J.King Saud Univ., Vol. 15, Agric. Sci. (2), pp. 141-151, Riyadh (1423/2003)

# Effect of Cadmium Stress in the Presence and Absence of Gibberellic Acid on Mineral Nutrition of *Cowpea (Vigna unguiculata L.)* During Ontogenesis

Muna M. Al-Rumaih\*, S.S. Rushdy\* and A.S. Warsy\*\*

\*Department of Botany and Microbiology, \*\*Department of Biochemistry, College of Science, King Saud University, P.O. Box 22452, Riyadh 11495, Saudi Arabia

(Received 31/3/1422; accepted for publication 10/10/1422)

**Abstract.** The effect of cadmium (Cd) stress on mineral nutrition of cowpea, *Vigna unguiculata* L, plants in the presence or absence of gibberellic acid (GA<sub>3</sub>) was studied using Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy. Application of different concentrations (0, 20, 40, 80, 160 ppm) of cadmium chloride (CdCl<sub>2</sub>) resulted in an increased accumulation of Cd in shoots and roots, and a large proportion of the absorbed Cd was retained in roots. Cadmium chloride treatment decreased the Ca, K, Fe and Mn contents of *Vigna unguiculata* shoots and roots progressively in a dose dependent manner. However, the level of Mg increased in the roots and decreased in the shoots. Changes in Cd and mineral nutrient levels were related to the plant growth stage, being maximum when Cd was applied at the early vegetative stage, and the intensity of these changes was less significant when the heavy metal was applied at a later stage.

When the Cd-treated plants were sprayed with GA<sub>3</sub>, the hormone was partially effective in decreasing the Cd concentration of both roots and shoots. Furthermore, GA<sub>3</sub> treatment increased the mineral nutrient levels of *Vigna unguiculata* roots and shoots. This effect was most significant at 20 and 40 ppm CdCl<sub>2</sub> concentrations and during the intermediate growth stage followed by the early stage, and roots were less affected than shoots.

Keywords: Cadmium, mineral nutrient, toxicity, gibberellic acid, Vigna unguiculata.

## Introduction

During the last few decades the toxicity of heavy metals to plants has drawn the attention of many environmental scientists. Increased investigation of environmental Cd concentration has been undertaken, since many reports have shown that excessive accumulation of this heavy metal adversely affects human health [1].

Soil pollution with heavy metals, including Cd, was recorded in some industrial areas in Saudi Arabia by many investigators, among them Hashem [2] for Jubail Industrial City and Arif and Hashem [3] for Jizan City. Cadmium ions enter the soil with phosphate fertilizers, sewage sludge and air pollutants, and are taken up in varying degrees by plants [4]. Its uptake and accumulation depends on plant species and plant organs involved [5]. Inspite of the mobility of heavy metals in plants, the root system accumulates them to a significantly higher extent than do the overground organs [6]. Furthermore, the Cd level in plant tissues depends on the concentration of available Cd in the growth medium [7]. Greger and Lindberg [8] reported 4 - 10 times increase in Cd content of sugar beet, *Beta vulgaris* L., roots when Cd concentration was raised from 5 to 50 uM. They also reported that the Cd content in shoots was only 10-20% of that in roots.

One of the most important factors of heavy metals which influences plant metabolism are their relationships with other mineral nutrients. It has been reported that uptake, transport and subsequent distribution of other elements by the plant can be affected by the presence of Cd ions [9]. It was shown that heavy metals may interfere with the uptake of nutrients and/or induce leakage of nutrients by affecting the permeability of plasma membranes [10].

The involvement of plant growth regulators in plant responses to different stresses has been postulated. It has been shown that heavy metals alter the hormone content in plants [11], and the application of hormones to heavy metal stressed plants reduces the uptake of these metals [12]. In addition, many studies have pointed out to the regulatory role of hormones in selective ion uptake and distribution in plants through their effect on membrane properties and in consequence transport of various substances, including assimilates [13].

The aim of the present work was to investigate the effect of different concentrations of  $CdCl_2$ , applied at different developmental stages on mineral content of shoots and roots of *Vigna unguiculata*, and to determine the role of gibberellins in overcoming the Cd-induced alteration of the mineral levels.

## **Materials and Methods**

Surface sterilized *Vigna unguiculata* seeds were sown (3 seeds in each pot) in the green house under natural light conditions at  $25^{\circ}$  C -  $30^{\circ}$ C in 216 pots (15cm in diameter) containing a mixture of peat moss and sand (1:1), irrigated with distilled water for 15 days. At emergence thinning was done leaving one seedling per pot. The seedlings were then divided into three groups (72 pots each). Plants of the first, the second and the third group were allowed to grow for 15, 30 and 45 days prior to any treatment and were called early, intermediate and late stages, respectively. The pots of each group were subdivided into two sets. Pots of the first set were irrigated with

Effet of Cadmium Stress in the Presence and Absence of Gibberellic Acid.....

distilled water containing 20, 40, 80 and 160 ppm  $CdCl_2$ , and the pots of the second set were irrigated with the same concentrations of  $CdCl_2$ , and in addition, 100 ppm  $GA_3$  was sprayed on each strategy. The control group was irrigated only with distilled water. Plants were allowed to grow for a period of two weeks following treatment, after which they were harvested for growth measurements (30, 45, 60 days old).

