# 10

## Some Limiting Results

### M.S. Ali Khan

College Of Science, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

> This paper considers  $\lim_{n \to \infty} n^{-a} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \sum_{x} A_{n-j}$  (x)  $B_j$  (x) and  $\lim_{n \to \infty} n^{-a} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \int A_{n-j}$  (x)  $B_j$  (x) dx and their application in the Central Limit theorem. To arrive at these results some ratio limit theorems for numbers are generalized for functions. It has been proved that under certain conditions these limits are equal to the limits  $\lim_{n \to \infty} n^{-a} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \sum_{x} A_j$  (x) B(x) and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} n^{-a} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \int A_j(x) B(x) dx \text{ respectively where } B(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} B_n(x).$$

Central limit theorem plays a great role in the theory of statistics. Chebyshev and Markov proved that if

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} E(Y_n) = \begin{cases} 1. 3. 5. \dots (k-1), \text{ when } k \text{ is even} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where  $Y_n = \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu_i) / \sqrt{n}$  with  $\mu_j = E(X_j)$  and  $X_1, X_2, ...$  is a sequence of random variables, then in the limit  $Y_n$  has normal distribution with mean zero and variance  $\delta^2 = \lim_{n \to \infty} Var(Y_n)$ . Thus to prove the central limit theorem under certain given conditions one has to prove a set of limiting results which can be used in proving the central limit theorem. To start with we first state two lemmas the proof of which can be found in most text books. We are quoting the first one from Karlin (1966, p127) and the second from Chung (1967, p66).

Lemma 1. If  $0 \leq a_n \leq K$  and  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n = \infty$ , then the relation  $\lim_{n \to \infty} b_n = b$  with b finite implies

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{n} a_{n-j} b_{j}}{\sum_{j=0}^{n} a_{j}} = b.$$

Lemma 2. If  $0 \leq a_n \leq K$ , a sufficient condition that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{n} a_{n-j} b_{j}}{\prod_{\substack{n \to \infty \\ j = 0}}^{n} a_{j}} = \lim_{n \to \infty} b_{n}$$

whenever  $\{b_n\}$  has a limit is that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{a_n}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i} = 0.$ 

It is obvious that condition  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{a_n}{\sum_{j=0}^n a_j}$  is linient as compared to the condition  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{j=0}^n a_j = \infty$  and that the latter implies the former but not conversely.

In this paper our aim is to generalize these lemmas when we have functions  $A_i(x)$  and  $B_i(x)$  instead of  $a_i$  and  $b_i$ . Section 2 is devoted to the situation when A(x)and B(x) are functions of the discrete variable x. In this case we will generalize lemma 1. The continuous case will be considered in section 3 and there we will generalize lemma 2.

#### 2. Discrete case

Let  $A_{i}(x)$  and  $B_{j}(x)$  be defined on I, the set of natural numbers, for all j. Suppose further that the sum  $\sum_{x} A_{j}(x)$  exists which we may denote by  $a_{j}$ . We are going to generalize lemma 1 in the following form.

Theorem 1. Let  $\{A_n(x)\}$  be a uniformly bounded positive sequence such that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \sum_{X} A_j(x) = \infty$ . Let the sequence  $\{B_n(x)\}$  converge uniformly to the bounded function B(x) such that min  $\{|B(x)|\}=b > 0$ . Then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{n} \sum_{x} A_{n-j}(x) B_{j}(x)}{\sum_{j=0}^{n} \sum_{x} A_{j}(x) B(x)} = 1$$

provided B (x) does not change sign in the domain of definition.

*Proof.* Since  $B_{\eta}(x)$  converges to B (x) uniformly in x, there exists an N (depending on a given  $\varepsilon > 0$ ) such that

$$|B_n(x) - B(x)| < b \varepsilon$$
 for all  $n > N$ .

