

## **Fungi Associated with Sunflower Seeds in Egypt with Reference to Chemical Control Measures**

**Wafaa T. Shahda, A.M. Tarabeih, S.H. Michail and Amal A.H. Hemeda**

*Plant Pathology Department, Faculty of Agriculture,  
Alexandria University, Alexandria, Egypt*

**Abstract.** Seed health testing of 16 samples of sunflower yielded *A. alternata*, *C. lunata*, *D. halodes*, *D. specifera*, *F. proliferatum*, *F. semitectum*, *F. semitectum* var *mujus*, *M. phaseolina*, *M. verrucaria*, *Nigrospora* sp. and *R. solani*. *S. rolfsii* was isolated from plant debris mixed with sunflower seeds.

*R. solani* and *S. rolfsii* were the most destructive during the premergence phase.

Vitavax 300 and Vitavax 200 at the rate of 2.25 g. a.i./kg seeds was in general the most effective in controlling damping-off of sunflower.

### **Introduction**

Many investigators isolated certain fungi from sunflower seeds. *Alternaria alternata* (Fr.) keissler was reported on sunflower seeds [1-6]. *Curvularia lunata* (Waker) Boedijn was recorded on sunflower seeds in India. Fakir *et al.* [3] detected *Drechslera halodes* (Drechs.) Subran. & Jain, *Fusarium moniliform* (Sheldon), *F. semitectum* Berk. & Rav., *F. solani* (Mart.) App. & Wr. and *Macrophomina phaseolina* Tassi (Goil) in sunflower seed samples. *M. phaseolina* was isolated from sunflower seeds in Egypt [7,8].

Several investigators studied the pathogenic capabilities of sunflower seed borne fungi on attacking the seedlings and plants. *Sclerotium rolfsii* Sacc. was reported to cause root rot and wilt in sunflower plants [9-11]. *M. phaseolina* was recorded to cause stem rot of sunflower plants [12].

In chemical control studies, Vitavax was reported to be effective against all isolates of *A. alternata* obtained from nine vegetables in Romania [13]. Benlate T was recorded to be effective against *M. phaseolina* isolated from sunflower [14].

The objective of this study were to:

- 1 Identify fungi associated with sunflower seed lots from different locations in Egypt.
- 2 Test their pathogenicity to sunflower seedlings.
- 3 Conduct preliminary experiments on their chemical control.

### Materials and Methods

Sixteen seed samples of sunflower from Experimental stations of Alexandria University, Nobaria, and Menia university (13 samples of cv. Giza and 3 of cv. Miak) were used for health testing using the blotter and the agar plate methods [15]. In the blotter method, seeds were plated on three moistened blotters placed in plastic culture dishes (9cm.) at the rate of 10 seeds/dish. In the agar plate method, seeds were soaked for 5 min in 1% sodium hypochlorite solution then transferred to potato dextrose agar (PDA) plates (10 seeds/plate). Plates were incubated at 20°C under alternating cycles of 12 hr of near ultra violet (NUV) irradiation and 12 hr darkness for 7 days.

Isolation were carried out from sunflower seed samples and plant debris mixed with the seed. One hundred small pieces of the plant debris were surface sterilized for 3 min in 1% sodium hypochlorite, plated on PDA at the rate of five pieces/plate and incubated under the conditions indicated earlier.

Pathogenicity tests of some of the isolated fungi were carried out in the greenhouse using plastic bags (10 cm diam.). Bags were filled with autoclaved aerated clay soil (1 kg soil each) mixed with two table spoon full (about 50g) of fungal inocula (autoclaved barley grains mixed with fungal growth). Four replicates were used./treatment. Data were recorded up to 30 days.

The effect of seven commercial fungicides (Benlate, Captan 50, Captan 75, Diathane M-45, TBZ, Vitavax 200, and Vitavax 300) on sunflower pathogenic fungi was studied in vitro and in vivo as seed treatment. In vitro method, different concentration of each fungicide were used. The minimal inhibitory concentration of each compound for each fungus was determined after the fungal growth has nearly filled the check treatment. In seed dressing method, Benlate, Captan 75, TBZ, Vitavax 200 and Vitavax 300 were used. Two rates, 2.25 and 3.75 g.a.i./kg seed were used for each fungicide. Seeds were mixed with the tested fungicides before sowing. Data were recorded weekly for one month after sowing.