Plant tissues were oven dried at  $70^{\circ}$ C until a constant weight was achieved. Each sample was digested with a mixture of acids  $HNO_3$ : $H_2SO_4$ : $HCIO_4$  (5:1:2) at low temperature. The Cd, Ca, K, Fe, Mg and Mn were analyzed by using an Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer: Shimadzu AA-6701F [14].

Three samples of 10 replicates of *Vigna unguiculata* root and shoot tissues were used for each treatment.

Data were subjected to analysis of variance using Minitab Program. Means and standard deviations were obtained, and the LSD at  $p \le 0.01$  and  $p \le 0.05$  were calculated to compare the significance of the difference between any two groups as described by Snedecor and Cochran [15].

#### **Results and Discussion**

The results presented in Table 1 revealed that Cd content of *Vigna unguiculata* roots and shoots were positively correlated with the concentration of Cd in the growth medium. Thus, it was confirmed that the Cd level in plant tissues depends on the concentration of available Cd in the growth medium. Petterson [16] indicated that shoot and root content of Cd in tomato, *Lycopersicom esculentum* L., plants increased 5 -10 times when Cd concentration was increased 10 times. Furthermore, the response of *Vigna unguiculata* to Cd treatment was strongly dependent on the plant growth stage at which CdCl<sub>2</sub> was applied, with more significant accumulation of the heavy metal at the early stage, and decreasing with further development. The results of the present study also showed that *Vigna unguiculata* roots absorb Cd from the soil and transport it to shoots to different degrees, but most of the absorbed Cd remains in roots or is redistributed to roots from shoots. These observations confirm the finding of Ernst *et al.* [6] who reported that Cd ions are mainly retained in roots, and only small amounts are transported to the shoots.

This behavior is one of several strategies of tolerance to Cd[17] .Cadmium retention in the root may be attributed to cross linkage of Cd to carboxyl groups of the cell walls, and/or to its interaction with thiol residues of soluble proteins, which could be considered as a protective mechanism to avoid accumulation and transport of Cd to the photosynthetic and reproductive tissues [18].

Treatment		Cadmium (µg/g) (Mean ± SD)							
(p	pm)	Early vegetative		Intermediate		Late vegetative			
Cd	GA <sub>3</sub>	Shoot	Root	Shoot	Root	Shoot	Root		
0	0	$0.00\pm0.00$	$0.00\pm0.00$	$0.00\pm0.00$	$0.00\pm0.00$	$0.00\pm0.00$	$0.00\pm0.00$		
20	0	$41.66 \pm 6.10^{**}$	$67.18 \pm 6.48^{**}$	$26.32 \pm 4.09^{**}$	$46.91 \pm 5.61^{**}$	$4.46\pm3.60$	$8.75\pm2.62$		
20	100	$25.58 \pm 5.63^{\scriptscriptstyle ++}$	$40.19{\pm}~10.06^{\scriptscriptstyle++}$	$10.64\pm 0.76^{\rm \tiny ++}$	$31.77\pm 0.16^{\scriptscriptstyle ++}$	$2.97 \pm 1.68$	$6.36 \pm 1.72$		
40	0	$66.82 \pm 6.24^{**}$	$94.89 \pm 3.07^{**}$	$36.64 \pm 5.18^{**}$	$54.66 \pm 3.37^{**}$	$7.24 \pm 4.88$	$13.57 \pm 2.69^{*}$		
40	100	$52.16\pm3.81^{\scriptscriptstyle +}$	$84.39\pm5.90^{\scriptscriptstyle +}$	$23.35 \pm 0.94^{\scriptscriptstyle ++}$	$48.06\pm0.18^{\scriptscriptstyle +}$	$5.78 \pm 1.61$	$11.39 \pm 1.14$		
80	0	103.30±7.25**	$168.00 \pm 3.81^{**}$	$53.54 \pm 3.58^{**}$	$70.78 \pm 2.59^{**}$	$22.54 \pm 4.20^{**}$	$39.26 \pm 8.06^{**}$		
80	100	$92.02\pm2.99^{\scriptscriptstyle +}$	$160.33\pm4.86$	$44.19 \pm 1.66^{\scriptscriptstyle ++}$	$65.15\pm0.30^{\scriptscriptstyle +}$	$21.10\pm1.33$	$37.27 \pm 1.51$		
160	0	$174.78 \pm 6.21^{*}$	$266.72 \pm 2.15^{**}$	$84.82 \pm 4.81^{**}$	$114.48 \pm 2.05^{**}$	$31.14\pm 5.15^{**}$	$69.77 \pm 13.60^{**}$		
160	100	$165.81\pm6.71$	$260.52\pm5.91$	$78.13\pm1.72^{\scriptscriptstyle +}$	$109.88\pm0.22$	$29.89 \pm 1.65$	$68.86 \pm 0.39$		
Cd	LSD at 5%	10.83	6.82	7.25	5.95	7.32	13.21		
	LSD at 1%	15.40	9.71	10.31	8.46	10.41	18.79		
Cd + GA <sub>3</sub>	LSD at 5%	10.50	10.45	5.98	4.71	6.13	11.04		
0/13	LSD at 1%	14.95	14.86	8.50	6.70	8.71	15.71		

 Table 1. The effect of various concentrations of CdCl<sub>2</sub> in the presence or absence of GA<sub>3</sub> on cadmium content of Vigna unguiculata L. shoots and roots at the three studied developmental stage

 Table 1. The effect of Vigna unguiculata L. shoots and roots at the three studied developmental stage

\*\* and \* denote significant differences between Cd-treated plants and controls at the 0.01 and 0.05% levels, respectively.

