^{4-} + HSO_3^*$$
(18)

$$2 \text{ HSO}_3^* \longrightarrow S_2 O_6^{2-} + 2 \text{ H}^+$$
 (19)

Reaction 18 suggests a bridged mechanism during the electron transfer *via* an activated complex of the form  $[(CN)_5FeCN\cdotsH\cdotsSO_3^{2^-}]$ .

The above mechanism gives a rate law of the form:

$$\left[\frac{1}{\sum[SO_3^{2^-}]}\right]\left[\frac{\delta \ln[Fe(CN)_6^{3^-}]}{\delta t}\right] = k_{obs}'$$
(20)

$$k_{obs}' = kK + \frac{k}{K[H^+]} = k_1 + \frac{k_2}{[H^+]}$$
(21)

In this case the second order rate constant "k" of reaction 18 is obtained from the relationship:

$$k = (k_1 \times k_2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
(22)

The values of the second order rate constant k are given in Table 5 together with the corresponding activation parameters.

Again the smaller negative value of  $\Delta S^{\ddagger}$  (-121 JK<sup>-1</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup>) is further evidence of bridge formation. The later value of  $\Delta S^{\ddagger}$  is actually the mean of the two values for the first mechanism. This is expected since reaction 8 and 9 are related to reaction 18 by the second ionization of sulfurous acid.

#### References

- [1] Marcus, R.A. and Sutin, N. (1975) Inorg. Chem., 14: 213-216.
- [2] Marcus, R.A. and Sutin, N. (1985) "Application of Electron-Transfer Theory to Several Systems of Biological Interest", Michel-Beyerle, M.E.; Editor, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, W. Germany, pp. 226-233.

- [3] Marcus, R.A. and Sutin, N. (1985) *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, 811: 265-322.
- [4] Marcus, R.A. and Sutin, N. (1986) Comm. Inorg. Chem., 5: 119-133.
- [5] Mehrotra, U.S., Agrawal, M.C. and Mushran, S.P. (1969) J. Phys. Chem., 73: 1996-1999.
- [6] Watkins, K.W. and Olson, J.A. (1980) J. Chem. Educ., 57:158-159.
- [7] Andrew, Mills, Xiaojing, Li and Grant, Meadows, J. (1994) Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans., 90:1429-1433.
- [8] Singh, B. and Malik, I.I., J. (1937) Indian Chem. Soc. 14: 435.
- [9] Higginson, W.C.E. and Marshall, J.W., J. (1957) Chem. Soc. 447.
- [10] Veprek-Siska, J. and Wagnerova, D.M. (1965) Coll. Czech. Chem. Comm. 30 1390.
- [11] Swinehart, J.H. and Inorg, J. (1967) Nuclear Chem. 29: 2313.
- [12] Wiberg, K.B., Maltz, H. and Fogg Inorg, A.G., (1968) Chem. 7, 830.
- [13] Murray, R.S., J. (1974) Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 2381.
- [14] Dasgupta, G. and Mahanti, M.K. (1985) Afinidad, 42: (399) 506-508.
- [15] Elving, P.J., et al., (1956) Anal. Chem., 28:1179-1180.
- [16] Laidler, K.J. (1981) Pure Appl. Chem., 53: 753.
- [17] Parcey, P.D. (1981) J. Chem. Educ. 58: 612
- [18] Wynne-Jones, W.F.K. and Eyring, H. (1935) J. Chem. Phys., 3492.
- [19] Nicholson, R.S. and Shain, I. (1964) Anal. Chem., 36: 706.
- [20] Nobrega, J.A. and Rocha, F.R.P. (1997) J. Chem. Educ. 74: 560-562.

# دراسة حركية لاختزال سداسي سيانو فيريت مع ميتا الكبريتات الثنائية

## نبيل الخريبان، وعباس العوضي قسم الكيماء – كلية العلوم جامعة الملك عبدالعزيز – جدة – المملكة العربية السعودية

<sup>1</sup> ، <sup>1</sup> ، <sup>1</sup> ما<sup>-1</sup> م $\Delta S^{*} = 36.63 \ JK^{-1} \ mol^{-1}$  ، <sup>1</sup> ، <sup>1</sup> ، <sup>1</sup> مol<sup>-1</sup> ، <sup>1</sup> م $\Delta S^{*} = -36.63 \ JK^{-1} \ mol^{-1}$  ، <sup>1</sup> nol<sup>-1</sup> ، <sup>1</sup> nol<sup>-1</sup> ، <sup>1</sup> nol<sup>-1</sup> kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> value and <sup>1</sup> value and