J.King Saud Univ., Vol. 15, Agric. Sci. (2), pp. 133-140, Riyadh (1423/2003)

Plant Production

Evaluation of 24 Local Seed Sources of Buffelgrass for Persistence and Forage Yield in the Riyadh Area

Abdullah A. Al-Doss and Abdulaziz M. Assaeed

Plant Production Department, Collage of Agriculture King Saud University, P. O. Box 2460, Riyadh 11541, Saudi Arabia

(Received 11/11/1421; accepted for publication 10/10/1422)

Abstract. Naturalized populations of buffelgrass (*Cenchrus ciliaris* L.) grow wild in some range areas and around farms in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. However, no attempt was made to study forage yield potential of these populations for use in range improvement or as a forage crop with low water requirement. This study was conducted to evaluate 24 seed sources collected from different places in the Riyadh area for forage yield, seasonal yield variation and persistence. Thirty plants from each seed source were planted per plot in a randomized complete block design with four replications. Four seasonal cuts were made every year for three years. Results indicated significant differences among populations in total forage yield and seasonal yield and variation among populations were obtained in the summer while the lowest yield and variation in seedling survival and establishment during the first year. Persistence varied among populations ranging from 7% to 92%.

Introduction

Buffelgrass (*Cenchrus ciliaris* L.) is an obligate apomictic drought-tolerant perennial warm season grass [1, p.382]. The plant is native to Africa and is adapted to arid and semi-arid areas with mild winter. It is found wild or cultivated in many regions in Africa, Asia, Australia and North America [2, p.64,3]. It is vigorous and productive grass when adequate moisture is available, and has the ability to survive long periods of drought and recover rapidly following rainfall [3]. Ibarra [4] reported that buffelgrass produce 4 to 10 times more forage than native western grasses on sandy, low-fertile and relatively saline soils. Jones [5 p.419] stated that bufflegrass is a high quality forage that gives good animal gains. However, nutritive value of buffelgrass is typical of warm-season grasses, having high fiber and low crude protien (CP) content. Sanderson *et al.* [6] reported a value of about 66% of neutral detergent fiber and a CP of 5.6-7%. Buffelgrass has been

Abdullah A.Al-Doss and Abdulaziz M. Assaeed

seeded successfully in southwestern United States and Mexico to revegetate degraded rangelands and to reclaim farmed and disturbed soils [3].

Buffelgrass has been naturalized in most regions in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. It is found wild in some rangelands or around farms [7]. This study was conducted to evaluate 24 local seed sources of buffelgrass collected from different areas around the Riyadh area in the fall of 1995 (Table 1). The objectives were to determine the potential of these populations for seasonal forage yield, persistence and to select superior seed sources for use as pasture crop or for use in reclamation of abandoned farmland.

Table 1. Seed sources of local buffelgrass collected from different areas around the Riyadh area in the fall of 1995

Pop. No.	Collection site	Status	Remarks
1-6	Agriculture Research Station in Deirab	Weed	Selected from different stands
7	Farm south of Riyadh	Weed	Grow near center pivot
8-12	King Saud University campus	Wild	Different locations
13-16	Wadi Hanifah	Wild	Different locations
17	Farm south of Riyadh near Alhayer	Weed	In date palm field
18, 19	Near Al-Deriyah town	Wild	Different locations
20, 21	Damam road northeast of Riyadh	Wild	Different locations
22-24	AlQaseem road north of Riyadh	Wild	Different locations

Materials and Methods

This study was conducted at King Saud University Agricultural Research Station in Deirab (24 42° N, 44 46° E) near Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. The site of the experiment was a sandy soil (clay 8.5%) that contained moderate level of soluble salts (EC=3.5 dsm⁻¹), moderate level of CaCO₃ (25%), low organic matter (0.5%), and a pH value of 7.3.

The experiment was arranged as randomized complete block design with four replications and 24 seed sources of local buffelgrass. Ten seeds of each seed source were planted in the field on 12 February 1996 in hills 30-cm apart along three rows within a plot and 1-m between plots. Seedlings were thinned to single seedling per hill on 15 March 1996. Each plot consisted of three rows with 30 plants per plot.

Plants were irrigated once a week during summer and every two weeks during fall and spring using sprinkler irrigation system. No irrigation was applied during winter. A total of 480 mm of water was applied yearly at rate of 20 mm of water per application. Plots were fertilized with 70 kg N ha⁻¹ and 78 kg P_2O_5 ha⁻¹ in March of each year. Weather data during the experiment are presented in table (2).

Seedling growth was harvested on June 15, 1996. Three seasonal yields were made during the first year and four seasonal yields were made every year for the next two years as follows: winter yield March 15, spring yield June 15, summer yield Sept. 15 and fall yield Dec. 15. Plant persistence was monitored during the first year and at the end of each following year.