<sup>++</sup> and <sup>+</sup> denote significant differences between plants treated with GA<sub>3</sub> + Cd and plants treated with Cd alone at the 0.01 and 0.05% levels, respectively.

An interesting finding in the present study was the influence of Cd on the uptake of other cations. Levels of Ca, Fe, K and Mn of *Vigna unguiculata* roots and shoots decreased with increasing the Cd concentration in the growth medium, while the level of Mg increased in roots and decreased in shoots (Tables 2-6). Changes in mineral nutrient levels induced by Cd treatment were observed at all studied growth stages and at all Cd concentrations, but to different degrees. During the late growth stage, Cd only slightly affected the mineral nutrient levels. However, these changes were more distinct in plants treated with Cd at the intermediate stage, and the strongest effect was noted at the early growth stage. The decrease in Mg content of *Vigna unguiculata* shoots relative to roots under Cd treatment may indicate that Mg transport from roots to shoots was reduced. Greger and Lindberg [19] reported higher Mg levels in roots of sugar beet, *Beta vulgaris* L., suggesting that Cd interrupts the long distance transport of Mg.

Effet of Cadmium Str	ess in the Presence and	Absence of Gibbere	llic Acid
----------------------	-------------------------	--------------------	-----------

Tre	atment	Calcium (mg/g) (Mean ± SD)							
(F	opm)	Early vegetative		Intermediate		Late vegetative			
Cd	GA <sub>3</sub>	Shoot	Root	Shoot	Root	Shoot	Root		
0	0	$8.94\pm0.32$	$3.62\pm0.16$	$11.60\pm0.56$	$4.98\pm0.42$	$12.75\pm0.37$	$6.27\pm0.36$		
20	0	$8.33\pm0.52$	$3.17 \pm 0.23^{**}$	$11.12\pm0.11$	$4.58\pm0.22$	$12.46\pm0.66$	$5.91\pm0.39$		
20	100	$10.27 \pm 0.41^{\scriptscriptstyle ++}$	$3.74\pm0.11^{\scriptscriptstyle +}$	$14.18 \pm 0.41^{\tiny ++}$	$5.74 \pm 0.02^{\scriptscriptstyle ++}$	$14.94 \pm 1.06^{\tiny ++}$	$6.76\pm0.59^{\scriptscriptstyle +}$		
40	0	$7.82\pm0.51^*$	$2.88 \pm 0.15^{**}$	$10.67 \pm 0.37^{*}$	$4.16 \pm 0.16^{**}$	$12.17\pm0.41$	$5.74\pm0.37$		
40	100	$9.47 \pm 0.59^{\tiny ++}$	$3.34\pm0.23^{\scriptscriptstyle +}$	$13.14 \pm 0.10^{\scriptscriptstyle ++}$	$5.06 \pm 0.04^{\rm ++}$	$14.21\pm1.18^{\scriptscriptstyle +}$	$6.45\pm0.48$		
80	0	$6.56 \pm 0.53^{**}$	$2.18 \pm 0.14^{**}$	$10.35\pm 0.58^{**}$	$3.92 \pm 0.30^{**}$	$11.94\pm0.38$	$5.61\pm0.33^*$		
80	100	$7.72\pm0.78^{\scriptscriptstyle +}$	$2.45\pm0.28$	$12.48 \pm 0.14^{\tiny ++}$	$4.60\pm0.03$ $^{\scriptscriptstyle ++}$	$13.34 \pm 1.46$	$6.14\pm0.42$		
160	0	$4.61 \pm 0.48^{**}$	$1.53 \pm 0.16^{**}$	$9.18 \pm 0.49^{**}$	$3.50 \pm 0.36^{**}$	$11.38 \pm 0.48^{**}$	$5.14 \pm 0.37^{**}$		
160	100	$5.15\pm0.97$	$1.68\pm0.38$	$10.47 \pm 0.11^{\tiny ++}$	$3.94\pm0.02^{\scriptscriptstyle +}$	$12.12\pm1.19$	$5.38\pm0.63$		
Cd	LSD at 5%	0.874	0.307	0.823	0.552	0.855	0.661		
	LSD at 1%	1.242	0.437	1.170	0.784	1.216	0.940		
$Cd + GA_3$	LSD at 5%	1.137	0.408	0.616	0.346	1.704	0.832		
C.	LSD at 1%	1.617	0.580	0.875	0.492	2.423	1.183		

 Table 2. The effect of various concentrations of CdCl<sub>2</sub> in the presence or absence of GA<sub>3</sub> on calcium content of Vigna unguiculata L. shoots and roots at the three studied developmental stages

 Claim
 C

\*\* and \* denote significant differences between Cd-treated plants and controls at the 0.01 and 0.05% levels, respectively.

 $^{\rm ++}$  and  $^{\rm +}$  denote significant differences between plants treated with GA\_3 + Cd and plants treated with Cd alone at the 0.01 and 0.05% levels, respectively.