### Results and Discussion

Seed health testing of sunflower revealed the presence of *Alternaria alternata*, *Curvularia lunata*, *Drechslera halodes*, *Fusarium proliferatum*, *F. semitectum*, *Macrophomina phaseolina*, *Myrothecium verrucaria*, *R. solani* kuhn and *Stemphylium*

*botryosum*. Isolations from plant debris mixed with sunflower seeds yielded a number of the forementioned sunflower seed borne fungi beside *Sclerotium rolfsii*. These fungi were isolated by the blotter and agar plate methods. *A. alternata*, *F. proliferatum* and *M. phaseolina* were the most prevalent fungi. In pathogenicity tests, *R. Solani* and *S. rolfsii* were the most destructive damping-off pathogens during May, June, and July 1984 sowings (Table 1). *M. phaseolina* caused moderated pre-emergence losses which ranged from 22.5-35.0%, and more post-emergence losses during June 1984 (Table 1). The latter results agree with many investigators [16-18].

**Table 1.** Pre-and post-emergence damping-off and survivors of sunflower seedlings raised in soil artificially inoculated with certain seed-borne fungi.

Fungus	Sowing date	% of infection		
		pre-emergence	post-emergence	survivors
<i>F. proliferatum</i>	May	20	10	70
<i>M. phaseolina</i>	1984	35	7.5	57.5
<i>R. solani</i>	(av. 22°C)	92.5	0.0	7.5
<i>S. rolfsii</i> **		97.5	0.0	2.5
Check		20	0.0	80
<i>F. proliferatum</i>	June	10	17.5	72.5
<i>M. phaseolina</i>	1984	22.5	30.0	47.5
<i>R. solani</i>	(23.5°C)	55	10	35
<i>S. rolfsii</i> **		77.5	5	17.5
Check		20	0.0	80
<i>F. proliferatum</i>	July	17.5	2.5	80
<i>M. phaseolina</i>	1984	32.5	7.5	60
<i>R. solani</i>	(av. 25°C)	80.0	2.5	17.5
<i>S. rolfsii</i> **		90	0.0	10
Check		20	0.0	80

% Calculated from mean of 10 seeds/pot, and four replicates/treatment.

\*\* Isolated from plant debris mixed with sunflower seed.

Check : not infected soil.

Infection of sunflower seedlings with these fungi may affect the yield of the crop growing under Egyptian conditions where sowing date in Egypt is May, June, and July.

The in vitro effect of certain fungicides viz. Benlate, Captan 75, thiabendazole, Vitavax 200 and Vitavax 300 on the mycelial growth of the seedborne fungi of sunflower was studied. Vitavax 200 was the most effective as the lowest inhibitory concentration was 0.05 mg a.i./ml medium for *M. phaseolina*, *R. solani* and *S. rolfsii*. While Benlate was the most effective one for *F. proliferatum* (0.06 mg a.i./ml medium).

**Table 2.** Effect of seed dressing with certain fungicides on the incidence of damping-off of sunflower seedlings.

	Check	Benlate 50		Captan 75		TBZ		Vitavax 200		Vitavax 300		Mean
		C <sub>1</sub>	C <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>1</sub>	C <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>1</sub>	C <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>1</sub>	C <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>1</sub>	C <sub>2</sub>	
<i>F. proliferatum</i>	43.2	85.6	85.0	54	88.1	72.4	80.9	79.1	82.6	78.9	95.7	76.9 <sup>ab</sup>
<i>M. phaseolina</i>	60.3	81.2	90.6	59.6	98.3	65.7	99.2	63.6	97.3	100	99.9	83.2 <sup>a</sup>
<i>R. solani</i>	3.5	75.6	38.6	50	28	81.7	69.5	81.0	15.1	72.8	59.6	52.3 <sup>a</sup>
<i>S. rolfsii</i>	21.8	50	25.3	62.9	83.4	65.8	53.4	99.2	81.0	92.0	85.8	65.5 <sup>bc</sup>
Mean of concentrations	32.2 <sup>d</sup>	73.1 <sup>ab</sup>	59.9 <sup>c</sup>	56.6 <sup>c</sup>	74.5 <sup>ab</sup>	71.4 <sup>abc</sup>	75.8 <sup>ab</sup>	80.7 <sup>ab</sup>	69.0 <sup>bc</sup>	85.9 <sup>a</sup>	85.3 <sup>ab</sup>	
Mean of fungicides	32.2 <sup>c</sup>	66.5 <sup>b</sup>		65.6 <sup>b</sup>		73.6 <sup>ab</sup>		74.9 <sup>ab</sup>		85.6 <sup>a</sup>		