Moreover  $B_n(x)$  converges to the bounded function B(x), there exists an M such that  $|B_n(x)| \leq M$  for all n and  $|B(x)| \leq M$ . Further B(x) does not change sign, we have

$$\begin{array}{c|c} n \\ \Sigma \\ j=0 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} X \\ X \end{array} \begin{array}{c} A_{j} \\ \end{array} (x) \end{array} \begin{array}{c} B \\ (x) \end{array} \left( \begin{array}{c} \sum \\ x \end{array} \right) \begin{array}{c} n \\ \sum \\ j=0 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \Sigma \\ X \end{array} \begin{array}{c} A_{j} \\ x \end{array} (x) \end{array}$$

Now

<

$$\frac{2M}{b} \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{N} a_{n-j}}{\sum_{j=0}^{n} a_{j}} + \frac{b\varepsilon}{b} \frac{\sum_{j=N+1}^{n} a_{n-j}}{\sum_{j=0}^{n} a_{j}}$$

M. S. Ali Khan

Taking the limit as  $n \longrightarrow \infty$ , we obtain

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left| \begin{array}{c} \sum \sum A_{n-j} (x) B_{j} (x) \\ \frac{j=0 \ x}{2} & -1 \\ \sum \sum A_{j} (x) B_{j} (x) \\ \frac{j=0 \ x}{2} & -1 \\ \end{array} \right| < \varepsilon$$

But  $\epsilon$  is arbitrary which shows that the limit is zero and this proves the theorem.

We note that if 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} B_n(x) = B$$
, a constant, then  

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{n} \sum_{X} A_{n-j}(x) B_j(x)}{\sum_{j=0}^{n} \sum_{X} A_j(x)} = B$$

In this case B need not be other than zero.

We are now going to prove another theorem which is based on theorem 1 and is most helpful in proving the central limit theorem.

Theorem 2. Let  $\{A_n(x)\}\$  be a uniformly bounded positive sequence such that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \sum_{x} A_j(x) = \infty$ . Let the sequence  $\{B_n(x)\}\$  converges uniformly to the bounded function B(x) such that  $\min\{|B(x)|\}\$  = b > 0. Then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{j=0}^{n} n^{-a} \sum_{X} A_{n-j}(x) B_{j}(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{j=0}^{n} n^{-a} \sum_{X} A_{j}(x) B(x)$$
(1)

provided the limit on the right hand side exists for some a > 0 and that B(x) does not change sign in the domain of definition.

#### Proof. We have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} n^{-a} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \sum_{X} A_{n-j}(x) \quad B_{j}(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \begin{cases} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \sum_{X} A_{n-j}(x) \quad B_{j}(x) \\ \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{n} \sum_{X} A_{j}(x) \quad B(x) \\ \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{n} \sum_{X} A_{j}(x) \quad B(x) \end{cases}$$

Using theorem 1 and the existence of  $\lim_{n \to \infty} n^{-a} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \sum_{x} A_{j}(x) B(x)$ , we obtain the

132

required result.

If B(x) is independent of x, then with B(x) - B, say, we have

 $\lim_{n \to \infty} n^{-a} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \sum_{X} A_{n-j}(x) B_{j}(x) = B \lim_{n \to \infty} n^{-a} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \sum_{X} A_{j}(x).$ If  $\lim_{n \to \infty} a_{n}^{a} = A$ , say, then obviously

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} n^{-a} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \sum_{X} A_{n-j}(x) \quad B_{j}(x) = \begin{cases} AB & \text{if } a = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } a > 1. \end{cases}$$

To show an application of the above theorem we consider a Markov chain with finite state space and transition probability matrix  $P = \{ p_{ij} \}$  where  $p_{ij} = Pr(X_{m+1} = j | X_m = i)$  for all m and  $\{ \pi_x \}$  as its stationary distribution with  $\mu$  as the mean and prove the

Theorem 3, If  $X_1, X_2, ..., X_n, ...$  form a homogeneous Markov chain which is recurrent, irreducible and aperiodic, then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \operatorname{Var}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\Sigma} X_j / \sqrt{n}\right) = \delta^2 - 2 \sum_{x} \sum_{y} (x - \mu) (y - \mu) \pi x \pi y \mu xy$$

Where  $\mu_{xy}$  is the mean first entrance time from E to y and  $\sigma^2$  is the variance of the stationary distribution.

*Proof.* Let Pr  $(X_0 = a) = 1$  and denote  $E(X_n | X_0 = a)$  by  $\mu_a$  (n) which we may write as  $\mu_n$  if there is no fear of confusion. Let X be the random variable having the stationary distribution  $\{\pi_i\}$  of the given Markov chain which is guaranteed by the given conditions. We have

$$\operatorname{Var}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{j} / \sqrt{n}\right) = E \left[\sum_{j=1}^{n} (X_{j} - \mu_{j}) / \sqrt{n}\right]^{2}$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n} n^{-1} E (X_{j} - \mu_{j})^{2} + 2n^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} E \left[ (X_{j} - \mu_{j}) (X_{i} - \mu_{i}) \right]. (2)$$