On the other hand, the observed reduction in essential nutrient levels(Ca, Fe, K, Mn) of *Vigna unguiculata* seedlings may be related to Cd ion competition with divalent cations during their absorption by the root. In this respect, Cd may displace some of the investigated cations in metalo-enzymes from the absorption sites in the cell walls-especially during the early growth stage and at higher Cd doses – and such competition may result in the reduction in their level. This finding confirms the report of Greger and Bertell [20] which indicated that Cd may compete with Ca or prevent it from being utilized in Ca-dependent processes leading to diminished growth. Cadmium interference with plant growth was reported by Al-Rumaih [21] and this paralleled the decline in calcium content observed in the present study. An earlier study by Greger and Lindberg [19] showed that the uptake area was diminished by Cd treatment, as root growth of sugar beet, *Beta vulgaris* L. was depressed, consequently reducing the number of

absorption sites available for nutrients on the cell walls and membranes, thereby inhibiting uptake.

 Table 3. The effect of various concentrations of CdCl<sub>2</sub> in the presence or absence of GA<sub>3</sub> on potassium content of *Vigna unguiculata* L. shoots and roots at the three studied developmental stages

 Potassium (mg/g) (Mean ± SD)

Treatment (ppm)		Early vegetative		Intermediate		Late vegetative	
Cd	GA3	Shoot	Root	Shoot	Root	Shoot	Root
0	0	$20.82 \pm 1.32$	$17.46 \pm 1.17$	$18.34 \pm 1.28$	$15.73\pm0.72$	$17.66 \pm 1.20$	$15.19\pm0.81$
20	0	$18.23\pm1.38$	$14.63 \pm 1.27^{*}$	$17.36 \pm 1.42$	$14.50\pm0.85$	$17.19 \pm 1.07$	$14.52\pm0.74$
20	100	$23.90 \pm 1.18^{\scriptscriptstyle ++}$	$18.47 \pm 1.36$ <sup>++</sup>	$24.15 \pm 1.00^{\tiny ++}$	$19.10 \pm 1.81^{\tiny ++}$	$21.54\pm2.82^{\scriptscriptstyle +}$	$17.28\pm2.74$
40	0	$16.95 \pm 1.46^{**}$	$12.11 \pm 1.09^{**}$	$16.54 \pm 1.27$	$13.83\pm0.89^*$	$16.99 \pm 1.13$	$13.77\pm0.86$
40	100	$21.42 \pm 1.58^{\tiny ++}$	$14.66 \pm 1.39^{+}$	$22.16 \pm 0.91^{\tiny ++}$	$17.54\pm2.76^{\scriptscriptstyle +}$	$20.36 \pm 1.7$	$16.10\pm2.45$
80	0	$12.81 \pm 1.50^{**}$	$9.70 \pm 1.10^{**}$	$15.32\pm1.49^*$	$12.55\pm 0.74^{**}$	$16.20\pm0.94$	$13.29 \pm 0.93^{*}$
80	100	$15.36\pm1.28^{\scriptscriptstyle +}$	$11.43 \pm 1.53$	$19.24 \pm 0.74^{\scriptscriptstyle ++}$	$15.22\pm2.42$	$18.59\pm2.75$	$14.97\pm2.66$
160	0	$9.93 \pm 1.52^{**}$	$5.82 \pm 0.93^{**}$	$13.98 \pm 1.47^{**}$	$10.71 \pm 0.75^{**}$	$15.47 \pm 0.92^{*}$	$12.10\pm 0.97^{**}$
160	100	$11.13\pm0.88$	$6.44 \pm 1.31$	$16.36\pm0.87^{\scriptscriptstyle +}$	$12.28\pm2.21$	$16.75\pm2.65$	$12.75\pm1.72$
Cd	LSD at 5%	2.62	2.02	2.53	1.44	1.92	1.57
	LSD at 1%	3.72	2.88	3.60	2.05	2.74	2.24
Cd + GA <sub>3</sub>	LSD at 5%	2.48	2.33	2.14	3.17	3.50	3.32
	LSD at 1%	3.52	3.31	3.05	4.51	4.97	4.72

\*\* and \* denote significant differences between Cd-treated plants and controls at the 0.01 and 0.05% levels, respectively.

<sup>++</sup> and <sup>+</sup> denote significant differences between plants treated with GA<sub>3</sub> + Cd and plants treated with Cd alone at the 0.01 and 0.05% levels, respectively.

Our results also showed leaf margin necrosis and chlorotic yellowing of *Vigna unguiculata* induced by the highest studied Cd concentration (160 ppm) during the early vegetative stage, which could be considered as symptoms of multiple element deficiency. These symptoms corresponded with the decreased rates of uptake and distribution of these nutrients in plants. This confirms the finding of Greger and Lindberg [19], which revealed a range of nutritional disorders in response to Cd treatment.

The results of the present investigation further showed that when  $GA_3$  was applied simultaneously with different concentrations of  $CdCl_2$  the content of Cd in

Effet of Cadmium Stress in the Presence and Absence of Gibberellic Acid.....