Evaluation of 24 Local Seed Sources.....

Alabia	1					
Month	Avera	Average temperature (° C)		Precipitation (mm)		
	1996	1997	1998	1996	1997	1998
January	14.44	14.36	12.66	132.38	18.80	1.02
February	17.33	15.16	13.2	22.35	0	0
March	20.42	19.37	20.27	174.24	39.88	56.55
April	25.06	24.10	26.36	130.10	21.85	53.32
May	30.87	31.23	32.15	14.73	1.27	7.87
June	33.87	34.21	34.27	0	0	0
July	35.26	34.74	36.39	0	0	0
August	35.06	33.65	35.04	0	0	0
September	30.31	31.65	33.68	0	9.65	0.51
October	25.06	26.81	25.71	0	47.50	1.52
November	18.34	19.84	20.05	7.11	119.64	0
December	15.82	16.14	16.88	0	0	0

Table 2. Monthly average temperature and precipitation during 1996, '97 and '98 in the Agricultural Research and Experimental Station in Deirab, near Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

The experiment was analyzed as a randomized complete block design with 4 replications as blocks. A combined ANOVA indicated significant year effect but no year by entry interaction. Analysis of variance was made for seasonal forage yield, total annual yield and plant survival using SAS software [8].

Results and Discussion

Forage yield data (Table 3) revealed significant variation among the three years. As expected, forage yield obtained during the first year (1.06 ton ha^{-1}) was very low compared to yields obtained during the second and third years (21.373 and 21.217 ton ha^{-1}). The first year is considered an establishment year and only three cuts were made that compared with four cuts made during the second and third years. Theunissen [9] stated that *C. ciliaris* has the potential of producing 30 ton ha^{-1} under irrigation. Variation among seasonal yield was significant in which fall yield was the highest during 1996 (0.482 ton ha^{-1}) mainly due to the establishing of plants cut after cut through the first year. Summer yield was the highest during 1997 and 1998 (10.32 and 9.29 ton ha^{-1}). Forage yield during winter was the lowest during the two years (1.847 and 0.980 ton ha^{-1} for 1997 and 1998 respectively). There were significant variations in winter and spring yields between 1997 and 1998 due in part to variation in weather conditions, mainly precipitation. Lower than average winter and warmer spring in 1998 (Table 2) has affected winter forage yield negatively and spring forage yield positively. There was no significant difference in total annual yield between 1997 and 1998 (Table 3).

Table 3. Mean for total and seasonal forage yield (ton/ha) over the three years period (1996, '97 and '98) for local buffelgrass populations

Year	Winter	Spring	Summer	Fall	Total
1996		0.257A*	0.321B	0.482C	1.06
1997	1.847C	4.901B	10.32A	4.305B	21.373
1998	0.980D	7.836B	9.286A	3.115C	21.217
LSD (0.05)	0.370	1.143	1.262	0.549	3.251

Abdullah A.Al-Doss and Abdulaziz M. Assaeed

* Means within raw followed with the same letters are not significantly different.

Significant differences were obtained among the 24 local buffelgrass populations in total and seasonal forage yield (Table 4). Average annual yield ranged between 26.16 ton ha⁻¹ for population 1 and 2.94 ton ha⁻¹ for population 20. The highest yielding populations were 1, 7, 10 and 13 that yielded 26.16, 23.21, 23.19 and 23.03 ton ha⁻¹ respectively. Population 7 produced an average of 3.37 ton ha⁻¹ during winter that was significantly higher than any other population. The highest yielding populations during spring were 1, 10, 13 and 7 that yielded 8.00, 7.10, 7.02 and 6.97 ton ha⁻¹ respectively. The top yielding populations during summer were 1, 10, 2, and 13 that yielded 11.83, 10.06, 10.03 and 9.99 ton ha⁻¹ respectively. Fall forage yield was generally lower than that in spring and summer and the top yielding populations were 7, 13, 10 and 1 that yielded 4.47, 4.45, 4.32 and 4.31 ton/ha respectively.

Table 4. Means for annual and seasonal forage yield (ton/ha) for 24 local buffelgrass populations