But

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} E[(X_{j} - \mu_{j})(X_{i} - \mu_{i})] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n-i} E[(X_{j+i} - \mu_{j+i})(X_{i} - \mu_{i})]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n-i} E[(X_{j+i} - \mu_{j}^{*})(X_{i} - \mu_{i})]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{x} (x - \mu_{i}) p_{ax}(i) \sum_{j=1}^{n-i} \sum_{y} (y - \mu_{j}^{*}) P_{xy}(j)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{x} B_{i}(x) A_{n-i}(x) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{x} C_{i}(x) A_{n-i}(x)$$

where 
$$\mu_{j}^{*} = \min_{x} E(X_{j} | X_{o} = x) = \mu_{b}(j)$$
, say,  $B_{m}(x) = x p_{ax}(m)$ ,  
 $C_{m}(x) = \mu_{m} p_{ax}(m)$  and  $A_{m}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{y} (y - \mu_{m}^{*}) p_{xy}(m)$ .

With E  $(X_{i} - \mu_{i})^{2} = \sigma_{i}^{2}$ , we get from (2)

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \operatorname{Var}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{j} / \sqrt{n}\right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{n} n^{-1} \sigma_{j}^{2} + 2 \lim_{n \to \infty} n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{x} B_{i}(x) A_{n-i}(x)$$

$$- 2 \lim_{n \to \infty} n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{x} C_{i}(x) A_{n-i}(x)$$
(3)

Since  $\lim_{n \to \infty} B_n(x) = x \pi_x$  and  $\lim_{n \to \infty} C_n(x) = \mu \pi_x$  and are both positive, therefore theorem 2 applies in (3) if we show that  $\{A_n(x)\}$  is bounded and that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{x} A_n(x)$ =  $\infty$ . To do this it is enough to prove that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} A_n(x)$  exists and is not zero. To this end we have, from Chung (1967, p66),

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} A_n(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{y} \left\{ p_{xy}(j) p_{by}(j) \right\} (y-\mu)$$
$$= \sum_{y} \left\{ \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[ p_{xy}(j) - p_{by}(j) \right] \right\} (y-\mu)$$
$$= \sum_{y} (\mu_{by} - \mu_{xy}) (y-\mu)_{\pi y}$$

which is bounded and non-zero for positive recurrent chain. Therefore from (3) we obtain, using the validity of the interchange of two summations and of limit and summation.

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \operatorname{Var} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{j} / \sqrt{n} \right) = \sigma^{2} + 2 \sum_{x} x \pi_{x} \left\{ \lim_{n \to \infty} n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{i}(x) \right\}$$
$$- 2 \sum_{x} \mu \pi_{x} \left\{ \lim_{n \to \infty} n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{i}(x) \right\}$$
$$= \sigma^{2} - 2 \sum_{x} \sum_{y} (x - \mu) (y - \mu)_{\pi_{x} \pi_{y} \mu_{xy}}$$

and the proof is complete.

Let  $\{A_n(x)\}\$  and  $\{B_n(x)\}\$  be function sequences defined on a common domain D of the real line. Suppose that  $\{A_n(x)\}\$  and the double sequence  $\{A_n(x), B_m(x)\}\$  are Riemann integrable with respect to x. We are going to generalize lemma 2 in the following.

Theorem 4. Let  $\{A_n(x)\}$  be a uniformly bounded non-negative sequence and

suppose  $\{B_n(x)\}$  converges uniformly to a bounded function B(x) which does not change sign in D and  $\inf_{\substack{x \in D \\ x \in D}} \{|B(x)|\} = b > 0.$ 

 $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{n} \int_{D} B_{j}(x) A_{n-j}(x) dx}{\sum_{j=0}^{n} \int_{D} B(x) A_{j}(x) dx} = 1$ 

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\int A_n(x) dx}{\sum_{j=0}^n \int A_j(x) dx} = 0$$
(4)

*Proof.* Since  $B_n(x)$  converges uniformly to B(x), given any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists an N such that  $|B_n(x) - B(x)| < b \varepsilon$  for n > N and all x. Moreover B(x) is bounded, there exists an M such that  $|B_n(x) - B(x)| \le M$  for all n and x. We observe that (4) also implies

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\sum_{j=n-N}^{n} \int A_{n}(x) dx}{\sum_{j=0}^{n} \int A_{j}(x) dx} = 0.$$
 (5)