*Vigna unguiculata* shoots and roots decreased. The reduction was significantly more in the shoot during the intermediate stage at 20 and 40 ppm CdCl<sub>2</sub> concentrations, where the accumulation of the heavy metal was reduced by almost 60% and 36%, respectively, as compared with the respective controls at zero GA<sub>3</sub>. A reduction in the level of Cd induced by the combined treatment of Cd and GA<sub>3</sub> was reported by Rubio *et al.* [12] in rice, *Oryza sativa* L., plants, who indicated that GA<sub>3</sub> strongly inhibited heavy metal (Cd, Ni) incorporation into plants. Hence, the decrease in Cd content by GA<sub>3</sub> treatment observed in the present study may be attributed to the hormone action in reducing the uptake of the heavy metal. In addition, GA<sub>3</sub> application seems to affect Cd uptake within the plant by affecting membrane transport processes, possibly by altering membrane permeability [22].

 Table 4. The effect of various concentrations of CdCl2 in the presence or absence of GA3 on magnesium content of Vigna unguiculata L. shoots and roots at the three studied developmental stages

Treatment -		Magnesium (mg/g) (Mean ± SD)							
(ppr		Early vegetative		Intermediate		Late vegetative			
Cd	GA3	Shoot	Root	Shoot	Root	Shoot	Root		
0	0	$0.584 \pm 0.028$	$0.813\pm0.0473$	$0.764\pm0.046$	$1.110\pm0.079$	$0.906\pm0.038$	$1.320\pm0.017$		
20	0	$0.529 \pm 0.037$	$0.904 \pm 0.046^{*}$	$0.714 \pm 0.904$	$1.300 \pm 0.125^{*}$	$0.885\pm0.038$	$1.390\pm0.089$		
20	100	$0.637\pm 00.037^{\text{\tiny ++}}$	$0.815\pm0.108$	$0.904\pm 0.037^{\rm ++}$	$1.130\pm 0.005^{\rm ++}$	$1.040\pm 0.074^{\rm ++}$	$1.340\pm0.010$		
40	0	$0.502 \pm 0.038^{*}$	$0.976 \pm 0.054^{**}$	$0.682\pm0.048$	$1.330 \pm 0.076^{*}$	$0.868\pm0.039$	$1.420\pm0.062$		
40	100	$0.592 \pm 0.047^{\scriptscriptstyle ++}$	$0.926\pm0.058$	$0.845\pm 0.038^{\scriptscriptstyle ++}$	$1.200 \pm 0.004^{+}$	$0.988 \pm 0.067^{*}$	$1.390\pm0.010$		
80	0	$0.383 \pm 0.027^{**}$	$1.070\pm 0.010^{**}$	$0.636 \pm 0.761^{**}$	$1.360\pm 0.090^{**}$	$0.837\pm0.043$	$1.470 \pm 0.105^{*}$		
80	100	$0.425\pm0.039$	$0.995 \pm 0.078$	$0.761\pm 0.039^{\rm ++}$	$1.260\pm0.003$	$0.907\pm0.067$	$1.450\pm0.010$		
160	0	$0.307 \pm 0.030^{**}$	$1.240\pm 0.027^{**}$	$0.590 \pm 0.049^{**}$	$1.440 \pm 0.072^{**}$	$0.809 \pm 0.037^{*}$	$1.510 \pm 0.102^{*}$		
160	100	$0.323\pm0.019$	$1.161\pm0.098$	$0.674 \pm 0.031^{+}$	$1.350\pm0.000$	$0.836\pm0.072$	$1.500\pm0.010$		
Cd	LSD at 5%	0.059	0.073	0.085	0.165	0.071	0.149		
~ (	LSD at 1%	0.084	0.103	0.121	0.234	0.100	0.212		
Cd + GA <sub>3</sub>	LSD at 5%	0.064	0.123	0.077	0.120	0.103	0.118		
	LSD at 1%	0.091	0.175	0.109	0.170	0.147	0.168		

\*\* and \* denote significant differences between Cd-treated plants and controls at the 0.01 and 0.05% levels, respectively.

 $^{++}$  and  $^+$  denote significant differences between plants treated with GA<sub>3</sub> + Cd and plants treated with Cd alone at the 0.01 and 0.05% levels, respectively.

In addition, an increase in the concentration of Ca, Fe, K and Mn was observed in *Vigna unguiculata* roots and shoots when  $GA_3$  was applied simultaneously with various concentrations of CdCl<sub>2</sub>. However, the Mg level decreased in roots, but increased

in shoots. This effect was most significant at 20 and 40 ppm  $CdCl_2$  concentrations and during the intermediate growth stage followed by the early stage, and shoots were more affected by GA<sub>3</sub> treatment compared to roots. An enhancement of *Vigna unguiculata* root growth induced by GA<sub>3</sub> treatment was reported by Al-Rumaih [21], and this possibly explains the present increase in the uptake of mineral nutrients. In this regard Khan *et al.* [23] also indicated that GA<sub>3</sub>-sprayed mustard, *Brassica juncea* L., plants showed more nutrient (N, P, K) uptake due to increase in growth rate.