Pop no.	Total	Winter	Spring	Summer	Fall
1	26.16	2.01	8.00	11.83	4.31
2	21.42	1.77	6.79	10.03	2.82
3	9.42	0.92	2.81	4.33	1.36
4	7.64	0.66	2.44	3.23	1.31
5	7.37	0.74	1.79	3.67	1.16
6	14.57	1.68	5.21	5.90	1.77
7	23.21	3.37	6.97	8.39	4.47
8	7.56	0.66	2.62	2.95	1.33
9	2.60	0.18	0.88	0.76	0.78
10	23.19	1.72	7.10	10.06	4.32
11	20.13	1.60	6.21	8.67	3.64
12	7.65	0.62	1.90	4.12	1.01
13	23.03	1.56	7.02	9.99	4.45
14	7.19	0.53	1.44	3.45	1.76
15	19.62	1.66	5.06	9.48	3.43
16	7.28	0.71	1.80	3.01	1.75
17	20.63	1.82	5.69	9.39	3.73
18	18.90	2.02	5.21	7.94	3.73
19	14.53	1.28	4.42	7.22	1.60
20	2.94	0.18	1.17	1.07	0.51
21	17.06	1.62	4.81	7.38	3.26
22	20.84	2.30	5.22	9.64	3.68
23	15.96	2.13	3.90	7.27	2.66
24	21.67	2.18	5.51	9.58	4.39
LSD (0.05)	8.37	1.06	2.53	3.97	2.15

Significant variations among the 24 local buffelgrass populations were observed in seedling establishment. At seedling harvest, only 50% of the populations maintained over 80% establishment while some populations had very low establishment such as population 20 and 16 (Table 5). Population 1 and 7 had 100% establishment while populations 2, 10, 11, 13, 22, 23 and 24 had over 90% establishment. A reduction in stand was also observed at the first regrowth harvest (summer yield, 1996). Population seven was the only entry that maintained over 90% establishment while population one

Evaluation of 24 Local Seed Sources.....

and 23 had over 80% establishment. Population 20 had the lowest number of established plants (7%) and 50% of the populations had less than 50% establishment (Table 5).

Plant mortality after the first regrowth harvest was very low (Table 5). Average plant persistence for the 24 local buffelgrass populations were 50, 47 and 46% measured at the end of year 1996, 1997 and 1998 respectively. Population seven maintained 92% stand at the end of year three while population one had 82% stand at the end of the study.

	establishment						
	(15/6/1996)	First regrowth (15/9/1996)	Year one (15/12/96)	Year two (15/12/97)	Year three (15/12/98)		
1	100	87	87	82	82		
2	90	72	72	66	64		
3	67	38	38	35	35		
4	56	27	27	27	25		
5	60	31	31	30	30		
6	81	54	54	52	52		
7	100	93	93	92	92		
8	50	21	21	19	19		
9	40	15	11	11	11		
10	95	75	73	70	70		
11	97	77	76	72	72		
12	52	22	21	20	20		
13	98	88	85	80	75		
14	48	25	19	19	18		
15	84	55	54	52	51		
16	39	15	10	10	10		
17	77	48	48	46	45		
18	63	34	34	32	32		
19	69	40	37	35	33		
20	36	7	7	7	7		
21	84	58	58	52	52		
22	97	80	72	66	66		
23	93	82	82	82	80		
24	92	80	78	73	72		
Mean	74	51	49	47	46		
LSD (0.05)	17	22	22	21	20		

 Table 5. Percentage of seedling establishment and plant survival at the end of year one, year two, and year three for 24 local buffelgrass population

Plant persistence

Pop. no.

Seedling

Results of this study indicate that most of the variation in yield could be attributed to variation in seedling establishment and survival during the first year. Frasier *et al.* [10,11] indicated that wet-dry cycle effect seedling establishment of warm-season grasses. In this study plants were irrigated weekly with 20 mm of water during establishment. Nevertheless, there was significant variation in seedling establishment. The other factor that may have affected total forage yield was variation in cool season growth (winter and spring). Hussey *et al.* [12] reported that selection for winter survival has increased forage yield significantly through its effect on stand longevity.

Abdullah A.Al-Doss and Abdulaziz M. Assaeed

Elite populations in this collection such as population one and seven should be propagated and distributed to farmers as a low input forage crop or for use as revegetation crop for retired farmland. In southern Arizona, Cox and Madrigal [13] found buffelgrass to be the best range grass for revegetation of retired farmland. Buffelgrass has also been used successfully for reseeding of degraded rangeland [2 p.64].

In conclusion, this study has demonstrated the potential of buffelgrass as reseeding species under the Arabian environment. Significant variations exist among the collected local buffelgrass in seedling establishment, plant productivity, and plant persistence. More studies are required to determine the management practices needed for optimum productivity and persistence.