C<sub>1</sub> = 2.25 g.a.i./kg seeds, C<sub>2</sub> = 3.75 g.a.i./kg seeds.

each number is the mean of 4 replicates (treatments), each replicate (pot) contains 10 seeds.

Dry seed treatment of sunflower showed that in general both vitavax 300 and vitavax 200 were superior in controlling damping-off of seed borne pathogens, 2.25 g.a.i./kg rate was better for both fungicides (Table 2). The effectiveness of both fungicides is due to their effect on *M. phaseolina*, *S. rolfsii*, and *R. solani*. Such results substantiate the findings of Sharmagan and Gavindaswamy [19] who found that vitavax was efficient against *M. phaseolina* and the finding of Kanyeas and Davatzi [20] and Sharma and Sohi [21] who found that vitavax was effective against *R. solani* when used as a seed dressing.

### References

- [ 1 ] Trubitsyn, N.V. and Kopeikovskij, V.M. "The Influence of gaseous Conditions on Sunflower Seed Microflora." *Biol. Abs.*, 44 (1963), 1880. (Rev. Appl. Mycol. 43: 1108).
- [ 2 ] Manoharachary, C., Ramarao, P., Verk ateshwarlu, K. and Raghuvveerao, P. "Seed Mycoflora of some Oil Seed, Vegetable and Medicinal Plants." *New Botanist*, 2 (1975), 132-134.
- [ 3 ] Fakir, G.A., Rao, M.H. and Thirumalachar, M.J. "Seed Transmition of *Macrophomina phaseolina* in Sunflower." *Plant Dis. Rep.*, 60 (1976), 736-737.
- [ 4 ] Tikhonov, O.I. and Taraserko, T.F. "Mycoflora of Freshly-collected Sunflower Seeds in Relation to Agroclimate Conditions of Cultivation." *Byul. NIJ po Maslich Kul'turam*, 3 (1976), 63-66.
- [ 5 ] Singh, D.; Mathur, S.B. and Neergaard, P. "Histology of Sunflower Seeds Infected by *Alternaria tenuis*." *Seed Sci. Technol.*, 5 (1977), 579-586.
- [ 6 ] Ungaro, M.R.G. and Azevedo, J.L. "Control of *Alternaria alternata* in vitro with Zineb, Captan and Benomyl." *Fitopatologia Brasileira*, 9 (1984), 89-100. (Rev. Appl. Mycol. 64: 26).
- [ 7 ] Abo-El-Dahab, M.K., Tarabeih, A.M. and Mohamed, S.E. "Studies on Sunflower Diseases in Egypt. 1-Studies on Charcoal Rot and its Control." *Egypt. J. Phytopathol.*, 12 (1980), 113-122.
- [ 8 ] Gamal El-Din, I.F., Ahmed, K.G.M., Eisa Nawal A. and Shaarawi, M.A. "Isolation of *Macrophomina phaseolina* from Sunflower Seeds and Studies on Isolated Fungi Causing Damping-off, Root Rot and Charcoal-rot of Sunflower Plant (*Helianthus annuus* L.) in ARE." *Moshthohor Ann. Agric. Sci.*, 13 (1980), 63-74.
- [ 9 ] Sackston, W.E. "Diseases of Sunflower in Uruguay." *Plant Dis. Rep.*, 41 (1957), 885-889. (Rev. Appl. Mycol. 37: 298).
- [10] Middleton, K.J. "Sunflower Diseases in South Queensland." *Qd agric. J.* 97 (1971), 597-600. (Rev. Plant Pathol. 51: 2749).
- [11] Kolte, S.J. and Mukhopadhyay, A.N. "Occurrence of some New Sunflower Diseases in India." *PANS*, 19 (1973), 392-396.
- [12] Bruniard, J.M. and Luduena, P.M. "Stem Rot, Growth and Yield of Sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.)." *IDIA* No. 433/436 (1985), 82-87. (Rev. Plant Pathol. 65: 674).
- [13] Grisan, A. "Aspects of the Spread, Biology and Control of *Alternaria* Species Parasitic on Vegetables in the Cluji-Napocodistrict." *Contributii Botanice*, (1976), 11-22. (Rev. Plant Pathol. 56: 5233).