 Table 5. The effect of various concentrations of CdCl<sub>2</sub> in the presence or absence of GA<sub>3</sub> on iron content

 of Vigna unguiculata L. shoots and roots at the three studied developmental stages

Treatment		Iron (μg/g) (Mean ± SD)						
	opm)	Early vegetative		Intermediate		Late vegetative		
Cd	GA <sub>3</sub>	Shoot	Root	Shoot	Root	Shoot	Root	
0	0	$49.38 \pm 4.38$	$88.15\pm4.45$	$58.96 \pm 4.14$	$104.72\pm4.251$	$65.79 \pm 4.25$	$116.53\pm5.45$	
20	0	$45.80\pm5.06$	$81.11 \pm 4.67$	$56.08 \pm 4.61$	$97.69 \pm 6.29$	$64.00\pm5.02$	$111.53\pm4.60$	
20	100	$58.70 \pm 2.34^{\scriptscriptstyle ++}$	$99.05 \pm 10.17$	$^{+}74.84 \pm 0.94^{++}$	$125.09 \pm 6.46^{\scriptscriptstyle ++}$	$75.93 \pm 70.41^{\scriptscriptstyle +}$	$127.41 \pm 5.97^{\scriptscriptstyle +}$	
40	0	$40.43 \pm 5.26^{*}$	$70.17 \pm 4.31^{**}$	$53.87 \pm 5.29$	$94.79 \pm 5.28^{*}$	$62.37 \pm 4.82$	$108.89 \pm 4.16$	
40	100	$49.40\pm2.20^{\scriptscriptstyle +}$	$83.87 \pm 10.20^{\scriptscriptstyle +}$	$66.97 \pm 2.76^{\tiny ++}$	$115.10 \pm 6.30^{\scriptscriptstyle ++}$	$71.98\pm3.64^{\scriptscriptstyle +}$	$120.70\pm8.2$	
80	0	$34.25 \pm 5.17^{**}$	$50.30 \pm 4.43^{**}$	$49.56 \pm 4.74^{*}$	$82.28 \pm 4.26^{**}$	$60.61 \pm 5.29$	$105.05 \pm 4.57^{*}$	
80	100	$40.13\pm3.45$	$56.67 \pm 9.40$	$58.54\pm1.17^{\scriptscriptstyle +}$	$95.95 \pm 4.09$	$66.72 \pm 5.26$	$111.15\pm8.42$	
160	0	$27.68 \pm 4.16^{**}$	$35.21 \pm 5.09^{**}$	$47.19 \pm 5.19^{*}$	$73.24 \pm 4.65^{**}$	$58.81 \pm 3.97$	$101.87 \pm 4.3^{**}$	
160	100	$30.57 \pm 2.66$	$37.21 \pm 8.24$	$53.03\pm0.97$	$79.67 \pm 5.25$	$61.89 \pm 4.69$	$102.04\pm9.15$	
Cd	LSD at 5%	8.78	8.35	8.74	9.11	8.53	8.43	
	LSD at 1%	12.48	11.88	12.43	12.96	12.13	11.99	
$Cd + GA_3$	LSD at 5%	7.24	13.63	6.72	9.81	9.20	11.77	
	LSD at 1%	10.29	19.39	9.56	13.96	13.06	16.74	

\*\* and \* denote significant differences between Cd-treated plants and controls at the 0.01 and 0.05% levels, respectively.

 $^{\rm ++}$  and  $^+$  denote significant differences between plants treated with GA\_3 + Cd and plants treated with Cd alone at the 0.01 and 0.05% levels, respectively.

In conclusion, the results of this study have shown clearly that Cd content in plant tissues is directly related to Cd content of the soil. As Cd level increases, the uptake and distribution of other minerals in plant tissues is severely deranged. This

effect is overcome by the plant growth regulator  $GA_3$ , particularly at the lower Cd concentrations.

Treatment		Manganese ( $\mu g/g$ ) (Mean $\pm$ SD)							
	om)	Early vegetative		Intermediate		Late vegetative			
Cd	GA <sub>3</sub>	Shoot	Root	Shoot	Root	Shoot	Root		
0	0	$36.85\pm2.94$	$22.44 \pm 1.14$	$50.90\pm3.64$	$31.77\pm2.68$	$58.66 \pm 3.69$	$36.18 \pm 2.76$		
20	0	$34.84 \pm 1.81$	$20.30\pm0.67^*$	$48.76\pm2.66$	$29.07 \pm 2.81$	$57.08 \pm 3.60$	$34.76 \pm 1.75$		
20	100	$42.65 \pm 2.06^{\scriptscriptstyle ++}$	$24.38\pm2.35^{\scriptscriptstyle +}$	$63.10 \pm 0.24^{\scriptscriptstyle ++}$	$35.52 \pm 2.58^{\scriptscriptstyle ++}$	$67.27 \pm 3.85^{\scriptscriptstyle ++}$	$40.27\pm3.25^{\scriptscriptstyle +}$		
40	0	$32.56\pm2.34^*$	$18.61 \pm 1.28^{**}$	$47.34 \pm 2.61$	$27.70 \pm 1.74$	$55.22\pm3.74$	$33.49 \pm 1.70$		
40	100	$38.88 \pm 1.91^{\scriptscriptstyle ++}$	$21.95\pm2.12^{\scriptscriptstyle +}$	$59.22\pm 0.65^{\scriptscriptstyle ++}$	$33.16\pm1.84^{\scriptscriptstyle +}$	$62.83\pm4.03^{\scriptscriptstyle +}$	$37.60\pm3.18$		
80	0	$26.93 \pm 1.89^{**}$	$14.88 \pm 0.79^{**}$	$44.20 \pm 1.90^{*}$	$25.08 \pm 1.95^{**}$	$54.11 \pm 2.90$	$32.35 \pm 1.32^{*}$		
80	100	$31.76\pm2.96^{\scriptscriptstyle +}$	$17.26 \pm 1.92$	$52.94 \pm 0.21^{\tiny ++}$	$29.39\pm1.90^{\scriptscriptstyle +}$	$59.70\pm3.55$	$35.33 \pm 2.66$		
160	0	$21.41 \pm 2.12^{**}$	$8.85 \pm 1.36^{**}$	$39.72 \pm 1.91^{**}$	$21.39 \pm 2.71^{**}$	$51.81 \pm 3.73^{*}$	$29.85 \pm 1.90^{**}$		
160	100	$24.03\pm2.88$	$9.70 \pm 1.99$	$45.81 \pm 0.47^{\scriptscriptstyle ++}$	$24.25\pm2.60$	$55.15\pm4.74$	$31.49 \pm 3.37$		
Cd	LSD at 5%	4.11	1.97	4.77	4.40	6.45	3.53		
	LSD at 1%	5.84	2.80	6.79	6.26	9.18	5.02		
Cd + GA3	LSD at 5%	4.15	3.03	3.01	4.19	6.90	4.56		
	LSD at 1%	5.91	4.31	4.28	5.96	9.82	6.50		