Hence

$$+\sum_{j=n-N}^{n}\int_{D}A_{j}(x)|B_{n-j}(x) - B(x)|dx|$$

$$< \frac{b\varepsilon}{b} = \frac{\sum\limits_{j=0}^{n-N-1} \int\limits_{D} A_{j}(x) dx}{\sum\limits_{j=0}^{n} \int\limits_{D} A_{j}(x) dx} + \frac{M}{b} \frac{\sum\limits_{j=n-N}^{n} \int\limits_{D} A_{j}(x) dx}{\sum\limits_{j=0}^{n} \int\limits_{D} A_{j}(x) dx}$$

Taking the limit on both the sides and using (5) we obtain

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left| \begin{array}{c} \sum \limits_{j=0}^{n} \int B_{j}(x) A_{n-j}(x) dx \\ - \frac{1}{\sum \limits_{j=0}^{n} \int B(x) A_{j}(x) dx} \\ - \frac{1}{\sum \limits_{j=0}^{n} \int B(x) A_{j}(x) dx} \end{array} \right| < \varepsilon$$

Since  $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$  is arbitrary, we conclude that the limit is zero which implies the theorem. It is to be noted that condition is satisfied if

ï

a) 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \int_{D} A_{j}(x) dx < \infty$$

or

2

b) 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \int_{D} A_{j}(x) dx = \infty$$
 and  $\int_{D} A_{n}(x) dx$  is bounded.

We now state a theorem similar to theorem 2 for the continuous case and omit the proof. The proof goes on the same lines as that of theorem 2 with the exception that summation will be replaced by integration.

Theorem 5. If the conditions of theorem 4 are satisfied, then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} n^{-a} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \int_{D} A_{n-j}(x) B_{j}(x) dx = \lim_{n \to \infty} n^{-a} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \int_{D} A_{j}(x) B(x) dx$$

Provided the limit on the right hand side exists for some a > 0.

It is obvious from this theorem that if  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_D A_n(x) B(x) dx$  exists, then Cauchy's first theorem applies and for a=1 we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} n^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \int_{D} A_{n-j}(x) B_{j}(x) dx = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{D} A_{n}(x) B(x) dx$$

136

Moreover if the sequence  $\{A_n(x)\}$  is uniformly convergent to A(x), then we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} n^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \int_{D} A_{n-j}(x) B_{j}(x) dx = \int_{D} A(x) B(x) dx.$$
(6)

Finally we mention that a result similar to theorem 3 can be proved in the continuous case also. But it involves the concept of continuous state space Markov processes and therefore will be taken somewhere else.

**Remark.** It is clear that all our results in this paper are either the generalization of lemma 1 and lemma 2 or depend on these generalizations. However it will be worth while to mention that one can obtain results (1) and (6) by generalizing Cesaro's theorem whose special case is Cauchy's first theorem.

#### References

Chung, K.L. (1967) Markov Chains With StationaryTransition Probabilities; Second Edition. Springer-Verlag.

Karlin, S. (1966) A First Course in Stochastic Processes. Academic Press.

بعض النتائج المحددة م. س. علي خان كلية العلوم بجامعة الملك سعود

$$n^{-a} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \sum_{x}^{x} A_{n-j}(x) B_{1}(x)$$
 تدرس في هذا البحث نهاية المقدارين (n^{-a} \sum\_{j=0}^{n} \int\_{x}^{x} A\_{n-j}(x) B\_{j}(x) dx

عندما تؤول قيمة <sup>n</sup> إلى ما لا نهاية، وتطبيقاتها في نظرية النهاية المركزية للوصول إلى هذه النتائج قمنا بتصميم بعض نظريات النهاية لنسب أعداد إلى نسب دوال. وأثبتنا تحت بعض الشروط أن هذه النهايات تساوي نهاية المقدارين (x) <sup>B</sup> بعض الشروط أن هذه النهايات تساوي نهاية المقدارين (x) <sup>g</sup> بعض الشروط أن هذه النهايات تساوي نهاية المقدارين (x) <sup>g</sup> بعض الشروط أن هذه النهايات تساوي نهاية المقدارين (x) <sup>g</sup> بعض الشروط أن هذه النهايات تساوي نهاية المقدارين (x) <sup>g</sup> بعض الشروط أن هذه النهايات تساوي نهاية المقدارين (x) <sup>g</sup> بعض الشروط أن هذه النهايات تساوي نهاية المقدارين (x) <sup>g</sup> بعض الشروط أن هذه النهايات تساوي نهاية. نهاية (x) <sup>g</sup>