- [14] Raut, J.G. and Bhombe, B.B. "Efficacy of some Fungicides and Hot Water in the Control of Seeborne Infection of *M. phaseolina* in Sunflower." *Indian Phytopath.*, 36 (1986), 294-296. (Rev. Plant Pathol. 65: 626).
- [15] International Seed Testing Association. "International Rules for Seed Testing." *Proc. Internat. testing Assoc.*, : 31 (1966), 1-52.
- [16] Bekesi, P., Voros, J. and Calvert, O.H. "*Macrophomina phaseoli* in Hungary Damaging Sunflower." *Plant Dis. Rep.*, 54 (1970), 286-287.
- [17] Orellana, R.G. "Sources of Resistance to a Soil-borne Fungal Disease Complex of Sunflower." *Plant Dis. Rep.*, 57 (1973), 318-320.
- [18] Thirumalachar, M.J., Neergaard, P. and Fakir, G.A. "Methods of Pathogenicity Tests of Seed-borne *Macrophomina phaseolina* Isolated from Different Hosts." *Phytopathol.*, Z., 88 (1977), 234-437.
- [19] Shanmugam, N. And Gavindaswamy, C.V. "Control of *Macrophomina* Root Rot of Groundnut." *Madras Agric. J.*, 60 (1973), 500-503. (Rev. Plant Pathol. 54: 1964).
- [20] Kouyeas, H. and Davatzi, H.K. "Evaluation of Fungicides for Cotton Seed Treatments Against Soilborne Fungi." *Annales de l'Institut Phytopathologique. Benaki*, 12 (1981), 169-178. (Rev. Plant Pathol. 61: 4985).
- [21] Sharma, S.R. and Sohi, H.S. "Effect of Different Fungicides Against *Rhizoctonia* Root Rot of French Bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*)." *Indian J. Mycol. and Plant Pathol.*, 11 (1982), 216-220. (Rev. Plant Pathol. 62: 1703).

## الفطريات المصاحبة لبذور عباد الشمس ومقاومتها كيميائياً في مصر

وفاء طاهر شهده، عبد الحميد طرابيه، سمير ميخائيل و أمال حميدة  
قسم أمراض النبات، كلية الزراعة، جامعة الإسكندرية، جمهورية مصر العربية

ملخص البحث. أجري اختبار سلامة ست عشرة عينة من بذور عباد الشمس بزراعتها على ورق الترشيح والأجار لمدة ٧ أيام، ودلت النتائج على وجود الفطريات: الترناريا الترناتا *Alternaria alternata* و كيرفيولاريا ليوناتا *Curvularia lunata* ودرشلرا هالودس *Drechslera halodes* ودرشلرا سبسفيرا *D. specifera* وفيوزاريوم بروليفراتم *Fusarium proliferatum* وفيوزاريزم سميتكتم *F. semitictum* وفيوزاريوم سميتكتم ماجز *F. semitic-tum var majus* وماكروفومينا فاسولينيا *Macrophomina phaseolina* وميروثيسيوم فيروكاريا *Myrothecium ver-rucaria* ونيجروسبورا *Nigrospora sp.* ورايزوكتونيا سولاني *Rhizoctonia solani* وسكلوروشيم رولفزيي *Sclerotium rolfsii* وقد عزل الفطران الأخيران من بقايا النباتات المختلفة بالبذور. وأوضحت تجارب العدوى على أن الفطرين *S. rolfsii* و *R. solani* كانا أكثر الفطريات إصابة للبادرات في مرحلة ما قبل الظهور. وفي تجارب المقاومة كان المبيدان فيتافاكس ٣٠٠ وفيتافاكس ٢٠٠ أكثر المبيدات فاعلية في مقاومة مرض الذبول الطري لبادرات عباد الشمس بالمعدل ٢٥, ٢ جرام مادة فعالة لكل كجم بذرة.