 Table 6. The effect of various concentrations of CdCl2 in the presence or absence of GA3 on manganese content of Vigna unguiculata L. shoots and roots at the three studied developmental stages

\*\* and \* denote significant differences between Cd-treated plants and controls at the 0.01 and 0.05% levels, respectively.

 $^{++}$  and  $^+$  denote significant differences between plants treated with GA<sub>3</sub> + Cd and plants treated with Cd alone at the 0.01 and 0.05% levels, respectively.

#### References

- Das, P., Samantaray, S. and Rout, G.R. "Studies on Cadmium Toxicity in Plants A Review." *Environ. Poll.*, 18(1997), 29-36.
- [2] Hashem, A.R. "Effect of Heavy Metal Toxicity on the Mycelial Growth of Some Fungi Isolated from the Industrial Yanbu City, Saudi Arabia." *Afric. Jour. Mycol.* and Biotech., 3, No. 2 (1995), 109-113.
- [3] Arif, I.A. and Hashem, A.R. "Soil Analysis and Mycoflora of Jizan City, Saudi Arabia." *Phyton-Buenos Aires*, 62, No. 1-2 (1998), 109-113.
- [4] Varo, P., Lahelma, O., Nuurtamo, M., Saari, S. and Koivistonen, P. "Mineral Element Composition of Finnish Foods." *Acta Agric. Scandinavica.*, 22(1980), 89-113.

- [5] Siedlecka, A. and Krupa, Z. "Interaction Between Cd and Fe Accumulation and Distribution of Metals and Changes in Growth Parameters of *Phaseolus vulgaris* L., Seedlings." *Acta Soc. Bot. Pol.*, 65, No. 3-4 (1996), 277-282.
- [6] Ernst, W.H.O., Verkleij, J.A.C. and Schat, H. "Metal Tolerance in Plants." Acta Bot. Neerlandica, 41(1992), 229-249.
- [7] Saleh, A.L. "Interaction Between Zinc and Cadmium on Growth and Mineral Content of Maize, Zea mays L." Menofiya J. Agric. Res., 23, No. 2 (1998), 379-389.
- [8] Greger, M. and Lindberg, S. "Effects of Cd and EDTA on Young Sugar Beet, *Beta vulgaris.* I. Cd Uptake and Sugar Accumulation." *Physiol. Plant.*, 66 (1986), 69-74.
- [9] Khan, D.H. and Frankland, B. "Effects of Cd and Pb on Radish Plants with Particular Reference to Movement of Metals Through Soil Profile and Plant." *Plant Soil*, 70 (1983), 335-345.
- [10] Clarkson, D.T. "Root Structure and Sites of Ion Uptake." In: Waisel, Y., Eshel, A., and Kafkafi, U. (Eds). *Roots: The Hidden Half.* New York, NY: Marcel Dekkar, Inc., 1991, 417-453.
- [11] Poschenreider, C.H., Gunse, B. and Barcelo, J. "Influence of Cadmium on Water Relations, Stomatal Resistance and Abscisic Acid Content in Expanding Bean Leaves." *Plant Physiol.*, 90 (1989), 1365-1371.
- [12] Rubio, M.I., Escrig, I., Martinez-Cortina, Lopez-Benet, F.J. and Snaz, A. "Cadmium and Nickle Accumulation in Rice Plants. Effects on Mineral Nutrition and Possible Interactions of Abscisic and Gibberellic Acids." *Plant Growth Regul.* 14 (1994), 151-157.
- [13] Steveninck, R.F.M. Van. "Effect of Hormones and Related Substances on Transport." pp. 307-342. In: Luttge, U. and Pitman, M.G. (Eds.). *Encyclopedia of Plant Physiology*. New Series 2B. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1976.
- [14] Hernandez, L.E., Carpena-Ruiz, R. and Garate, A. "Alterations in the Mineral Nutrition of Pea Seedlings Exposed to Cadmium." *J. Plant Nutr.*, 19, No. 12 (1996), 1581-1598.
- [15] Snedecor, G.W. and Cochran, C.G. Statistical Methods. 7<sup>th</sup> ed. Iowa: Iowa State University Press, 1980.
- [16] Petterson, O. "Heavy Metal ion Uptake by Plants from Nutrient Solutions with Metal Ion, Plant Species and Growth Period Variations." *Plant Soil*, 45, No. 2 (1976), 445-459.
- [17] Weigel, H. J. and Jager, H. J. 1980. "Different Effects of Cd in vitro and in vivo on Enzyme Activities in Bean, *Phaseolus vulgaris* L., Plants." Z. Pflanzen Physiol., (1997), 103-113.
- [18] Leita, L., Mondini, C. and Baca Garcia, M.T. "Response of Leguminosae to Cd Exposure." J. of Plant Nutr., 16, No. 10 (1993), 2001-2012.
- [19] Greger, M. and Lindberg, S. "Effects of Cd and EDTA on Young Sugar Beet, *Beta vulgaris*. II. Net Uptake and Distribution of Mg<sup>2+</sup>, Ca<sup>2+</sup> and Fe<sup>2+</sup>/Fe<sup>3+</sup>." *Physiol. Plant.*, 69 (1987), 81-86.
- [20] Greger, M. and Bertell, G. "Effects of Ca and Cd on the Carbohydrate Metabolism in Sugar Beet, *Beta vulgaris.*" J. Exp. Bot., 43 (1992), 167-173.
- [21] Al-Rumaih, Muna M. Interactive Effect of Cadmium and Gibberellic Acid on Cowpea, Vigna unguiculata L. Ph.D.Thesis, King Saud Univ., Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, 2001.
- [22] Erdei, L., Moller, I.M. and Jensen, P. "The Effects of Energy Supply and Growth Regulators on K Uptake into Plant Roots." *Biochem. Physiol. Pflanzen*, 184 (1989), 345-361.
- [23] Khan, N.A., Ansari, H.R. and Samiullah, L. "Effect of Gibberellic Acid Spray During Ontogeny of Mustard on Growth, Nutrient Uptake and Yield Characteristics." J. Agron. Crop Sci., 181(1998), 61-63.