References

- [1] Fehr, W.R. *Principle of Cultivar Development*: vol. 1 *Theory and Technique*. New York: McGrw-Hill, Inc. 1991.
- [2] Jordon, G.L. Range Seeding and Brush Management on Arizona Rangeland. Cooperative Extension Service, T81121. Tucson, AZ: University of Arizona Press, 1980.
- [3] Voight, P.W. and Sharp, W.C. "Grasses of the Plains and Southwest". pp. 395-408. In: Barnes, R.F., Miller, D.A. and Nelson, C.J. (Eds.) *Forages: An Introduction to Grassland Agriculture*, vol. 1. Ames, Iowa, USA: Iowa State Univ. Press, 1994.
- [4] Ibarra, F.F. "Soil Physico-chemical Changes Following Buffelgrass Establishment in Mexico". Proc. 5th Int. Rangeland Cong. (1994), 252-253.
- [5] Jones, C.A. C₄ Grasses and Cereals: Growth, Development and Stress Response. N.Y.: John Wiley and Sons, 1985.
- [6] Sanderson, M.A., Voight, P. W. and Jones, R. M. "Yield and Quality of Warm-season Grasses in Central Texas". J. Range Manage., 52 (1999), 145-150.
 - [7] Chaudhary, S.A. Grasses of Saudi Arabia. Riyadh: Ministry of Agriculture and Water, Saudi Arabia, 1989.
- [8] SAS Inst. SAS User Guide. Cary, NC: SAS Institute, 1988.
- [9] Theunissen, J.D. "Biomass Production of Different Ecotypes of Three Grass Species of the Semi-arid Grasslands of Africa". J. Arid Environ., 29(1995), 439-445.
- [10] Frasier, G.W., Woolhiser, D.A. and Cox, J.R. "Emergence and Seedling Survival of Two Warm-season Grasses as Influenced by the Timing of Precipitation". J. Range Manage., 37 (1984), 7-11.
- [11] Frasier, G.W., Cox, J.R. and Woolhiser, D.A. "Wet-dry Cycle Effects on Warm-season Grass Seedling Establishment". J. Range Manage., 40 (1987), 2-6.
 - [12] Hussey, M. A. and Bashaw, E. C. "Performance of Buffelgrass Germplasm with Improved Winter Survival". Agron. J., 88 (1996), 944-946.
- [13] Cox, J.R. and Madrigal, R.M. "Establishing Perennial Grasses on Abandoned Farmland in Southern Arizona". Appl. Agric. Res., 3 (1988), 36-43.

Evaluation of 24 Local Seed Sources.....

تقويم ٢٤ مصدر للبذور المحلية من عشبة البفل للاسترساء وإنتاج العلف في منطقة الرياض

عبد الله عبد العزيز الدوس و عبد العزيز محمد السعيد قسم الإنتاج النباتي، كلية الزراعة، جامعة الملك سعود. ص. ب ٢٤٦٠ الرياض الرمز البريدي ٢٥٤١١، المملكة العربية السعودية

(قدم للنشر في ١١/١١/ ١٤٢١؛ وقبل للنشر في ١٠/١٠/ ١٤٢٢هـ)

ملخص المحث. تنمو السلالات المستوطنة من عشبه البفل برياً في بعض المناطق الرعوية أو حول المزارع في المملكة العربية السعودية. ولا توجد دراسات على هذه السلالات لتقدير قدرتما الإنتاجية لاستغلالها في تنمية المراعي أو كمحصول علف ذي احتياجات مائية منخفضة. أجريت هذه الدراسة لتقويم ٢٤ مصدرا للبذور جمعت من مناطق مختلفة في منطقة الرياض لمحصول العلف الكلي و التباين الموسمي في النمو و نسبة البقاء. وزع ٣٠ نباتاً من كل مصدر بذري في ثلاثة سطور باستخدام تصميم القطاعات العشوائية الكاملة في أربع محررات. حشت النباتات أربع حشات موسمية في كل سنة لمدة ثلاث سنوات وأظهرت النتائج وجود اختلافات معنوية بين مصادر البذور المختلفة في محصول العلف الكلي و التباين الموسمي في النمو و نسبة البقاء. مكررات. حشت النباتات أربع حشات موسمية في كل سنة لمدة ثلاث سنوات وأظهرت النتائج وجود اختلافات معنوية بين مصادر البذور المختلفة في محصول العلف الناتج والتوزيع الموسمي للمحصول و كان أعلى محصول موسمي و أعلى معدل للتباين بين السلالات في فصل الصيف بينما كان أقل محصول وكان أعلى المحلول موسمي و أعلى معدل اللباين بين السلالات في فصل العلف الكان و التوزيع الموسمي المحصول و كان أعلى المحلول موسمي و أعلى معدل البناين بين السلالات في فصل الصيف بينما كان أقل محصول وألى تعلى المللات في فصل الصيف بينما كان أقل محصول وألى تباين بين السلالات في فصل الحلف الناتج والتوزيع الموسمي المحسول و كان أعلى السلالات في فصل المين عربينا معان المالالات في فصل الصيف بينما كان أقل محصول وألى تباين بين السلالات في فصل اللبور في إنتاج العلف إلى تباين بين السلالات في قدرةا على التأسيس و البقاء حيث تراوحت نسبة البقاء عند نماية التحربة من ٢-٣٩