Effet of Cadmium Stress in the Presence and Absence of Gibberellic Acid.....

تأثير هرمون حمض الجبريليك على التغذية المعدنية في نباتات اللوبيا ( Vigna (unguiculata المعرضة للإجهاد بالكادميوم أثناء مراحل النمو

> منى محمد الرميح، تشهيره صالح رشدي، \*\*وارجومند سلطان وارسي قسم النبات والأحياء الدقيقة ، \*\* قسم الكيمياء الحيوية ، كلية العلوم ، جامعة الملك سعود، الرياض

> > (قدم للنشر في ٣/٢١/ ١٤٢٢؛ وقبل للنشر في ١٠/١٠/ ١٤٢٢هـ)

ملخص المحث. تضمن هذا البحث دراسة تأثير التركيزات المختلفة (صفر، ٢٠، ٢، ٢، ٢، ٢، ٢، ٢، حزء في المليون ) من كلوريد الكادميوم ( CdCl ) في وجود وغياب حمض الجبريليك ( ١٠٠ جزء في المليون) على محتوى الكادميوم وبعض العناصر المعدنية الغذائية الكبرى( K Mg, K Mg ) والصغرى ( Mr Fe ) في المجموع الخضري والمجموع الجذري لنبات اللوبيا Vigna unguiculata ، وذلك في ثلاث مراحل من النمو الخضري (مبكرة ، متوسطة ، متأخرة). أظهرت النتائج تراكم كميات كبيرة من الكادميوم في كل من المجموع الخضري والمجموع الجذري لنبات اللوبيا، خاصة عند أضافته بتركيزات عالية ، وقد كان الجزء الأكبر منه متراكماً في الجذور. وبصفة عامة ، سجلت أعلى قيم لتراكم الكادميوم أثناء المرحلة المبكرة وتلتها المرحلة المتوسطة للنمو.

كما أظهرت النتائج أن التركيزات المختلفة من كلوريد الكادميوم أحدثت نقصاً واضحاً وتدريجياً لمعظم العناصر المعدنية تحت الدراسة في كل من المجموع الخضري والمجموع الجذري لنبات اللوبيا ، ويقل هذا التأثير تدريجياً باستمرار نمو النبات لتصبح المرحلة المتأخرة من النمو أقل المراحل تأثراً . كما أوضحت النتائج أن إضافة حمض الجبريليك في وجود التركيزات المختلفة من كلوريد الكادميوم أدت إلى خفض محتوى الكادميوم في المجموع الخضري والمجموع الجذري. ومن جهة أخرى ، فإن معظم العناصر المعدنية قد تزايدت محتوياتها في كل من المجموع الخضري والمجموع الجذري لنبات اللوبيا عند إضافة حمض المبريليك ، مما يؤكد دوره في تخفيف التأثير الضار الناتج عن الكادميوم عند التركيزات المنحفضة (٢٠ ، ٢٠ جزء من المليون ). وقد كانت المرحلة المتوسطة للنمو أكثر المراحل تأثراً بالحرمون وتلتها المرحلة المبكرة .